

Chairman Young, Vice Chair DeVitis, Ranking Member Lepore-Hagan and members of the Economic Development, Commerce and Labor Committee,  
Thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony in support of Ohio HB193.

I strongly support HB193 and I urge you to support it as well. Ohio needs a law to protect its workers from mandatory medical interventions.

While the issue of mandatory flu vaccines is currently limited to persons employed in health care facilities, increasingly vaccines are also being promoted in employee “wellness” programs. A federal bill HR1313 would require mandatory medical interventions as a condition of employment. <https://healthimpactnews.com/2017/h-r-1313-bill-would-require-medical-procedures-like-vaccines-as-requirement-for-employment/>

Mandatory vaccine programs deprive persons of their right to informed consent as outlined in the Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights. Article 6 #1 states “ Any preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic medical intervention is only to be carried out with the prior, free and informed consent of the person concerned, based on adequate information. The consent should, where appropriate, be express and may be withdrawn by the person concerned at any time and for any reason without disadvantage or prejudice.”

Article 1.01 Inalienable Rights, of the Ohio Constitution states, “All men are, by nature, free and independent, and have certain inalienable rights, among which are those of enjoying and defending life and liberty, acquiring, possessing, and protecting property, and seeking and obtaining happiness and safety.”

According to the US Department of Health and Human Services, the flu shot is the most compensated vaccine in the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program. In the latest report covering the period between 8/16/16 and 11/15/16, 98 of the 131 vaccine injury cases settled were for flu vaccine injury, making the flu vaccine the most dangerous vaccine in the United States, harming more people than all of the other vaccines combined. Is it advisable to mandate the flu vaccine in light of its evidence of harm? Should not the employee be permitted to determine for their self whether or not they wish to take the risk of injury from the vaccine?

In 2012, the National Coalition of Organized Women received documents with statistics based upon the government’s VAERS covering the 2009-2010 flu season. The CDC’s own vaccine injury data collection revealed a 4,250% increase in fetal deaths after the flu shot. The VAERS statistics were confirmed later by Dr. Gary Goldman and published in the Human and Environmental Toxicology Journal showing that the H1N1 swine flu vaccine did in fact cause a 4, 250% rise in spontaneous abortions.

<http://www.progressiveconvergence.com/Statistical%20correction%20Exhibit4.pdf> How

would you feel if you lost your baby secondary to the receipt of a flu shot as a condition of keeping your job?

If we allow employers to dictate flu vaccines, will we also allow them to dictate other vaccines in the future? There are approximately 200 vaccines in the development pipeline. If we allow employers to mandate flu vaccine, and other vaccines, will we also allow them to dictate other medical interventions, such as fetal ultrasound, mammograms and colonoscopy? Will we allow employers to dictate medical procedures based upon genetic testing? Will employers be allowed to force employees to undergo genetic testing? The liberty of employees must be preserved.

There are no commercially available diagnostic tests that can determine in advance who will be damaged by vaccines. So, one can only find out if they will be damaged by a vaccine AFTER they have been damaged by the vaccine. Mandating an invasive medical intervention that can lead to injury as a condition of employment is unethical

Oftentimes, the damage to the body is lifelong and profound, and some people die soon after vaccination. Livelihoods are lost. Families are destroyed. People who were once perfectly healthy can no longer work and contribute to society and the government then must provide financial assistance. Vaccines are exacting an unacceptable toll upon our society. So, I ask you to carefully weigh the evidence in support of medical freedom and to vote "Yes" on HB193.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Siobhan Justin, RN

7 Meadowlawn Dr.

Mentor, Ohio 44060

I inadvertently omitted this reference regarding the latest vaccine injury compensation report: <http://vaccineimpact.com/2017/u-s-government-continues-to-pay-damages-for-vaccine-injuries-no-liability-for-drug-makers/>

In addition, I would also like to submit these references:

<http://journals.plos.org/plosone/article/file?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0163586&type=printable>

This study reports that the four trials that are being used to support influenza vaccination policies in health care facilities are extremely flawed and entirely improbable. The studies boast artificially and implausibly large reductions in patient risk of developing the flu because of health care worker vaccination. " More realistic recalibration based on actual patient data instead shows that at least 6,000 and up to 32,000 hospital workers would need to be vaccinated before a single patient death could be potentially averted." Read that sentence again.

It is scary when you realize that vaccination policies are being made using misleading studies such as this. The policy makers routinely lie to the medical community, the legislators, and the public at large in order to create policies that appear on the surface to be related to the promotion of public health and safety, but in reality are simply supporting their business model.

Always ask yourself, is this person poised to benefit financially, politically, or socially by supporting "X" policy? What you will see is a pattern in which the people who are warning others about the ineffectiveness or unsafety of vaccines have nothing to gain, while those who proclaim that vaccines are safe and effective and should be administered to all persons and under all circumstances stand to benefit by one or all three categories--financial, political and social.

And this study finds limited evidence for Health Care worker vaccination. From the article- "For outcomes that matter the most-- lab-confirmed flu and flu hospitalizations--the two meta-analyses (CDC and Cochrane) both find low or very low levels of evidence(supporting HCW vaccination), he said. We simply don't have good evidence that vaccination of healthcare personnel prevents influenza transmission to patients." Nick Kelley, PhD, research associate for the University of Minnesota's Center for Infectious Disease Research and Policy(CIDRAP). Amazingly, even though he said that, he still supports the flu vaccine. So strange!

And this resource from the CDC, reveals that only 8.5% of all pneumonia and influenza deaths and only 2.1% of all respiratory and circulatory deaths are influenza-related. [https://www.cdc.gov/flu/about/disease/us\\_flu-related\\_deaths.htm](https://www.cdc.gov/flu/about/disease/us_flu-related_deaths.htm)  
You see, the CDC has a marketing division that sings the praises of all vaccines for all people at all times, and then there is the part of the CDC where they mostly tell the truth. The problem is that vaccination policies are derived from the marketing division and not the scientific divisions. As Dr. Gary Goldman, vaccine researcher has said- "The vaccination policies coming from the CDC don't have the data to back them up." Unfortunately, everybody is just believing the CDC and not asking them for their data to support their policies.

Alan G. Phillips, JD, is the only Vaccine Rights attorney in the United States. He believes that legislation to protect employees from mandatory vaccination policies by employers or governments. He lists the state of Massachusetts and Maine's protective statutes. Ohio needs the same protection.

<http://vaccinerights.com/EmployeeInfluenzaVaccineProtectionAct.pdf>