



House Health Committee
Rep. Derek Merrin, Chairman

WITNESS INFORMATION FORM

Date: March 19, 2019

Name: Barry Sheets

Are you representing: Yourself _____ Organization X

Organization (If Applicable): Right to Life Action Coalitional of Ohio

Position/Title: Legislative Consultant

Address: PO Box 178

City: Cuyahoga Falls State: OH Zip: 45631

Best Contact Telephone: 614-966-5293 Email: consult@principledconsult.com

Do you wish to be added to the committee notice email distribution list? Yes X No _____

Business before the committee

Legislation (Bill/Resolution Number): Sub. SB 23

Specific Issue: Heartbeat bill

Are you testifying as a: Proponent X Opponent _____ Interested Party _____

Will you have a written statement, visual aids, or other material to distribute? Yes X No _____

(If yes, please send an electronic version of the documents, if possible, to the Chair's office prior to committee. You may also submit hard copies to the Chair's staff prior to committee.)

How much time will your testimony require? 3 minutes

Comments:

Please be advised that this form and any materials (written or otherwise) submitted or presented to this committee are records that may be requested by the public and may be published online.

Proponent Testimony on Substitute Senate Bill 23
March 19th, 2019
House Health Committee
Barry Sheets, Legislative Consultant
Right to Life Action Coalition of Ohio
Ohio Values Voters, Inc.

Chairman Merrin, vice chairman Manning, ranking member Boyd and members of the committee, thank you for allowing me to testify today in support of Substitute Senate Bill 23, the Ohio Human Heartbeat Protection Act.

I am Barry Sheets, legislative consultant for both the Right to Life Action Coalition of Ohio (RTLACO) and for Ohio Values Voters (OVV). I speak today on behalf of both organizations.

The Right to Life Action Coalition of Ohio is a network of organizations who stand together to uphold protections for defenseless unborn life. Member organizations include Right to Life of Greater Cincinnati, Toledo Right to Life, Dayton Right to Life, Cleveland Right to Life and numerous other local and regional organizations.

Ohio Values Voters mission has been to educate, inform, and influence voters and elected officials in Ohio supporting the values of Faith, Life, Marriage, Family, and Religious Freedom. OVV supported the Heartbeat bill in 2016 and 2018 and supports the passage of this bill to protect those children which have no voice.

Sub SB 23 is an important bill in many ways. Foremost, it offers the strongest legal protection of defenseless unborn human life that our state has considered, by prohibiting abortions upon the detection of a fetal heartbeat.

It is this provision which seems to be drawing the most attention and the most objection from those whose industry thrives on terminating defenseless lives. This committee has been told by opponents that this bill is “unconstitutional”. However, this obscures a simple fact—the US Supreme Court has been moving in a direction with cases post-Roe that call for optimism and indicate

opportunity for bold, decisive protections to be put in place by state legislatures. Many are taking advantage of that opportunity.

Ohio Department of Health statistics on abortion in Ohio show that 20,873 abortions were performed in Ohio in 2017, and that over 85% of those abortions were performed upon unborn children of 12 weeks gestation or less. Given this, it is encouraging to see this bill being considered. It is estimated that this bill will legally protect over 17,000 unborn Ohioans.

I would like to share information from attorney Walter Weber, senior counsel for the American Center for Law and Justice, who testified on previous iterations of this bill, to this point:

“Critics argue that this prohibition is incompatible with repeated Supreme Court precedents disallowing either bans or “undue burdens” on abortions done prior to fetal “viability.” Nevertheless, the Supreme Court’s precedents contain as well the strands of a more life-protective jurisprudence. As far back as *Doe v. Bolton*, 410 US 179, 191-92 (1973), the companion case to *Roe v. Wade*, 410 US 113 (1973), the Supreme Court upheld a law that prohibited any abortion that was not “necessary.”

“Much later, in *Gonzales v. Carhart*, 550 US 124 (2007), the Court ruled that precedent it assumed to be controlling ‘confirms the State’s interest in promoting respect for human life **at all stages of the pregnancy,**’ *id.* At 163 (emphasis added). As Justice Kennedy wrote in dissent in *Stenberg v. Carhart*, 530 US 914 (2000), a dissent subsequently vindicated in *Gonzales*, ‘States also have an interest in forbidding medical procedures which, in the State’s reasonable determination, might cause the medical profession or society as a whole to become insensitive, even disdainful, to life, including the life of the human fetus.’ *Stenberg*, 530 US at 961.”

I submit that all reasonable minds can agree that acquiescing to the killing of a living unborn human child with a beating heart qualifies as ‘insensitive, even disdainful, to life.’

This is indeed what we are talking about at the 'heart' of this bill, and why we strongly support our state, through you our elected officials, taking that interest in the life of the unborn human person seriously enough to legally protect over 17,000 of our fellow citizens whose beating hearts bear witness that they are just as alive as you and I, and just as equally deserving of legal protections upon that life.

Substitute Senate Bill 23 does just that, and so we respectfully request that this committee adopt this bill. Thank you for your kind attention. I would be happy to try to answer questions you may have.