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Impacts of a Nuclear Shutdown

There are two primary impacts of losing a nuclear plant:

**Emissions increase**

Lost nuclear output is replaced almost entirely by increased fossil generation

- With attendant increase in emissions

**Electricity prices rise**

Law of Supply and Demand: A reduction in supply raises price

- Market’s response partially mitigates the price increase
Nuclear Shutdown causes Increased Emissions

In the short term, lost nuclear generation is replaced by fossil – mostly gas; some coal

- More fossil generation means increased emissions: $\text{CO}_2$, as well as $\text{SO}_2$, $\text{NO}_x$, particulates, etc.

One nuclear unit prevents about 4 million tons $\text{CO}_2$ annually

This is the $\text{CO}_2$ emissions of 900,000 cars

- Equivalent to 20% of the autos in Ohio or Pennsylvania
Can Nuclear be Replaced by Renewables?

Renewables are unlikely to replace emission-free nuclear generation in the near term

Existing renewables generate the same whether nuclear operates or not
  • Similar for new renewables built anyway

To offset lost nuclear, must add still more new renewables
  • Even more than would be added otherwise, and much faster

➤ This raises a question of scale and pace
Relative Scale of Nuclear and Renewables

One large nuclear unit generates as much emission-free power as 30% of all existing PJM renewables (wind, solar)

- Accounts for over 3 years of recent PJM renewable growth
  - Ohio renewables provide 1.7 TWh, ~6% of PJM renewables

Substituting renewables for nuclear means falling behind in the growth of emission-free generation

Source: Generation within PJM footprint via ABB Velocity Suite from EIA Forms 906/923 (2018 estimated; data incomplete).
Nuclear Shutdown Causes Higher Electricity Prices

**Question:** How can closing a relatively costly plant (one having trouble covering its costs in the market) cause power prices to rise?

Power price is based on generator offers into hourly wholesale markets

- Offers reflect only short-run variable costs (primarily fuel, for most plants)
- Just enough generators are run to meet current-hour load, lowest offers first
- Price is set by the offer of the highest-cost generator needed in that hour

Nuclear’s short-run cost is very low

- Nuclear offers $0/MWh and runs in all hours – as long as it operates
  - Even if price does not cover its ongoing fixed costs (largely labor) on an hourly basis
- Fossil alternatives – existing or new – offer at higher prices reflecting their variable costs (mostly fuel)

Thus short-term market price is higher if more fossil is called on
Price suppression (or preventing retirement in order to hold prices down) is not a legitimate policy goal, and can harm markets and customers in the long run

- However, price impacts may be a side effect of a policy that pursues legitimate goals, such as emission reduction, by addressing gaps in competitive power markets (emissions externality)
- The electricity price effect may help to offset the costs to customers of such a policy
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