Chairman Dolan, Vice-Chair Burke, Ranking Member Sykes, and members of the Senate Finance Committee, my name is Jaime Miracle and I am the Deputy Director of NARAL Pro-Choice Ohio. I am here to testify on behalf of our more than 50,000 members on Sub. H.B. 166.

In the substitute version of H.B. 166 released last week the Senate included five million dollars of TANF block grant funding for the Parenting and Pregnancy program operated through the Ohio Department of Job and Family Services. On its face this sounds like a great idea. But as we often say, the devil is in the details.

Let’s start with the problem Ohio needs to address. According to the World Health Organization the U.S. is one of only 13 countries in the world where the maternal mortality rate is climbing, and we are the only country with an advanced economy to see a rate increase. Black women are four times more likely to die as a result of pregnancy as white women, and a black baby is twice as likely as a white baby to die before their first birthday.

These are all stats we have heard before, but let’s take it a bit deeper. Maternal mortality is just the tip of the iceberg. The rate for severe maternal morbidity (often referred to as “near misses”) impacts 60,000 women a year in the United States, and Black women are two times more likely to experience severe maternal morbidity than white women. A report released just this week showed that more than 17% of women experienced one or more types of mistreatment during childbirth, and among Black women of low socioeconomic status that rate jumped to nearly 28%, and that number went even higher when that woman’s partner was also Black.

What does this show? That our system for the provision of medical care fails people of color. At its root, systemic racism and the structures it has created are putting the lives of Black mothers and babies at risk. I applaud the steps that the legislature has done to begin to address this health crisis. But it hasn’t been enough. The infant mortality rate for white babies has gone down, but the rate for black babies continues to increase, making the racial disparity in this health outcome larger.
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not smaller.\textsuperscript{5} While some good initial first steps have been made, if this body is going to actually address the problem, policy decisions must be made based on data from experts in the field, not to placate a political base.

So why isn’t the “Parenting and Pregnancy Program” the solution to these problems? Because this funding doesn’t go to medical providers to make sure people get the healthcare they need during pregnancy, and the funding certainly doesn’t go to the individual people in need of assistance, as the TANF program was designed to do. This program is a way to funnel money into religiously affiliated, mostly volunteer run anti-abortion organizations who have a track record of lying to and manipulating the people they claim to serve.

A 2013 study conducted by the NARAL Pro-Choice Ohio Foundation found that nearly half of these fake women’s health centers told the client about a supposed link between abortion and mental health issues in the future. This claim is blatantly false, and you don’t have to just take my word for it. The American Psychological Society conducted a full review of the research associated with mental health and abortion and found, “The best scientific evidence published indicates that among adult women who have had an unplanned pregnancy, the relative risk of mental health problems is no greater if they have a single elective first-trimester abortion than if they deliver that pregnancy.”\textsuperscript{6} Additionally, the New England Journal of Medicine published a research article in January 2011 that states, “the incidence rate of psychiatric contact was similar before and after a first-trimester abortion does not support the hypothesis that there is an increased risk of mental disorders after a first-trimester abortion.”\textsuperscript{7}

This report also showed that the centers routinely claimed that abortion causes breast cancer, and that having an abortion made it more likely for the patient to have fertility issues in the future. Both of these claims have also been shown to not be accurate by medical researchers. There is no evidence that abortion increases the risk of infertility, ectopic pregnancy, or miscarriage.\textsuperscript{8} A 2003 committee opinion (reaffirmed in 2018) from the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists states “Early studies of the relationship between prior induced abortion and breast cancer were methodologically flawed. More rigorous recent studies demonstrate no causal relationship between induced abortion and a subsequent increase in breast cancer risk.”\textsuperscript{9}

Additionally, and frankly critically important, based upon the fact that Ohio has ever increasing racial disparities in health, these fake women’s health centers may claim to provide culturally sensitive and targeted outreach to Black women, but a 2018 study found that not to be the case. Researchers at Mississippi State University found the methods found in fake women’s health centers in urban areas are “not significantly different from its approaches in white and/or suburban areas and inclusion of Black perspectives and activists is limited to a surface-level veneer.”\textsuperscript{10} Instead of consulting with community members about what the community needs or what will actually help fake women’s health centers claims “imply that women, and especially poor or Black
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\textsuperscript{6} https://www.apa.org/pi/women/programs/abortion/
women, cannot be trusted to make their own decisions about abortion and continues to construct poor women and women of color as victims in need of rescue by white, middle class activists.”

This was clearly on display when these centers testified before the House Finance Committee last month. Representative Crawley directly asked the two directors what has been done within their organizations to dismantle implicit bias and racism in regards to prenatal care, and if they had trainings or specific strategies to address this. The response from the director of the Elizabeth New Life Center stated that their main strategy against implicit bias and racism is “where we are located.” When she was questioned about cultural competency training, she was unaware of any specific training for their medical staff.

Our tax payer dollars cannot and should not be used to promote fake science and coercion, and in the midst of our infant and maternal mortality and morbidity crises our state cannot afford to give money to programs that do not have scientifically verifiable impacts on racial disparities of health or we will continue to fail Black mamas and babies across our state.

So what can we fund that will make a real impact?

According to the March of Dimes, studies suggest that increased access to doula care, especially in under resourced communities can improve a whole range of health outcomes for mothers and babies, lower health care costs, reduce C-sections, decrease maternal anxiety and depression, and help improve communication between low income, racially/ethnically diverse pregnant women and their health care providers. “The March of Dimes supports increased access to doula care as one tool to help improve birth outcomes and reduce the higher rates of maternal morbidity and mortality among women of color in the United States.”

Luckily for people in Columbus and Cleveland programs that do exactly this already exist, Restoring Our Own Through Transformation (ROOTT) and Birthing Beautiful Communities provide this support in their communities; and because of their programs, the clients they serve already surpass the Healthy People 2020 goals in both maternal and infant mortality and morbidity. Individuals in Cincinnati and Northwest Ohio have already reached out to ROOTT and Birthing Beautiful Communities to expand their programs into these areas of the state. People are desperate to get programs like these into their communities. But because they are community based doula programs that serve everyone who comes to their door for help, they cannot afford and do not have the resources to expand into these other communities. Just imagine what these two highly effective programs could do if they were given five million dollars over the next two years to expand their services across the state. Imagine all the families these programs could help bring babies into the world and keep both mom and baby safe.

Additionally, research shows that we improve the communities around these individuals, when we make sure that Black women live in safe neighborhoods, have stable housing, and are provided with health care that is culturally appropriate and based in their communities, the infant mortality rate decreases. In 2017 the Health Policy Network of Ohio released a report on new approaches to reduce infant mortality. Housing stability and affordability was identified as one of the key approaches. The report states, “housing that is high-quality, affordable, and located in safe, resource-rich neighborhoods supports good health. A lack of affordable housing stock in most communities, historical policies of segregation and discriminatory housing practices make it difficult for people in groups at the greatest risk of poor birth outcomes to find housing that meets this
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Affordable Housing advocates have come before this body asking for 12.5 million dollars from the TANF block grant for rapid rehousing and permanent supportive housing services. The budget in its current state makes NO allocation for affordable housing. Showing once again that the priorities of the legislature are not based in the science of how to actually reduce infant mortality.

So, it astounds me that this body is so willing to give five million dollars to supposedly help our dismal infant mortality and maternal mortality and morbidity rates to centers who have zero evidence that their programs do anything to dismantle the racist structures around them. You’ve ignored programs that work, like ROOTT and Birthing Beautiful Communities or programs to provide supportive housing services—programs proven to do exactly what you say you want to do with this budget: reduce disparities in health and really start to address our infant and maternal mortality and morbidity crisis in this state.

If this legislature just wants to continue to do things so they can say they did things and pat themselves on the back and placate their political buddies, by all means spend five million dollars funding programs that have no research backing their effectiveness, and in fact have been shown through research to lie to and manipulate the clients they are supposed to serve. But if this legislature really and truly wants to fix the problem, which I do think is what the majority of the members really want to accomplish, then we need to shake things up. We need to look at the evidence, we need to look at what is working and we need to listen to people IN THESE COMMUNITIES about what they need. Then and only then will we even begin to break down the systems that this country has built over the last 400 years that have gotten us to the place where we are today. Only then will we actually start to make progress.

---