As Reported by the House Education and Career Readiness Committee

132nd General Assembly

Regular Session 2017-2018

Am. H. B. No. 540

Representatives Gavarone, Manning

A BILL

То	amend sections 3319.075, 3319.111, and 3319.112	1
	and to repeal sections 3319.114 and 3319.58 of	2
	the Revised Code with regard to teacher	3
	evaluations.	4

BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF OHIO:

Section 1 . That sections 3319.075, 3319.111, and 3319.112	5
of the Revised Code be amended to read as follows:	6
Sec. 3319.075. Once the state board of education adopts	7
professional development standards pursuant to section 3319.61	8
of the Revised Code, the board of education of each school	9
district shall use the standards for the following purposes:	10
(A) To guide the design of teacher education programs	11
serving both teacher candidates and experienced teachers;	12
(B) To guide school-based professional development that is	13
aligned with student achievement;	14
(C) To determine what types of professional development	15
the school district and the schools within the district should	16
provide;	17
(D) To guide how state and federal funding for	18

49

50

66

67

68

69

70

71

72 73

74

75

76

77

effect on September 29, 2011 the effective date of this amendment, and shall be included in any renewal or extension of such an agreement.

- (B) When using measures of student academic growth as a 51 component of performance as evidence in a teacher's evaluation, 52 those measures shall-include the value-added progress dimension-53 prescribed by section 3302.021 of the Revised Code or an 54 alternative student academic progress measure if adopted under-55 division (C)(1)(e) of section 3302.03 of the Revised Code. For 56 teachers of grade levels and subjects for which the value-added-57 progress dimension or alternative student academic progress 58 measure is not applicable, the board shall administer-59 assessments on the list developed under division (B) (2) of 60 section 3319.112 of the Revised Code be high-quality student 61 data. The board of education of each school district may use 62 data from the assessments on the list developed under division 63 (B)(2) of section 3319.112 of the Revised Code as high-quality 64 student data. 65
- (C)(1) The board shall conduct an evaluation of each teacher employed by the board at least once each school year, except as provided in division (C)(2) of this section. The evaluation shall be completed by the first day of May and the teacher shall receive a written report of the results of the evaluation by the tenth day of May.
- (2) (a) The board may evaluate each teacher who received a rating of accomplished on the teacher's most recent evaluation conducted under this section once every three school years, so long as the teacher's student academic growth measure, for the most recent school year for which data is available, is average or higher, as determined by the department of education teacher

submits a self-directed professional growth plan to the	78
evaluator that focuses on specific areas identified in the	79
observations and evaluation and the evaluator determines that	80
the teacher is making progress on that plan.	81
(b) The board may evaluate each teacher who received a	82
rating of skilled on the teacher's most recent evaluation	83
conducted under this section once every two years, so long as	84
the teacher's student academic growth measure, for the most-	85
recent school year for which data is available, is average or	86
higher, as determined by the department of education teacher and	87
evaluator jointly develop a professional growth plan for the	88
teacher that focuses on specific areas identified in the	89
observations and evaluation and the evaluator determines that	90
the teacher is making progress on that plan.	91
(c) For each teacher who is evaluated pursuant to division	92
(C)(2) of this section, the evaluation shall be completed by the	93
first day of May of the applicable school year, and the teacher	94
shall receive a written report of the results of the evaluation	95
by the tenth day of May of that school year.	96
(d) Beginning with the 2014-2015 school year, the The	97
board may elect not to conduct an evaluation of a teacher who	98
meets one of the following requirements:	99
(i) The teacher was on leave from the school district for	100
fifty per cent or more of the school year, as calculated by the	101
board.	102
(ii) The teacher has submitted notice of retirement and	103
that notice has been accepted by the board not later than the	104
first day of December of the school year in which the evaluation	105
is otherwise scheduled to be conducted.	106

135

136

(e) Beginning with the 2017 2018 school year, the The	107
board may elect not to conduct an evaluation of a teacher who is	108
participating in the teacher residency program established under	109
section 3319.223 of the Revised Code for the year during which	110
that teacher takes, for the first time, at least half of the	111
performance-based assessment prescribed by the state board of	112
education for resident educators.	113
(3) In any year that a teacher is not formally evaluated	114
pursuant to division (C) of this section as a result of	115
receiving a rating of accomplished or skilled on the teacher's	116
most recent evaluation, an individual qualified to evaluate a	117
teacher under division (D) of this section shall conduct at	118
least one observation of the teacher and hold at least one	119
conference with the teacher. The conference shall include a	120
discussion of progress on the teacher's professional growth	121
plan.	122
(D) Each evaluation conducted pursuant to this section	123
shall be conducted by one or more of the following persons who	124
hold a credential established by the department of education for	125
being an evaluator:	126
(1) A person who is under contract with the board pursuant	127
to section 3319.01 or 3319.02 of the Revised Code and holds a	128
license designated for being a superintendent, assistant	129
superintendent, or principal issued under section 3319.22 of the	130
Revised Code;	131
(2) A person who is under contract with the board pursuant	132
to section 3319.02 of the Revised Code and holds a license	133

designated for being a vocational director, administrative

section 3319.22 of the Revised Code;

specialist, or supervisor in any educational area issued under

(3) A person designated to conduct evaluations under an 137 agreement entered into by the board, including an agreement 138 providing for peer review entered into by the board and 139 representatives of teachers employed by the board; 140 (4) A person who is employed by an entity contracted by 141 the board to conduct evaluations and who holds a license 142 designated for being a superintendent, assistant superintendent, 143 principal, vocational director, administrative specialist, or 144 supervisor in any educational area issued under section 3319.22 145 of the Revised Code or is qualified to conduct evaluations. 146 (E) Notwithstanding division (A)(3) of section 3319.112 of 147 the Revised Code+ 148 (1) The , the board shall require at least three formal 149 observations of each teacher who is under consideration for 150 nonrenewal and with whom the board has entered into a limited 151 contract or an extended limited contract under section 3319.11 152 of the Revised Code. 153 154 (2) The board may elect, by adoption of a resolution, to require only one formal observation of a teacher who received a 155 156 rating of accomplished on the teacher's most recent evaluationconducted under this section, provided the teacher completes a 157 project that has been approved by the board to demonstrate the 158 teacher's continued growth and practice at the accomplished 159 level. 160 (F) The board shall include in its evaluation policy 161 procedures for using the evaluation results for retention and 162 promotion decisions and for removal of poorly performing 163 teachers. Seniority shall not be the basis for a decision to 164 retain a teacher, except when making a decision between teachers 165

179

180

181

182

183

195

who have comparable evaluations.

- (G) For purposes of section 3333.0411 of the Revised Code, 167 the board annually shall report to the department of education 168 the number of teachers for whom an evaluation was conducted 169 under this section and the number of teachers assigned each 170 rating prescribed under division (B)(1) of section 3319.112 of 171 the Revised Code, aggregated by the teacher preparation programs 172 from which and the years in which the teachers graduated. The 173 department shall establish quidelines for reporting the 174 information required by this division. The guidelines shall not 175 176 permit or require that the name of, or any other personally identifiable information about, any teacher be reported under 177 this division. 178
- (H) Notwithstanding any provision to the contrary in Chapter 4117. of the Revised Code, the requirements of this section prevail over any conflicting provisions of a collective bargaining agreement entered into on or after September 24, 2012—the effective date of this amendment.
- Sec. 3319.112. (A) Not later than December 31, 2011, The 184 department of education shall revise the state board of 185 education shall develop a education's standards-based state 186 framework for the evaluation of teachers, based on the 187 recommendations of the educator standards board established 188 under section 3319.60 of the Revised Code, and shall submit a 189 summary of the revisions to the state board for review. Not 190 later than May 1, 2019, the state board shall adopt the revised 191 <u>framework</u>. The state board may update the framework periodically 192 by adoption of a resolution. The framework shall establish an 193 evaluation system that does the following: 194

(1) Provides for multiple evaluation factors. One factor

shall be student academic growth which shall account for fifty	196
per cent of each evaluation, except as otherwise prescribed by	197
the alternative framework under section 3319.114 of the Revised-	198
Code. When applicable to the grade level or subject area taught-	199
by a teacher, the value-added progress dimension established-	200
under section 3302.021 of the Revised Code or an alternative	201
student academic progress measure if adopted under division (C)	202
(1) (e) of section 3302.03 of the Revised Code shall be used in	203
the student academic growth portion of an evaluation in-	204
proportion to the part of a teacher's schedule of courses or-	205
subjects for which the value-added progress dimension is-	206
applicable.	207
If a teacher's schedule is comprised only of courses or	208
subjects for which the value-added progress dimension is	209
applicable, one of the following applies:	210
(a) Beginning with March 22, 2013, until June 30, 2014,	211
the majority of the student academic growth factor of the	212
evaluation shall be based on the value-added progress dimension.	213
(b) On or after July 1, 2014, the entire student academic	214
growth factor of the evaluation shall be based on the value-	215
added progress dimension. In calculating student academic growth-	216
for an evaluation, a student shall not be included if the	217
student has forty-five or more excused or unexcused absences	218
during the full academic year.;	219
(2) Is aligned with the standards for teachers adopted	220
under section 3319.61 of the Revised Code;	221
(3) Requires observation of the teacher being evaluated,	222
including at least two formal observations by the evaluator of	223

at least thirty minutes each and classroom-walkthroughs_walk-

throughs;	225
(4) Assigns a rating on each evaluation in accordance with	226
division (B) of this section or section 3319.114 of the Revised	227
Code, whichever is applicable;	228
(5) Requires each teacher to be provided with a written	229
report of the results of the teacher's evaluation;	230
(6) Identifies measures of student academic growth for-	231
grade levels and subjects for which the value-added progress	232
dimension prescribed by section 3302.021 of the Revised Code or	233
an alternative student academic progress measure if adopted	234
under division (C)(1)(e) of section 3302.03 of the Revised Code	235
does not apply;	236
(7) Implements a classroom-level, value-added program	237
developed by a nonprofit organization described in division (B)	238
of section 3302.021 of the Revised Code or an alternative	239
student academic progress measure if adopted under division (C)	240
(1) (e) of section 3302.03 of the Revised Code;	241
(8) Uses at least two measures of high quality student	242
data to provide evidence of student learning attributable to the	243
teacher being evaluated. The department shall define "high	244
quality student data" for this purpose. When applicable to the	245
grade level or subject area taught by a teacher, high quality	246
student data shall include the value-added progress dimension	247
established under section 3302.021 of the Revised Code, but the	248
teacher or evaluator shall use at least one other measure of	249
high quality student data to demonstrate student learning. In	250
accordance with the guidance described in division (D)(3) of	251
this section, high quality student data may be used as evidence	252
in any component of the evaluation related to the following:	253

Page 10

Am. H. B. No. 540

Page 11

(1) Develop Revise, as necessary, specific standards and	281
criteria that distinguish between the following levels of	282
performance for teachers and principals for the purpose of	283
assigning ratings on the evaluations conducted under sections	284
3311.80, 3311.84, 3319.02, and 3319.111 of the Revised Code:	285
(a) Accomplished;	286
(b) Skilled;	287
(c) Developing;	288
(d) Ineffective.	289
(2) For grade levels and subjects for which the	290
assessments prescribed under sections 3301.0710 and 3301.0712 of	291
the Revised Code and the value-added progress dimension	292
prescribed by section 3302.021 of the Revised Code, or	293
alternative student academic progress measure, do not apply,	294
develop Develop a list of student assessments that measure	295
mastery of the course content for the appropriate grade level,	296
which may include nationally normed standardized assessments,	297
industry certification examinations, or end-of-course	298
examinations. The data from these assessments may be considered	299
high-quality student data.	300
(C) The state board department shall consult with experts,	301
teachers and principals employed in public schools, the educator	302
standards board, and representatives of stakeholder groups in	303
developing revising the standards and criteria required by	304
division (B)(1) of this section.	305
(D) To assist school districts in developing evaluation	306
policies under sections 3311.80, 3311.84, 3319.02, and 3319.111	307
of the Revised Code, the department shall do both <u>all</u> of the	308
following:	309

Page 12

Am. H. B. No. 540

Am. H. B. No. 540 As Reported by the House Education and Career Readiness Committee	Page 14
of this section.	368
(B) Each state agency that employs teachers shall conduct	369
teacher evaluations in accordance with its teacher evaluation	370
policy developed under former division (E) of section 3319.112	371
of the Revised Code, as it existed prior to the effective date	372
of this section.	373
(C) Any reference in law to evaluations conducted under	374
section 3319.111 of the Revised Code shall be construed to	375
include evaluations conducted as required by this section.	376
(D) References to "evaluation procedures" in section	377
3319.11 of the Revised Code shall be construed to include the	378
evaluation procedures required by this section.	379