

OHIO

House

of

Representatives

JOURNAL

THURSDAY, DECEMBER 27, 2018

TWO HUNDRED TWENTY-THIRD DAY
Hall of the House of Representatives, Columbus, Ohio
Thursday, December 27, 2018, 9:00 o'clock a.m.

The House met pursuant to adjournment.

Prayer was offered by Representative Ginter-5th district, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

The following guests of the House of Representatives were recognized prior to the commencement of business:

Ryan Miller and Matt Botkin, guests of Representative Hagan-50th district.
Piper Wilkin, the daughter of Representative Wilkin-91st district.

The journal of the previous legislative day was read and approved.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

The following bill was introduced:

H. B. No. 796 - Representative Barnes.

To amend section 5120.10 and to enact section 5120.101 of the Revised Code to allow a private citizen to request an injunction against a jail for failure to comply with minimum standards, to provide a judicial procedure to ensure a jail inmate receives emergency medical care, and to name the act the "Prisoners' Human Rights Act."

Said bill was considered the first time.

MOTIONS AND RESOLUTIONS

Representative Schuring moved that the following resolution be brought up for immediate adoption, read by title only, and spread upon the pages of the journal.

The motion was agreed to.

The question being on the adoption of the resolution, reading as follows:

H. R. No. 644-Speaker Smith

Relative to the election of Ellis R. Hill to fill the vacancy in the membership of the House of Representatives created by the resignation of Brian Hill of the 97th House District.

WHEREAS, Section 11 of Article II of the Ohio Constitution provides for the filling of a vacancy in the membership of the House of Representatives by election by the members of the House of Representatives

who are affiliated with the same political party as the person last elected to the seat which has become vacant; and

WHEREAS, Brian Hill of the 97th House District, has resigned as a member of the House of Representatives of the 132nd General Assembly effective December 13, 2018, thus creating a vacancy in the House of Representatives; therefore be it

RESOLVED, By the members of the House of Representatives who are affiliated with the Republican party that Ellis R. Hill, Republican, having the qualifications set forth in the Ohio Constitution and the laws of Ohio to be a member of the House of Representatives from the 97th House District, is hereby elected, effective December 27, 2018, pursuant to Section 11 of Article II of the Ohio Constitution, as a member of the House of Representatives from the 97th House District, to fill the vacancy created by the unexpired portion of the term of said Brian Hill, ending on December 31, 2018; and be it further

RESOLVED, That a copy of this resolution be spread upon the pages of the Journal of the House of Representatives together with the yeas and nays of the members of the House of Representatives affiliated with the Republican party voting on the resolution, and that the Clerk of the House of Representatives shall certify the resolution and vote on its adoption to the Secretary of State.

The question being, “Shall the resolution be adopted?”

The yeas and nays were taken and resulted – yeas 60, nays 0, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were: Representatives

Anielski	Antani	Arndt	Becker
Blessing	Brenner	Brinkman	Butler
Carfagna	Cupp	Dean	DeVitis
Duffey	Edwards	Faber	Gavarone
Green	Greenspan	Hagan	Hambley
Henne	Hood	Hoops	Householder
Huffman	Hughes	Johnson	Keller
Kick	Koehler	Landis	Lanese
Lang	LaTourette	Lipps	McClain
Merrin	Patton	Pelanda	Perales
Reineke	Riedel	Roegner	Romanchuk
Ryan	Schaffer	Scherer	Schuring
Seitz	Slaby	Smith, T.	Sprague
Stein	Thompson	Vitale	Wiggam
Wilkin	Young	Zeltwanger	Smith, R.-60

The resolution was adopted.

Mr. Hill was escorted to the bar of the House by Representatives Hood, Gavarone, LaTourette, Patton, Reineke, Cera, Kelly, Smith, K., and Sykes, took the oath of office administered by Speaker Smith, and entered upon the discharge of his duties.

State of Ohio

County of Franklin

I, Ellis R. Hill, do solemnly swear to support the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution of the State of Ohio, and faithfully to discharge and perform all duties incumbent upon me as a member of the Ohio House of Representatives, according to the best of my ability and understanding; and this I do as I shall answer unto God.

/s/ ELLIS R. HILL
Ellis R. Hill

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 27th day of December, 2018.

/s/ RYAN SMITH
Ryan Smith
Speaker
House District 93

Representative Patton moved that majority party members asking leave to be absent or absent the week of Thursday, December 27, 2018, be excused, so long as a written request is on file in the majority leadership offices.

The motion was agreed to.

Representative Sykes moved that minority party members asking leave to be absent or absent the week of Thursday, December 27, 2018, be excused, so long as a written request is on file in the minority leadership offices.

The motion was agreed to.

MESSAGE FROM THE SPEAKER

December 20, 2018

Speaker Ryan Smith
Ohio House of Representatives
77 S. High Street
Columbus, OH 43215

Dear Mr. Speaker,

This is to inform you that I'm resigning from my position as the State

Representative for the 75th District of the Ohio House of Representatives effective at 4:00 pm today. It has been my honor to serve the people of Portage County and the state of Ohio these past eight years, and I deeply appreciate the opportunity to have done so.

Thank you for your immediate attention to this matter. I wish you all the best in the 133rd General Assembly.

Sincerely,

/s/KATHLEEN CLYDE
Kathleen Clyde

MESSAGE FROM THE SPEAKER

December 20, 2018

The Honorable Kathleen Clyde
Ohio House of Representatives
77 South High Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Representative Clyde,

This letter is to acknowledge receipt and acceptance of your letter of resignation.

Thank you for your service,

/s/RYAN SMITH
Ryan Smith
Speaker, Ohio House of Representatives

MOTIONS AND RESOLUTIONS

Representative Schuring moved that the following resolution be brought up for immediate adoption, read by title only, and spread upon the pages of the journal.

The motion was agreed to.

The question being on the adoption of the resolution, reading as follows:

H. R. No. 645-Speaker Smith, Representative Strahorn

Relative to travel allowance.

WHEREAS, Section 101.27 of the Revised Code provides that each member receive a travel reimbursement based upon the mileage from and to the member's place of residence, by the most direct highway route of public

travel to and from the seat of government; therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the Chief Administrative Officer of the House of Representatives is hereby authorized to pay the following member's travel allowance based upon their round trip mileage as set opposite their name and district number:

Member's Name	District Number	Round-Trip Mileage
Ellis R. Hill	97	108

The question being, "Shall the resolution be adopted?"

The yeas and nays were taken and resulted – yeas 85, nays 0, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were: Representatives.

Anielski	Antani	Antonio	Arndt
Barnes	Becker	Blessing	Brenner
Brinkman	Brown	Butler	Carfagna
Celebrezze	Cera	Craig	Cupp
Dean	DeVitis	Duffey	Edwards
Faber	Fedor	Galonski	Gavarone
Ginter	Green	Greenspan	Hagan
Hambley	Henne	Hill, E.	Holmes
Hood	Hoops	Householder	Huffman
Hughes	Ingram	Johnson	Keller
Kelly	Kent	Kick	Koehler
Landis	LANESE	Lang	LaTourette
Leland	Lipps	McClain	Merrin
Miller	O'Brien	Patmon	Patterson
Patton	Pelanda	Perales	Reineke
Riedel	Roegner	Rogers	Romanchuk
Ryan	Schaffer	Scherer	Schuring
Seitz	Sheehy	Slaby	Smith, K.
Smith, T.	Stein	Strahorn	Sweeney, B.
Sykes	Thompson	Vitale	West
Wiggam	Wilkin	Young	Zeltwanger
			Smith, R.-85

The resolution was adopted.

Message from the Senate

Mr. Speaker:

I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Governor of Ohio, having signed **Am. Sub. S. B. No. 296** entitled an act:

To amend sections 101.27, 141.01, 141.011, 141.04, 325.03, 325.04, 325.06, 325.08, 325.09, 325.10, 325.11, 325.14, 325.15, 325.18, 505.24, 507.09, 742.63, and 3501.12 and to enact sections 101.61, 107.71, and

124.824 of the Revised Code to revise the payments that surviving family members receive from the Ohio Public Safety Officers Death Benefit Fund and to permit surviving spouses and children to participate in the health, dental, and vision benefits offered to state employees as if the survivors were employees of this state; to increase the compensation of elected public officers through 2028; to create the Public Office Compensation Advisory Commission; to create the Office of InnovateOhio; to allow the Lieutenant Governor to receive the salary of the head of an office within the Governor's office in lieu of the Lieutenant Governor's statutory salary; and to declare an emergency.

The Senate proceeded to reconsider the bill to which the Governor objected. The bill, having received the required constitutional majority, passed notwithstanding the objections of the Governor.

I am directed by the Senate to communicate a copy of said bill, the message of the Governor with his objections, and the proceedings of the Senate thereon to the House of Representatives.

Attest:

Vincent L. Keeran,
Clerk.

The Speaker handed down the following communication from the Governor:

**STATE OF OHIO
EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT
OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR
COLUMBUS**

**STATEMENT OF THE REASONS FOR THE VETO OF AMENDED
SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 296**

Pursuant to Article II, Section 16, of the Ohio Constitution, which states that the Governor may disapprove of any bill, I hereby disapprove of Amended Substitute Senate Bill 296 (Am. Sub. S.B. 296) and set forth the following reasons for so doing.

Amended Substitute Senate Bill 296 began as a very praiseworthy bill that sought to revise the payments that surviving family members receive from the Ohio Public Safety Officers Death Benefit Fund and to permit those surviving spouses and their children to participate in the health, dental, and vision benefits offered to state employees. That version of Am. Sub. S.B. 296 unanimously passed the Senate on June 6, 2018 and the bill was referred to the House of Representatives.

On December 12th in the end-of-the-year "lame duck" legislative session, a House committee added to this bill completely unrelated provisions increasing the compensation of state and local elected officials. This new version of the bill passed the House just a day later on December 13th. That very same day, the Senate quickly approved the House's action to include the pay raise provisions in the bill.

I support the original Senate version of the bill to provide increased death benefits and to offer health insurance to the surviving family members of our first responders who lost their lives in the line of duty. I would have signed such a bill into law. Unfortunately, I cannot support or condone the last-minute rush to include a controversial pay raise for elected officials into what was an otherwise commendable bill.

When the new General Assembly convenes in January, I urge the legislators to pass and send to the Governor the original version of Am. Sub. S.B. 296 to provide these benefits to the survivors of our deceased first responders. And if there are those who believe that it is necessary to increase the compensation of our state and elected officials, then a stand-alone pay raise bill should be introduced and debated in an open and deliberative process that allows more of an opportunity for the taxpayers to have their say about their elected representatives' compensation.

For these reasons, a veto of Amended Substitute Senate Bill 296 is in the public interest.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name and caused the Great Seal of the State of Ohio to be affixed, at Columbus, this 21st day of December Two Thousand Eighteen.

[Seal]

/s/JOHN R. KASICH

John R. Kasich, Governor

Representative Schuring moved that the reading of the veto message be dispensed with.

The motion was agreed to without objection.

The House proceeded to the consideration of **Am. Sub. S.B. 296** vetoed by the Governor.

The question being, "Shall the bill pass notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?"

December 27, 2018

The Honorable Ryan Smith, Speaker
The Ohio House of Representatives
Columbus, Ohio

Speaker Smith,

Pursuant to House Rule No. 57, I respectfully request that I be excused from voting on **Am. Sub. S. B. No. 296**-Senator Hottinger, et al., because it might be construed that I have an interest in the legislation.

Sincerely,

/s/ KEITH FABER
Keith Faber
State Representative
84th House District

The request was granted.

December 27, 2018

The Honorable Ryan Smith, Speaker
The Ohio House of Representatives
Columbus, Ohio

Speaker Smith,

Pursuant to House Rule No. 57, I respectfully request that I be excused from voting on **Am. Sub. S. B. No. 296**-Senator Hottinger, et al., because it might be construed that I have an interest in the legislation.

Sincerely,

/s/ ROBERT COLE SPRAGUE
Robert Cole Sprague
State Representative
83rd House District

The request was granted.

The yeas and nays were taken and resulted – yeas 71, nays 16, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were: Representatives

Anielski	Antonio	Arndt	Barnes
Blessing	Brenner	Brinkman	Brown
Carfagna	Celebrezze	Cera	Craig
Cupp	Duffey	Fedor	Galonski
Gavarone	Ginter	Green	Greenspan
Hagan	Hambley	Henne	Hill, E.
Holmes	Hoops	Hughes	Ingram
Johnson	Keller	Kelly	Kent
Kick	Koehler	Landis	Lanese
Lang	LaTourette	Lipps	McClain
Miller	O'Brien	Patmon	Patterson
Patton	Pelanda	Perales	Ramos
Reineke	Retherford	Riedel	Roegner

Rogers	Romanchuk	Ryan	Schaffer
Scherer	Schuring	Seitz	Sheehy
Slaby	Smith, K.	Smith, T.	Stein
Strahorn	Sykes	West	Wiggam
Wilkin	Young		Smith, R.-71

Those who voted in the negative were: Representatives

Antani	Becker	Butler	Dean
Dever	DeVitis	Edwards	Hood
Householder	Huffman	Leland	Merrin
Sweeney, B.	Thompson	Vitale	Zeltwanger-16

The bill having received the required constitutional majority, passed notwithstanding the objections of the Governor.

The Speaker handed down the following communication from the Governor:

**STATE OF OHIO
EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT
OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR
COLUMBUS**

**STATEMENT OF THE REASONS FOR THE VETO OF AMENDED
SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 228**

Pursuant to Article II, Section 16, of the Ohio Constitution, which states that the Governor may disapprove of any bill, I hereby disapprove of Amended Substitute House Bill Number 228 (Am. Sub. H.B. 228) and set forth the following reasons for so doing.

Since first being elected to the Ohio Senate in 1976, I have consistently supported Ohioans' constitutional right to bear arms and to defend themselves and their families. As governor, I have signed or allowed to become law nine bills protecting that right, making mine one of the most consistently pro-Second Amendment administrations in the history of our state. But even the late U.S. Supreme Court Justice Antonin Scalia noted in *District of Columbia v. Heller*, 554 U.S. 570 (2008), that "[l]ike most rights, the right to bear arms is not unlimited." Justice Scalia went on to say in *Heller* that "nothing in our opinion should be taken to cast doubt on longstanding prohibitions on the possession of firearms by felons and the mentally ill ... "

In just the last few years, we have all witnessed the horrific mass shootings at the Borderline Bar & Grill in Thousand Oaks, California, the Tree of Life Synagogue in Pittsburgh, the Fifth Third Bank in downtown Cincinnati, the Route 91 Harvest music festival in Las Vegas, the First Baptist Church in Sutherland Springs, Texas, the Stoneman Douglas High School in Parkland, Florida, and others too numerous to list in this message. It is often the case that after the shooting, we learn that the shooter's family, friends, teachers,

neighbors, co-workers, or fellow students saw signs beforehand that suggested that the shooter was heading toward some form of acute mental crisis that might result in self-harm or harm to those with whom the shooter comes in contact.

The vast majority of people who are suffering from some form of mental breakdown or illness, whether acute or long-term, will never commit an act of violence. And it is likely that we will never be able to accurately predict which people so suffering will commit acts of extreme violence. But that does not mean we should do nothing. New policies are needed to better safeguard those in crisis, their families, their co-workers, their classmates, their educators, law enforcement officers, and the general public from the harm that we have seen happen far too many times when those in crisis have unrestricted access to firearms and have exhibited signs of causing harm.

A significant step forward in this area would be to enact a "red flag law," also known as an "Extreme Risk Protection Order" law. Before the high school shooting in Parkland, Florida, five states already had versions of a red flag law in place; since Parkland, eight more states have adopted their own red flag laws. The concept of a red flag law is simple - if it becomes apparent that an individual who is in possession of a firearm is exhibiting some form of conduct that strongly suggests that the individual poses a real danger to himself or herself or to others with that firearm, then certain statutorily-defined people have the ability to go to court and seek an order from a judge that temporarily places that individual's firearms in safekeeping while the individual is evaluated. Even the National Rifle Association is on record as supporting the concept of red flag laws. That the General Assembly has been unwilling to even debate the idea is baffling and unconscionable to me. This idea's omission from this legislation is a shortcoming that I cannot accept.

While there is merit in the bill's provisions strengthening penalties against illegal "strawman" purchases of firearms and allowing off-duty police to carry concealed weapons, other provisions in this bill will benefit from additional consideration. For example, this bill would reverse the burden of proof in criminal cases in which the defendant alleges he or she acted in self-defense. The bill would require the prosecution to disprove, beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant's self-defense allegation. This has never been the law in Ohio; the defendant has always had the burden of proving self-defense. This provision of the bill is strongly opposed by the Ohio Prosecuting Attorneys Association. In addition, a provision in this bill to restrict the rights of local governments to enact any policies concerning firearms further erodes Ohio's long-established policies that guarantee local governments substantial sovereignty under the legal principle known as "home rule." These and other issues addressed in Am. Sub. H.B. 228 demand a more careful, deliberate public debate than can ever occur in the rushed, end of-year environment of

an abbreviated "lame duck" session.

I urge members of the 133rd General Assembly, convening in January 2019, to conduct a prolonged, thoughtful, and transparent review of state laws regarding the sale, possession, and use of firearms in order to send the next governor a bill that is not only consistent with the right to bear arms and the right of all Ohioans to robust due process protections, but that also keeps firearms out of the hands of those individuals who would use them to harm themselves or others. Am. Sub. H.B. 228 is not that bill and signing it into law would be detrimental to the safety of all of our citizens.

For these reasons, a veto of Amended Substitute House Bill 228 is in the public interest.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name and caused the Great Seal of the State of Ohio to be affixed at Columbus this 19th day of December Two Thousand Eighteen.

[Seal]

/s/JOHN R. KASICH

John R. Kasich, Governor

Representative Schuring moved that the reading of the veto message be dispensed with.

The motion was agreed to without objection.

The House proceeded to the consideration of **Am. Sub. H.B. 228** vetoed by the Governor.

The question being, "Shall the bill pass notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?"

The yeas and nays were taken and resulted – yeas 67, nays 22, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were: Representatives

Anielski	Antani	Arndt	Becker
Blessing	Brenner	Brinkman	Butler
Carfagna	Cera	Cupp	Dean
DeVitis	Duffey	Edwards	Faber
Gavarone	Ginter	Green	Greenspan
Hagan	Hambley	Henne	Hill, E.
Hood	Hoops	Householder	Huffman
Hughes	Johnson	Keller	Kick
Koehler	Landis	Lanese	Lang
LaTourette	Lipps	McClain	Merrin
Patmon	Patterson	Patton	Pelanda
Perales	Reineke	Retherford	Riedel
Roegner	Rogers	Romanchuk	Ryan
Schaffer	Scherer	Schuring	Seitz
Slaby	Smith, T.	Sprague	Stein
Thompson	Vitale	Wiggam	Wilkin
Young	Zeltwanger		Smith, R.-67

Those who voted in the negative were: Representatives

Antonio	Barnes	Brown	Celebrezze
Craig	Dever	Fedor	Galonski
Holmes	Ingram	Kelly	Kent
Leland	Miller	O'Brien	Ramos
Sheehy	Smith, K.	Strahorn	Sweeney, B.
Sykes			West-22

The bill having received the required constitutional majority, passed notwithstanding the objections of the Governor.

The Speaker handed down the following communication from the Governor:

**STATE OF OHIO
EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT
OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR
COLUMBUS**

**STATEMENT OF THE REASONS FOR THE VETO OF SUBSTITUTE
HOUSE BILL 258**

Pursuant to Article II, Section 16, of the Ohio Constitution, which states that the Governor may disapprove of any bill, I hereby disapprove of Substitute House Bill 258 (Sub. H.B. 258) and set forth the following reasons for so doing.

As governor I have worked hard to strengthen Ohio's protections for the sanctity of human life, and I have a deep respect for my fellow members of the pro-life community and their ongoing efforts in defense of unborn life. However, the central provision of Sub. H.B. 258, that an abortion cannot be performed if a heartbeat has been detected in the unborn child, is contrary to the Supreme Court of the United States' current rulings on abortion. Because the lower federal courts are bound to follow the U.S. Supreme Court's precedents on abortion, Sub. H.B. 258 will likely be struck down as unconstitutional. The State of Ohio will be the losing party in that lawsuit and, as the losing party, the State of Ohio will be forced to pay hundreds of thousands of taxpayer dollars to cover the legal fees for the pro-choice activists' lawyers. Therefore, this veto is in the public interest.

For these reasons, I am vetoing Substitute House Bill 258.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name and caused the Great Seal of the State of Ohio to be affixed at Columbus this 21st day of December Two Thousand Eighteen.

[Seal]

/s/JOHN R. KASICH
John R. Kasich, Governor

Representative Schuring moved that the reading of the veto message be dispensed with.

The motion was agreed to without objection.

The House proceeded to the consideration of **Sub. H.B. 258** vetoed by the Governor.

The question being, "Shall the bill pass notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?"

The yeas and nays were taken and resulted – yeas 61, nays 28, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were: Representatives

Antani	Becker	Blessing	Brenner
Brinkman	Butler	Cupp	Dean
Dever	DeVitis	Edwards	Faber
Gavarone	Ginter	Green	Greenspan
Hagan	Hambley	Henne	Hill, E.
Hood	Hoops	Householder	Huffman
Hughes	Johnson	Keller	Kick
Koehler	Landis	Lanese	Lang
LaTourette	Lipps	McClain	Merrin
Patmon	Patton	Pelanda	Perales
Reineke	Retherford	Riedel	Roegner
Romanchuk	Ryan	Schaffer	Scherer
Schuring	Seitz	Slaby	Smith, T.
Sprague	Stein	Thompson	Vitale
Wiggam	Wilkin	Young	Zeltwanger
			Smith, R.-61

Those who voted in the negative were: Representatives

Anielski	Antonio	Arndt	Barnes
Brown	Carfagna	Celebrezze	Cera
Craig	Duffey	Fedor	Galonski
Holmes	Ingram	Kelly	Kent
Leland	Miller	O'Brien	Patterson
Ramos	Rogers	Sheehy	Smith, K.
Strahorn	Sweeney, B.	Sykes	West-28

The bill having received the required constitutional majority, passed notwithstanding the objections of the Governor.

Message from the Senate

Mr. Speaker:

I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred in the passage of the following bill:

Am. Sub. H. B. No. 66 - Representative Young

Cosponsors: Representatives Duffey, Antani, Anielski, Ginter, Green, Hagan, Hambley, Householder, Johnson, Patton, Perales, Ryan, Sprague, Sweeney, Thompson Senators Bacon, Beagle, Lehner, Tavares, Terhar, Thomas, Uecker, Williams, Wilson, Yuko

To amend sections 1354.01, 3313.205, 3314.03, and 3326.11 and to enact sections 3314.13, 3319.613, 3321.141, and 3326.90 of the Revised Code to establish the Subcommittee on Standards for Teacher Preparation of the Educator Standards Board, to establish the Undergraduate Mission Study Committee to evaluate each state university's efforts to secure participation in the undergraduate mission by its tenured faculty, and to qualify public, private institutions of higher education as covered entities for cybersecurity program safe harbor, and to require a public school to notify the parent of a student who fails to arrive at school on time.

As a substitute bill with the following additional amendments, in which the concurrence of the House is requested.

In line 1 of the title, delete the first "section" and insert "sections"; after "1354.01" insert ", 3313.205, 3314.03, and 3326.11"; delete the second "section" and insert "sections 3314.13,"

In line 2 of the title, after "3319.613" insert ", 3321.141, and 3326.90"

In line 9 of the title, delete the first "and" and insert a comma

In line 11 of the title, after "harbor" insert ", and to require a public school to notify the parent of a student who fails to arrive at school on time"

In line 12, delete the first "section" and insert "sections"; after "1354.01" insert ", 3313.205, 3314.03, and 3326.11"; delete the second "section" and insert "sections 3314.13,"

In line 13, after "3319.613" insert ", 3321.141, and 3326.90"

After line 61, insert:

"Sec. 3313.205. The Subject to section 3321.141 of the Revised Code, the board of education of each school district shall adopt a written policy with respect to the notification of a student's parents, parent who is the residential parent and legal custodian, guardian, or legal custodian or any other person responsible for the student within a reasonable time after the determination that the student is absent from school. The student's parents, parent who is the residential parent and legal custodian, guardian, or legal custodian or any other person responsible for the student shall provide the school that the student attends a current address and a telephone number at which the student's parents, parent who is the residential parent and legal custodian, guardian, or legal custodian or any other person that is responsible for the student can receive notice that the student is absent from school.

Sec. 3314.03. A copy of every contract entered into under this section shall be filed with the superintendent of public instruction. The department of education shall make available on its web site a copy of every approved,

executed contract filed with the superintendent under this section.

(A) Each contract entered into between a sponsor and the governing authority of a community school shall specify the following:

(1) That the school shall be established as either of the following:

(a) A nonprofit corporation established under Chapter 1702. of the Revised Code, if established prior to April 8, 2003;

(b) A public benefit corporation established under Chapter 1702. of the Revised Code, if established after April 8, 2003.

(2) The education program of the school, including the school's mission, the characteristics of the students the school is expected to attract, the ages and grades of students, and the focus of the curriculum;

(3) The academic goals to be achieved and the method of measurement that will be used to determine progress toward those goals, which shall include the statewide achievement assessments;

(4) Performance standards, including but not limited to all applicable report card measures set forth in section 3302.03 or 3314.017 of the Revised Code, by which the success of the school will be evaluated by the sponsor;

(5) The admission standards of section 3314.06 of the Revised Code and, if applicable, section 3314.061 of the Revised Code;

(6)(a) Dismissal procedures;

(b) A requirement that the governing authority adopt an attendance policy that includes a procedure for automatically withdrawing a student from the school if the student without a legitimate excuse fails to participate in seventy-two consecutive hours of the learning opportunities offered to the student.

(7) The ways by which the school will achieve racial and ethnic balance reflective of the community it serves;

(8) Requirements for financial audits by the auditor of state. The contract shall require financial records of the school to be maintained in the same manner as are financial records of school districts, pursuant to rules of the auditor of state. Audits shall be conducted in accordance with section 117.10 of the Revised Code.

(9) An addendum to the contract outlining the facilities to be used that contains at least the following information:

(a) A detailed description of each facility used for instructional purposes;

(b) The annual costs associated with leasing each facility that are paid by or on behalf of the school;

(c) The annual mortgage principal and interest payments that are paid

by the school;

(d) The name of the lender or landlord, identified as such, and the lender's or landlord's relationship to the operator, if any.

(10) Qualifications of teachers, including a requirement that the school's classroom teachers be licensed in accordance with sections 3319.22 to 3319.31 of the Revised Code, except that a community school may engage noncertificated persons to teach up to twelve hours per week pursuant to section 3319.301 of the Revised Code.

(11) That the school will comply with the following requirements:

(a) The school will provide learning opportunities to a minimum of twenty-five students for a minimum of nine hundred twenty hours per school year.

(b) The governing authority will purchase liability insurance, or otherwise provide for the potential liability of the school.

(c) The school will be nonsectarian in its programs, admission policies, employment practices, and all other operations, and will not be operated by a sectarian school or religious institution.

(d) The school will comply with sections 9.90, 9.91, 109.65, 121.22, 149.43, 2151.357, 2151.421, 2313.19, 3301.0710, 3301.0711, 3301.0712, 3301.0715, 3301.0729, 3301.948, 3313.472, 3313.50, 3313.536, 3313.539, 3313.5310, 3313.608, 3313.609, 3313.6012, 3313.6013, 3313.6014, 3313.6015, 3313.6020, 3313.643, 3313.648, 3313.6411, 3313.66, 3313.661, 3313.662, 3313.666, 3313.667, 3313.668, 3313.67, 3313.671, 3313.672, 3313.673, 3313.69, 3313.71, 3313.716, 3313.718, 3313.719, 3313.7112, 3313.721, 3313.80, 3313.814, 3313.816, 3313.817, 3313.86, 3313.89, 3313.96, 3319.073, 3319.074, 3319.321, 3319.39, 3319.391, 3319.41, 3319.46, 3321.01, 3321.041, 3321.13, 3321.14, 3321.141, 3321.17, 3321.18, 3321.19, 3321.191, 3327.10, 4111.17, 4113.52, and 5705.391 and Chapters 117., 1347., 2744., 3365., 3742., 4112., 4123., 4141., and 4167. of the Revised Code as if it were a school district and will comply with section 3301.0714 of the Revised Code in the manner specified in section 3314.17 of the Revised Code.

(e) The school shall comply with Chapter 102. and section 2921.42 of the Revised Code.

(f) The school will comply with sections 3313.61, 3313.611, and 3313.614 of the Revised Code, except that for students who enter ninth grade for the first time before July 1, 2010, the requirement in sections 3313.61 and 3313.611 of the Revised Code that a person must successfully complete the curriculum in any high school prior to receiving a high school diploma may be met by completing the curriculum adopted by the governing authority of the community school rather than the curriculum specified in Title XXXIII of

the Revised Code or any rules of the state board of education. Beginning with students who enter ninth grade for the first time on or after July 1, 2010, the requirement in sections 3313.61 and 3313.611 of the Revised Code that a person must successfully complete the curriculum of a high school prior to receiving a high school diploma shall be met by completing the requirements prescribed in division (C) of section 3313.603 of the Revised Code, unless the person qualifies under division (D) or (F) of that section. Each school shall comply with the plan for awarding high school credit based on demonstration of subject area competency, and beginning with the 2017-2018 school year, with the updated plan that permits students enrolled in seventh and eighth grade to meet curriculum requirements based on subject area competency adopted by the state board of education under divisions (J) (1) and (2) of section 3313.603 of the Revised Code. Beginning with the 2018-2019 school year, the school shall comply with the framework for granting units of high school credit to students who demonstrate subject area competency through work-based learning experiences, internships, or cooperative education developed by the department under division (J)(3) of section 3313.603 of the Revised Code.

(g) The school governing authority will submit within four months after the end of each school year a report of its activities and progress in meeting the goals and standards of divisions (A)(3) and (4) of this section and its financial status to the sponsor and the parents of all students enrolled in the school.

(h) The school, unless it is an internet- or computer-based community school, will comply with section 3313.801 of the Revised Code as if it were a school district.

(i) If the school is the recipient of moneys from a grant awarded under the federal race to the top program, Division (A), Title XIV, Sections 14005 and 14006 of the "American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009," Pub. L. No. 111-5, 123 Stat. 115, the school will pay teachers based upon performance in accordance with section 3317.141 and will comply with section 3319.111 of the Revised Code as if it were a school district.

(j) If the school operates a preschool program that is licensed by the department of education under sections 3301.52 to 3301.59 of the Revised Code, the school shall comply with sections 3301.50 to 3301.59 of the Revised Code and the minimum standards for preschool programs prescribed in rules adopted by the state board under section 3301.53 of the Revised Code.

(k) The school will comply with sections 3313.6021 and 3313.6023 of the Revised Code as if it were a school district unless it is either of the following:

(i) An internet- or computer-based community school;

(ii) A community school in which a majority of the enrolled students are children with disabilities as described in division (A)(4)(b) of section 3314.35 of the Revised Code.

(12) Arrangements for providing health and other benefits to employees;

(13) The length of the contract, which shall begin at the beginning of an academic year. No contract shall exceed five years unless such contract has been renewed pursuant to division (E) of this section.

(14) The governing authority of the school, which shall be responsible for carrying out the provisions of the contract;

(15) A financial plan detailing an estimated school budget for each year of the period of the contract and specifying the total estimated per pupil expenditure amount for each such year.

(16) Requirements and procedures regarding the disposition of employees of the school in the event the contract is terminated or not renewed pursuant to section 3314.07 of the Revised Code;

(17) Whether the school is to be created by converting all or part of an existing public school or educational service center building or is to be a new start-up school, and if it is a converted public school or service center building, specification of any duties or responsibilities of an employer that the board of education or service center governing board that operated the school or building before conversion is delegating to the governing authority of the community school with respect to all or any specified group of employees provided the delegation is not prohibited by a collective bargaining agreement applicable to such employees;

(18) Provisions establishing procedures for resolving disputes or differences of opinion between the sponsor and the governing authority of the community school;

(19) A provision requiring the governing authority to adopt a policy regarding the admission of students who reside outside the district in which the school is located. That policy shall comply with the admissions procedures specified in sections 3314.06 and 3314.061 of the Revised Code and, at the sole discretion of the authority, shall do one of the following:

(a) Prohibit the enrollment of students who reside outside the district in which the school is located;

(b) Permit the enrollment of students who reside in districts adjacent to the district in which the school is located;

(c) Permit the enrollment of students who reside in any other district in the state.

(20) A provision recognizing the authority of the department of

education to take over the sponsorship of the school in accordance with the provisions of division (C) of section 3314.015 of the Revised Code;

(21) A provision recognizing the sponsor's authority to assume the operation of a school under the conditions specified in division (B) of section 3314.073 of the Revised Code;

(22) A provision recognizing both of the following:

(a) The authority of public health and safety officials to inspect the facilities of the school and to order the facilities closed if those officials find that the facilities are not in compliance with health and safety laws and regulations;

(b) The authority of the department of education as the community school oversight body to suspend the operation of the school under section 3314.072 of the Revised Code if the department has evidence of conditions or violations of law at the school that pose an imminent danger to the health and safety of the school's students and employees and the sponsor refuses to take such action.

(23) A description of the learning opportunities that will be offered to students including both classroom-based and non-classroom-based learning opportunities that is in compliance with criteria for student participation established by the department under division (H)(2) of section 3314.08 of the Revised Code;

(24) The school will comply with sections 3302.04 and 3302.041 of the Revised Code, except that any action required to be taken by a school district pursuant to those sections shall be taken by the sponsor of the school. However, the sponsor shall not be required to take any action described in division (F) of section 3302.04 of the Revised Code.

(25) Beginning in the 2006-2007 school year, the school will open for operation not later than the thirtieth day of September each school year, unless the mission of the school as specified under division (A)(2) of this section is solely to serve dropouts. In its initial year of operation, if the school fails to open by the thirtieth day of September, or within one year after the adoption of the contract pursuant to division (D) of section 3314.02 of the Revised Code if the mission of the school is solely to serve dropouts, the contract shall be void.

(26) Whether the school's governing authority is planning to seek designation for the school as a STEM school equivalent under section 3326.032 of the Revised Code;

(27) That the school's attendance and participation policies will be available for public inspection;

(28) That the school's attendance and participation records shall be made available to the department of education, auditor of state, and school's

sponsor to the extent permitted under and in accordance with the "Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974," 88 Stat. 571, 20 U.S.C. 1232g, as amended, and any regulations promulgated under that act, and section 3319.321 of the Revised Code;

(29) If a school operates using the blended learning model, as defined in section 3301.079 of the Revised Code, all of the following information:

- (a) An indication of what blended learning model or models will be used;
- (b) A description of how student instructional needs will be determined and documented;
- (c) The method to be used for determining competency, granting credit, and promoting students to a higher grade level;
- (d) The school's attendance requirements, including how the school will document participation in learning opportunities;
- (e) A statement describing how student progress will be monitored;
- (f) A statement describing how private student data will be protected;
- (g) A description of the professional development activities that will be offered to teachers.

(30) A provision requiring that all moneys the school's operator loans to the school, including facilities loans or cash flow assistance, must be accounted for, documented, and bear interest at a fair market rate;

(31) A provision requiring that, if the governing authority contracts with an attorney, accountant, or entity specializing in audits, the attorney, accountant, or entity shall be independent from the operator with which the school has contracted.

(32) A provision requiring the governing authority to adopt an enrollment and attendance policy that requires a student's parent to notify the community school in which the student is enrolled when there is a change in the location of the parent's or student's primary residence.

(33) A provision requiring the governing authority to adopt a student residence and address verification policy for students enrolling in or attending the school.

(B) The community school shall also submit to the sponsor a comprehensive plan for the school. The plan shall specify the following:

- (1) The process by which the governing authority of the school will be selected in the future;
- (2) The management and administration of the school;
- (3) If the community school is a currently existing public school or educational service center building, alternative arrangements for current

public school students who choose not to attend the converted school and for teachers who choose not to teach in the school or building after conversion;

(4) The instructional program and educational philosophy of the school;

(5) Internal financial controls.

When submitting the plan under this division, the school shall also submit copies of all policies and procedures regarding internal financial controls adopted by the governing authority of the school.

(C) A contract entered into under section 3314.02 of the Revised Code between a sponsor and the governing authority of a community school may provide for the community school governing authority to make payments to the sponsor, which is hereby authorized to receive such payments as set forth in the contract between the governing authority and the sponsor. The total amount of such payments for monitoring, oversight, and technical assistance of the school shall not exceed three per cent of the total amount of payments for operating expenses that the school receives from the state.

(D) The contract shall specify the duties of the sponsor which shall be in accordance with the written agreement entered into with the department of education under division (B) of section 3314.015 of the Revised Code and shall include the following:

(1) Monitor the community school's compliance with all laws applicable to the school and with the terms of the contract;

(2) Monitor and evaluate the academic and fiscal performance and the organization and operation of the community school on at least an annual basis;

(3) Report on an annual basis the results of the evaluation conducted under division (D)(2) of this section to the department of education and to the parents of students enrolled in the community school;

(4) Provide technical assistance to the community school in complying with laws applicable to the school and terms of the contract;

(5) Take steps to intervene in the school's operation to correct problems in the school's overall performance, declare the school to be on probationary status pursuant to section 3314.073 of the Revised Code, suspend the operation of the school pursuant to section 3314.072 of the Revised Code, or terminate the contract of the school pursuant to section 3314.07 of the Revised Code as determined necessary by the sponsor;

(6) Have in place a plan of action to be undertaken in the event the community school experiences financial difficulties or closes prior to the end of a school year.

(E) Upon the expiration of a contract entered into under this section, the sponsor of a community school may, with the approval of the governing authority of the school, renew that contract for a period of time determined by the sponsor, but not ending earlier than the end of any school year, if the sponsor finds that the school's compliance with applicable laws and terms of the contract and the school's progress in meeting the academic goals prescribed in the contract have been satisfactory. Any contract that is renewed under this division remains subject to the provisions of sections 3314.07, 3314.072, and 3314.073 of the Revised Code.

(F) If a community school fails to open for operation within one year after the contract entered into under this section is adopted pursuant to division (D) of section 3314.02 of the Revised Code or permanently closes prior to the expiration of the contract, the contract shall be void and the school shall not enter into a contract with any other sponsor. A school shall not be considered permanently closed because the operations of the school have been suspended pursuant to section 3314.072 of the Revised Code.

Sec. 3314.13. A community school, community school governing authority, or community school employee is not liable in damages in a civil action for injury, death, or loss to person or property allegedly arising from a community school employee's action or inaction in good faith compliance with section 3321.141 of the Revised Code. This section does not eliminate, limit, or reduce any other immunity or defense that a community school, community school governing authority, or community school employee may be entitled to under Chapter 2744, or any other provision of the Revised Code or under the common law of this state."

In line 94, delete "in developing the standards for teacher" and insert "with issues pertaining to educator"

After line 96, insert:

"**Sec. 3321.141.** (A)(1) Within one hundred twenty minutes after the beginning of each school day, the attendance officer, attendance officer's assistant for each individual school building, or other person the attendance officer designates to take attendance for each school building shall make at least one attempt to contact, in accordance with division (A)(2) of this section, the parent, guardian, or other person having care of any student who was absent without legitimate excuse from the school the student is required to attend as of the beginning of that school day.

(2) An attempt to contact a student's parent, guardian, or other person having care of the student shall be made through one of the following methods:

(a) A telephone call placed in person;

(b) An automated telephone call via a system that includes

verification that each call was actually placed, and either the call was answered by its intended recipient or a voice mail message was left by the automated system relaying the required information;

(c) A notification sent through the school's automated student information system;

(d) A text-based communication sent to the parent's, guardian's, or other person's electronic wireless communications device, as defined in division (G)(1) of section 4511.204 of the Revised Code;

(e) A notification sent to the electronic mail address of the parent, guardian, or other person;

(f) A visit, in person, to the student's residence of record;

(g) Any other notification procedure that has been adopted by resolution of the board of education of a school district.

(B) If the parent, guardian, or other person having care of a student initiates a telephone call or other communication notifying the school or building administration of the student's excused or unexcused absence within one hundred twenty minutes after the beginning of the school day, the school is under no further obligation with respect to the requirement prescribed in division (A) of this section.

(C) A school district, or any officer, director, employee, or member of the school district board of education is not liable in damages in a civil action for injury, death, or loss to person or property allegedly arising from an employee's action or inaction in good faith compliance with this section. This section does not eliminate, limit, or reduce any other immunity or defense that a person may be entitled to under Chapter 2744, or any other provision of the Revised Code or under the common law of this state.

(D) This section does not apply to either of the following:

(1) Students who are in home-based, online, or internet- or computer-based instruction;

(2) Instances where a student was not expected to be in attendance at a particular school building due to that student's participation in off-campus activities, including but not limited to participation in the college credit plus program established under Chapter 3365, of the Revised Code.

Sec. 3326.11. Each science, technology, engineering, and mathematics school established under this chapter and its governing body shall comply with sections 9.90, 9.91, 109.65, 121.22, 149.43, 2151.357, 2151.421, 2313.19, 2921.42, 2921.43, 3301.0714, 3301.0715, 3301.0729, 3301.948, 3313.14, 3313.15, 3313.16, 3313.18, 3313.201, 3313.26, 3313.472, 3313.48, 3313.481, 3313.482, 3313.50, 3313.536, 3313.539, 3313.5310, 3313.608, 3313.6012, 3313.6013, 3313.6014, 3313.6015, 3313.6020, 3313.6021, 3313.61, 3313.611, 3313.614, 3313.615, 3313.643,

3313.648, 3313.6411, 3313.66, 3313.661, 3313.662, 3313.666, 3313.667, 3313.668, 3313.67, 3313.671, 3313.672, 3313.673, 3313.69, 3313.71, 3313.716, 3313.718, 3313.719, 3313.7112, 3313.721, 3313.80, 3313.801, 3313.814, 3313.816, 3313.817, 3313.86, 3313.89, 3313.96, 3319.073, 3319.21, 3319.32, 3319.321, 3319.35, 3319.39, 3319.391, 3319.41, 3319.45, 3319.46, 3321.01, 3321.041, 3321.05, 3321.13, 3321.14, 3321.141, 3321.17, 3321.18, 3321.19, 3321.191, 3327.10, 4111.17, 4113.52, and 5705.391 and Chapters 102., 117., 1347., 2744., 3307., 3309., 3365., 3742., 4112., 4123., 4141., and 4167. of the Revised Code as if it were a school district.

Sec. 3326.90. A STEM school, member of a STEM school governing body, or STEM school employee is not liable in damage in a civil action for injury, death, or loss to person or property allegedly arising from a STEM school employee's action or inaction in good faith compliance with section 3321.141 of the Revised Code. This section does not eliminate, limit, or reduce any other immunity or defense that a STEM school, member of a STEM school governing body, or STEM school employee may be entitled to under Chapter 2744. or any other provision of the Revised Code or under the common law of this state."

In line 97, delete "section" and insert "sections"; after "1354.01" insert ", 3313.205, 3314.03, and 3326.11"

In line 98, delete "is" and insert "are"

After line 182, insert:

"Section 4. Section 3314.03 of the Revised Code is presented in this act as a composite of the section as amended by both Sub. H.B. 21 and Am. Sub. S.B. 216 of the 132nd General Assembly. The General Assembly, applying the principle stated in division (B) of section 1.52 of the Revised Code that amendments are to be harmonized if reasonably capable of simultaneous operation, finds that the composite is the resulting version of the section in effect prior to the effective date of the section as presented in this act."

Attest:

Vincent L. Keeran,
Clerk.

Representative Schuring moved that the Senate amendments to **Am. Sub. H. B. No. 66**-Representative Young, et al., be taken up for immediate consideration.

The motion was agreed to without objection.

The Senate amendments to **Am. Sub. H. B. No. 66**-Representative Young, et al., were taken up for consideration.

Am. Sub. H. B. No. 66-Representative Young.

Cosponsors: Representatives Duffey, Antani, Anielski, Ginter, Green, Hagan, Hambley, Householder, Johnson, Patton, Perales, Ryan, Sprague, Sweeney, Thompson Senators Bacon, Beagle, Lehner, Tavares, Terhar, Thomas, Uecker, Williams, Wilson, Yuko.

To amend sections 1354.01, 3313.205, 3314.03, and 3326.11 and to enact sections 3314.13, 3319.613, 3321.141, and 3326.90 of the Revised Code to establish the Subcommittee on Standards for Teacher Preparation of the Educator Standards Board, to establish the Undergraduate Mission Study Committee to evaluate each state university's efforts to secure participation in the undergraduate mission by its tenured faculty, to qualify public and private institutions of higher education as covered entities for cybersecurity program safe harbor, and to require a public school to notify the parent of a student who fails to arrive at school on time.

The question being, "Shall the Senate amendments be concurred in?"

The yeas and nays were taken and resulted – yeas 85, nays 4, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were: Representatives

Anielski	Antani	Antonio	Arndt
Barnes	Becker	Blessing	Brinkman
Brown	Butler	Carfagna	Celebrezze
Cera	Craig	Cupp	Dean
Dever	DeVitis	Duffey	Edwards
Faber	Fedor	Galonski	Gavarone
Ginter	Green	Greenspan	Hagan
Hambley	Henne	Hill, E.	Holmes
Hood	Hoops	Householder	Huffman
Hughes	Ingram	Johnson	Keller
Kent	Kick	Koehler	Landis
Lanese	LaTourette	Leland	Lipps
McClain	Merrin	Miller	O'Brien
Patmon	Patterson	Patton	Pelanda
Perales	Reineke	Retherford	Riedel
Roegner	Rogers	Romanchuk	Ryan
Schaffer	Scherer	Schuring	Seitz
Sheehy	Slaby	Smith, K.	Smith, T.
Sprague	Stein	Strahorn	Sweeney, B.
Sykes	Thompson	Vitale	West
Wiggam	Wilkin	Young	Zeltwanger
			Smith, R.-85

Representatives Brenner, Kelly, Lang, and Ramos voted in the negative-4.

The Senate amendments were concurred in.

Message from the Senate

Mr. Speaker:

I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred in the passage of the following bill:

Sub. H. B. No. 341 - Representatives Huffman, Cera

Cosponsors: Representatives Becker, Butler, Gavarone, Goodman, Lipps, Riedel, Reineke, Retherford, Rezabek, Sprague, Thompson, Antonio, Boccieri, Boggs, Celebrezze, Craig, Fedor, Reece, Rogers, Smith, K., Strahorn, Sweeney, West, Anielski, Arndt, Ashford, Barnes, Boyd, Brown, Carfagna, Faber, Galonski, Ginter, Greenspan, Hill, Holmes, Howse, Hughes, Johnson, Landis, LaTourette, Leland, Lepore-Hagan, Manning, Miller, O'Brien, Patterson, Patton, Pelanda, Perales, Romanchuk, Ryan, Schuring, Sheehy, Stein, Sykes, Young Senators Bacon, Coley, Dolan, Eklund, Hackett, Hoagland, Lehner, Obhof, O'Brien, Peterson, Terhar, Thomas, Uecker, Williams, Wilson, Yuko

To amend sections 149.43, 149.45, 319.28, 2101.024, and 2301.03 of the Revised Code to include judges and magistrates as individuals whose residential and familial information is exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Law, and whose addresses public offices, upon request, must redact from records available to the general public on the internet; to allow spouses whose residential and familial information is not a public record to, under an existing procedure, remove their names from the county auditor's public internet records and the general tax list and duplicate, and to instead insert their initials; to change the name of the "domestic relations-juvenile-probate division" of the Logan County Court of Common Pleas to the "family court division"; to specify the administrative judge of the family court division is the clerk of the family court division; and to remove the requirement that the common pleas court clerk keep all of the journals, records, books, papers, and files pertaining to the domestic relations cases.

As a substitute bill, in which the concurrence of the House is requested.

Attest:

Vincent L. Keeran,
Clerk.

Representative Schuring moved that the Senate amendments to **Sub. H. B. No. 341**-Representatives Huffman, Cera, et al., be taken up for immediate consideration.

The motion was agreed to without objection.

The Senate amendments to **Sub. H. B. No. 341**-Representatives Huffman, Cera, et al., were taken up for consideration.

Sub. H. B. No. 341-Representatives Huffman, Cera.

Cosponsors: Representatives Becker, Butler, Gavarone, Goodman, Lipps, Riedel, Reineke, Retherford, Rezabek, Sprague, Thompson, Antonio, Boccieri, Boggs, Celebrezze, Craig, Fedor, Reece, Rogers, Smith, K., Strahorn, Sweeney, West, Anielski, Arndt, Ashford, Barnes, Boyd, Brown, Carfagna, Faber, Galonski, Ginter, Greenspan, Hill, Holmes, Howse, Hughes,

Johnson, Landis, LaTourette, Leland, Lepore-Hagan, Manning, Miller, O'Brien, Patterson, Patton, Pelanda, Perales, Romanchuk, Ryan, Schuring, Sheehy, Stein, Sykes, Young Senators Bacon, Coley, Dolan, Eklund, Hackett, Hoagland, Lehner, Obhof, O'Brien, Peterson, Terhar, Thomas, Uecker, Williams, Wilson, Yuko.

To amend sections 149.43, 149.45, 319.28, 2101.024, and 2301.03 of the Revised Code to include judges and magistrates as individuals whose residential and familial information is exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Law, and whose addresses public offices, upon request, must redact from records available to the general public on the internet; to allow spouses whose residential and familial information is not a public record to, under an existing procedure, remove their names from the county auditor's public internet records and the general tax list and duplicate, and to instead insert their initials; to change the name of the "domestic relations-juvenile-probate division" of the Logan County Court of Common Pleas to the "family court division"; to specify the administrative judge of the family court division is the clerk of the family court division; and to remove the requirement that the common pleas court clerk keep all of the journals, records, books, papers, and files pertaining to the domestic relations cases.

The question being, "Shall the Senate amendments be concurred in?"

The yeas and nays were taken and resulted – yeas 88, nays 1, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were: Representatives

Anielski	Antani	Antonio	Arndt
Barnes	Becker	Blessing	Brenner
Brown	Butler	Carfagna	Celebrezze
Cera	Craig	Cupp	Dean
Dever	DeVitis	Duffey	Edwards
Faber	Fedor	Galonski	Gavarone
Ginter	Green	Greenspan	Hagan
Hambley	Henne	Hill, E.	Holmes
Hood	Hoops	Householder	Huffman
Hughes	Ingram	Johnson	Keller
Kelly	Kent	Kick	Koehler
Landis	Lanese	Lang	LaTourette
Leland	Lipps	McClain	Merrin
Miller	O'Brien	Patmon	Patterson
Patton	Pelanda	Perales	Ramos
Reineke	Retherford	Riedel	Roegner
Rogers	Romanchuk	Ryan	Schaffer
Scherer	Schuring	Seitz	Sheehy
Slaby	Smith, K.	Smith, T.	Sprague
Stein	Strahorn	Sweeney, B.	Sykes
Thompson	Vitale	West	Wiggam
Wilkin	Young	Zeltwanger	Smith, R.-88

Representative Brinkman voted in the negative-1.

The Senate amendments were concurred in.

Message from the Senate

Mr. Speaker:

I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred in the passage of the following bill:

Sub. H. B. No. 420 - Representatives Sykes, Boyd

Cosponsors: Representatives Boccieri, Ashford, Antonio, LaTourette, Lepore-Hagan, Ginter, Smith, K., Sprague, Howse, Riedel, Boggs, Kent, Carfagna, Kick, West, Sheehy, Brenner, Anielski, Blessing, Brinkman, Brown, Craig, Cupp, Edwards, Faber, Galonski, Gavarone, Green, Hagan, Hambley, Henne, Hill, Holmes, Hood, Hoops, Ingram, Johnson, Koehler, Landis, Lanese, Lang, Leland, McClain, Miller, O'Brien, Patterson, Patton, Pelanda, Perales, Ramos, Retherford, Roegner, Rogers, Romanchuk, Ryan, Schaffer, Scherer, Schuring, Smith, T., Sweeney, B., Thompson, Wilkin, Young, Speaker Smith
Senators Gardner, Hackett, Beagle, Burke, Brown, Eklund, Hottinger, LaRose, Lehner, Manning, Obhof, O'Brien, Peterson, Schiavoni, Skindell, Sykes, Tavares, Thomas, Uecker, Williams, Wilson, Yuko

To amend sections 4725.48, 4725.49, 4725.52, 4747.04, 4747.05, 4747.06, 4747.07, 4747.08, 4747.09, 4747.10, 4747.11, 4747.12, 4747.13, and 4753.05, to amend section 5164.34 as it results from S.B. 229 of the 132nd General Assembly, and to enact section 5.2314 of the Revised Code to designate the month of November as Ohio Adoption Awareness Month, to modify the laws governing the Vision Professionals Board and Speech and Hearing Professionals Board, and to revise an exception to the prohibition against a Medicaid provider employing persons ineligible for employment because of criminal records check requirements.

As a substitute bill, in which the concurrence of the House is requested.

Attest:

Vincent L. Keeran,
Clerk.

Representative Schuring moved that the Senate amendments to **Sub. H. B. No. 420**-Representatives Sykes, Boyd, et al., be taken up for immediate consideration.

The motion was agreed to without objection.

The Senate amendments to **Sub. H. B. No. 420**-Representatives Sykes, Boyd, et al., were taken up for consideration.

Sub. H. B. No. 420-Representatives Sykes, Boyd.

Cosponsors: Representatives Boccieri, Ashford, Antonio, LaTourette, Lepore-Hagan, Ginter, Smith, K., Sprague, Howse, Riedel, Boggs, Kent, Carfagna, Kick, West, Sheehy, Brenner, Anielski, Blessing, Brinkman, Brown, Craig,

Cupp, Edwards, Faber, Galonski, Gavarone, Green, Hagan, Hambley, Henne, Hill, Holmes, Hood, Hoops, Ingram, Johnson, Koehler, Landis, Lanese, Lang, Leland, McClain, Miller, O'Brien, Patterson, Patton, Pelanda, Perales, Ramos, Retherford, Roegner, Rogers, Romanchuk, Ryan, Schaffer, Scherer, Schuring, Smith, T., Sweeney, B., Thompson, Wilkin, Young, Speaker Smith Senators Gardner, Hackett, Beagle, Burke, Brown, Eklund, Hottinger, LaRose, Lehner, Manning, Obhof, O'Brien, Peterson, Schiavoni, Skindell, Sykes, Tavares, Thomas, Uecker, Williams, Wilson, Yuko.

To amend sections 4725.48, 4725.49, 4725.52, 4747.04, 4747.05, 4747.06, 4747.07, 4747.08, 4747.09, 4747.10, 4747.11, 4747.12, 4747.13, and 4753.05, to amend section 5164.34 as it results from S.B. 229 of the 132nd General Assembly, and to enact section 5.2314 of the Revised Code to designate the month of November as Ohio Adoption Awareness Month, to modify the laws governing the Vision Professionals Board and Speech and Hearing Professionals Board, and to revise an exception to the prohibition against a Medicaid provider employing persons ineligible for employment because of criminal records check requirements.

The question being, "Shall the Senate amendments be concurred in?"

The yeas and nays were taken and resulted – yeas 88, nays 0, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were: Representatives

Anielski	Antani	Antonio	Arndt
Barnes	Becker	Blessing	Brenner
Brinkman	Brown	Butler	Carfagna
Celebrezze	Cera	Craig	Cupp
Dean	Dever	DeVitis	Duffey
Edwards	Faber	Fedor	Galonski
Gavarone	Ginter	Green	Greenspan
Hagan	Hambley	Henne	Hill, E.
Holmes	Hood	Hoops	Householder
Huffman	Hughes	Ingram	Johnson
Keller	Kelly	Kent	Kick
Koehler	Landis	Lanese	LaTourette
Leland	Lipps	McClain	Merrin
Miller	O'Brien	Patmon	Patterson
Patton	Pelanda	Perales	Ramos
Reineke	Retherford	Riedel	Roegner
Rogers	Romanchuk	Ryan	Schaffer
Scherer	Schuring	Seitz	Sheehy
Slaby	Smith, K.	Smith, T.	Sprague
Stein	Strahorn	Sweeney, B.	Sykes
Thompson	Vitale	West	Wiggam
Wilkin	Young	Zeltwanger	Smith, R.-88

The Senate amendments were concurred in.

Message from the Senate

Mr. Speaker:

I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred in the passage of the following bill:

Sub. H. B. No. 422 - Representatives Ginter, Rogers

Cosponsors: Representatives Carfagna, Seitz, Sprague, Thompson, Anielski, Antonio, Arndt, Barnes, Celebrezze, Green, Greenspan, Hambley, Johnson, Landis, Lepore-Hagan, Miller, Perales, Riedel, Schaffer, Scherer, Smith, K., Smith, R., Stein, Sweeney, Wiggam, Young Senators Eklund, Beagle, Burke, Coley, Hackett, Jordan, Lehner, O'Brien, Schiavoni, Tavares, Yuko

To amend section 4909.05 and to enact sections 4905.481, 4905.49, 4905.491, 4909.051, 4909.052, 4909.053, 4909.054, 4909.055, 4909.057, and 4909.059 of the Revised Code, to amend Section 4 of Am. H.B. 400 of the 114th General Assembly, and to repeal Sections 2 and 3 of Am. H.B. 400 of the 114th General Assembly to govern acquisitions of municipal water-works and sewage disposal system companies by certain larger nonmunicipal water-works or sewage disposal system companies and to release certain conditions with respect to the conveyance of state-owned real estate to the Miami Valley Research Foundation.

As a substitute bill, in which the concurrence of the House is requested.

Attest:

Vincent L. Keeran,
Clerk.

Representative Schuring moved that the Senate amendments to **Sub. H. B. No. 422**-Representatives Ginter, Rogers, et al., be taken up for immediate consideration.

The motion was agreed to without objection.

The Senate amendments to **Sub. H. B. No. 422**-Representatives Ginter, Rogers, et al., were taken up for consideration.

Sub. H. B. No. 422-Representatives Ginter, Rogers.

Cosponsors: Representatives Carfagna, Seitz, Sprague, Thompson, Anielski, Antonio, Arndt, Barnes, Celebrezze, Green, Greenspan, Hambley, Johnson, Landis, Lepore-Hagan, Miller, Perales, Riedel, Schaffer, Scherer, Smith, K., Smith, R., Stein, Sweeney, Wiggam, Young Senators Eklund, Beagle, Burke, Coley, Hackett, Jordan, Lehner, O'Brien, Schiavoni, Tavares, Yuko.

To amend section 4909.05 and to enact sections 4905.481, 4905.49, 4905.491, 4909.051, 4909.052, 4909.053, 4909.054, 4909.055, 4909.057, and 4909.059 of the Revised Code, to amend Section 4 of Am. H.B. 400 of the 114th General Assembly, and to repeal Sections 2 and 3 of Am. H.B. 400 of

the 114th General Assembly to govern acquisitions of municipal water-works and sewage disposal system companies by certain larger nonmunicipal water-works or sewage disposal system companies and to release certain conditions with respect to the conveyance of state-owned real estate to the Miami Valley Research Foundation.

The question being, "Shall the Senate amendments be concurred in?"

December 27, 2018

The Honorable Ryan Smith, Speaker
The Ohio House of Representatives
Columbus, Ohio
Speaker Smith,

Pursuant to House Rule No. 57, I respectfully request that I be excused from voting on the Senate amendments to **Sub. H. B. No. 422-** Representatives Ginter, Rogers, et al., because it might be construed that I have an interest in the legislation.

Sincerely,

/s/ JIM BUTLER

Jim Butler
State Representative
41st House District

The request was granted.

The yeas and nays were taken and resulted – yeas 86, nays 1, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were: Representatives

Anielski	Antani	Antonio	Arndt
Barnes	Becker	Blessing	Brenner
Brinkman	Brown	Carfagna	Celebrezze
Cera	Craig	Cupp	Dean
Dever	DeVitis	Duffey	Edwards
Faber	Fedor	Galonski	Gavarone
Ginter	Green	Greenspan	Hagan
Hambley	Henne	Hill, E.	Holmes
Hood	Hoops	Householder	Huffman
Hughes	Ingram	Johnson	Keller
Kent	Kick	Koehler	Landis
Lanese	Lang	LaTourette	Leland
Lipps	McClain	Merrin	Miller
O'Brien	Patmon	Patterson	Patton
Pelanda	Perales	Ramos	Reineke
Retherford	Riedel	Roegner	Rogers
Romanchuk	Ryan	Schaffer	Scherer
Schuring	Seitz	Sheehy	Slaby
Smith, K.	Smith, T.	Sprague	Stein

Strahorn
West
Zeltwanger

Sweeney, B.
Wiggam

Thompson
Wilkin

Vitale
Young
Smith, R.-86

Representative Kelly voted in the negative-1.

The Senate amendments were concurred in.

Message from the Senate

Mr. Speaker:

I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred in the passage of the following bill:

Sub. H. B. No. 425 - Representatives Antani, Craig

Cosponsors: Representatives Becker, Seitz, Sheehy, Lang, Anielski, Arndt, Barnes, Brown, Celebrezze, Cupp, Dever, Duffey, Fedor, Gavarone, Ginter, Gonzales, Green, Hagan, Hambley, Henne, Holmes, Hoops, Howse, Hughes, Ingram, Kent, Kick, Landis, Leland, Lepore-Hagan, Manning, Miller, O'Brien, Patterson, Patton, Perales, Ramos, Reece, Reineke, Rezabek, Riedel, Roegner, Rogers, Ryan, Schaffer, Scherer, Schuring, Smith, K., Stein, Sykes, West, Wiggam, Wilkin, Young Senators Coley, Uecker, Beagle, Hackett, Hoagland, Hottinger, Kunze, Oelslager, Peterson, Schiavoni, Tavares, Terhar, Thomas, Wilson, Yuko

To amend sections 149.43, 149.433, 2151.34, 2903.213, 2903.214, 2919.26, 2953.32, 2953.37, 2953.38, 2953.53, and 3113.31 and to repeal sections 109.38 and 109.381 of the Revised Code to provide that specified portions of peace officers' body-worn camera or dashboard camera recordings and the infrastructure record of a public school are not public records for purposes of the Public Records Law, to replace expungement with sealing of ex parte protection orders and records under certain circumstances, to clarify the appellate process for the court's refusal to grant certain protection orders, and to repeal the pilot program regarding the removal of sealed or expunged records from certain databases.

As a substitute bill, in which the concurrence of the House is requested.

Attest:

Vincent L. Keeran,
Clerk.

Representative Schuring moved that the Senate amendments to **Sub. H. B. No. 425**-Representatives Antani, Craig, et al., be taken up for immediate consideration.

The motion was agreed to without objection.

The Senate amendments to **Sub. H. B. No. 425**-Representatives Antani, Craig, et al., were taken up for consideration.

Sub. H. B. No. 425-Representatives Antani, Craig.

Cosponsors: Representatives Becker, Seitz, Sheehy, Lang, Anielski, Arndt, Barnes, Brown, Celebrezze, Cupp, Dever, Duffey, Fedor, Gavarone, Ginter, Gonzales, Green, Hagan, Hambley, Henne, Holmes, Hoops, Howse, Hughes, Ingram, Kent, Kick, Landis, Leland, Lepore-Hagan, Manning, Miller, O'Brien, Patterson, Patton, Perales, Ramos, Reece, Reineke, Rezabek, Riedel, Roegner, Rogers, Ryan, Schaffer, Scherer, Schuring, Smith, K., Stein, Sykes, West, Wiggam, Wilkin, Young Senators Coley, Uecker, Beagle, Hackett, Hoagland, Hottinger, Kunze, Oelslager, Peterson, Schiavoni, Tavares, Terhar, Thomas, Wilson, Yuko.

To amend sections 149.43, 149.433, 2151.34, 2903.213, 2903.214, 2919.26, 2953.32, 2953.37, 2953.38, 2953.53, and 3113.31 and to repeal sections 109.38 and 109.381 of the Revised Code to provide that specified portions of peace officers' body-worn camera or dashboard camera recordings and the infrastructure record of a public school are not public records for purposes of the Public Records Law, to replace expungement with sealing of ex parte protection orders and records under certain circumstances, to clarify the appellate process for the court's refusal to grant certain protection orders, and to repeal the pilot program regarding the removal of sealed or expunged records from certain databases.

The question being, "Shall the Senate amendments be concurred in?"

The yeas and nays were taken and resulted – yeas 86, nays 0, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were: Representatives

Anielski	Antani	Antonio	Arndt
Barnes	Becker	Blessing	Brenner
Brinkman	Brown	Butler	Carfagna
Celebrezze	Cera	Craig	Cupp
Dean	Dever	DeVitis	Duffey
Edwards	Faber	Fedor	Galonski
Gavarone	Ginter	Green	Greenspan
Hagan	Hambley	Henne	Hill, E.
Holmes	Hood	Hoops	Householder
Hughes	Ingram	Johnson	Keller
Kelly	Kent	Kick	Koehler
Landis	Lanese	Lang	LaTourette
Leland	Lipps	McClain	Merrin
Miller	O'Brien	Patmon	Patterson
Patton	Pelanda	Perales	Ramos
Reineke	Riedel	Roegner	Rogers
Romanchuk	Ryan	Schaffer	Scherer
Schuring	Seitz	Sheehy	Slaby
Smith, K.	Smith, T.	Stein	Strahorn
Sweeney, B.	Sykes	Thompson	Vitale
West	Wiggam	Wilkin	Young
Zeltwanger			Smith, R.-86

The Senate amendments were concurred in.

Message from the Senate

Mr. Speaker:

I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred in the passage of the following bill:

Sub. H. B. No. 101 - Representative Merrin

Cosponsors: Representatives Becker, Thompson, Seitz, Stein, West, Roegner, Sheehy, Sprague, Hood, Smith, R., Anielski, Antani, Antonio, Arndt, Boyd, Brenner, Brinkman, Butler, Carfagna, Celebrezze, Clyde, Conditt, Craig, Cupp, Dever, DeVitis, Duffey, Edwards, Galonski, Gavarone, Ginter, Goodman, Greenspan, Hagan, Hambley, Hill, Holmes, Hughes, Johnson, Keller, Kick, Koehler, Landis, Leland, Lepore-Hagan, Lipps, Manning, McColley, O'Brien, Patterson, Patton, Pelanda, Perales, Ramos, Reineke, Retherford, Rogers, Ryan, Schaffer, Slaby, Smith, K., Strahorn, Sweeney, Wiggam, Young Senators Beagle, Bacon, Brown, Coley, Hackett, Hoagland, Hottinger, Jordan, Kunze, LaRose, Obhof, Peterson, Uecker, Wilson, Yuko

To amend sections 3728.03, 4729.16, 4729.23, 4729.28, 4729.41, 4729.43, 4729.45, 4729.553, 4729.99, and 4731.96 and to enact sections 3707.60, 4729.382, 4729.47, and 4731.961 of the Revised Code to establish provisions to be known as the "Epinephrine Accessibility Act" and to make other changes to the laws governing the State Board of Pharmacy.

As a substitute bill, in which the concurrence of the House is requested.

Attest:

Vincent L. Keeran,
Clerk.

Representative Schuring moved that the Senate amendments to **Sub. H. B. No. 101**-Representative Merrin, et al., be taken up for immediate consideration.

The motion was agreed to without objection.

The Senate amendments to **Sub. H. B. No. 101**-Representative Merrin, et al., were taken up for consideration.

Sub. H. B. No. 101-Representative Merrin.

Cosponsors: Representatives Becker, Thompson, Seitz, Stein, West, Roegner, Sheehy, Sprague, Hood, Smith, R., Anielski, Antani, Antonio, Arndt, Boyd, Brenner, Brinkman, Butler, Carfagna, Celebrezze, Clyde, Conditt, Craig, Cupp, Dever, DeVitis, Duffey, Edwards, Galonski, Gavarone, Ginter, Goodman, Greenspan, Hagan, Hambley, Hill, Holmes, Hughes, Johnson, Keller, Kick, Koehler, Landis, Leland, Lepore-Hagan, Lipps, Manning, McColley, O'Brien, Patterson, Patton, Pelanda, Perales, Ramos, Reineke,

Retherford, Rogers, Ryan, Schaffer, Slaby, Smith, K., Strahorn, Sweeney, Wiggam, Young Senators Beagle, Bacon, Brown, Coley, Hackett, Hoagland, Hottinger, Jordan, Kunze, LaRose, Obhof, Peterson, Uecker, Wilson, Yuko.

To amend sections 3728.03, 4729.16, 4729.23, 4729.28, 4729.41, 4729.43, 4729.45, 4729.553, 4729.99, and 4731.96 and to enact sections 3707.60, 4729.382, 4729.47, and 4731.961 of the Revised Code to establish provisions to be known as the "Epinephrine Accessibility Act" and to make other changes to the laws governing the State Board of Pharmacy.

The question being, "Shall the Senate amendments be concurred in?"

The yeas and nays were taken and resulted – yeas 88, nays 0, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were: Representatives

Anielski	Antani	Antonio	Arndt
Barnes	Becker	Blessing	Brenner
Brinkman	Brown	Butler	Carfagna
Celebrezze	Cera	Craig	Cupp
Dean	Dever	DeVitis	Duffey
Edwards	Faber	Fedor	Galonski
Gavarone	Ginter	Green	Greenspan
Hagan	Hambley	Henne	Hill, E.
Holmes	Hood	Hoops	Householder
Huffman	Hughes	Ingram	Johnson
Keller	Kelly	Kent	Kick
Koehler	Landis	Lanese	Lang
LaTourette	Leland	Lipps	McClain
Merrin	Miller	O'Brien	Patmon
Patterson	Patton	Pelanda	Perales
Ramos	Reineke	Retherford	Riedel
Roegner	Rogers	Romanchuk	Ryan
Schaffer	Scherer	Schuring	Seitz
Sheehy	Slaby	Smith, K.	Smith, T.
Stein	Strahorn	Sweeney, B.	Sykes
Thompson	Vitale	West	Wiggam
Wilkin	Young	Zeltwanger	Smith, R.-88

The Senate amendments were concurred in.

Message from the Senate

Mr. Speaker:

I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred in the passage of the following bill:

Sub. H. B. No. 477 - Representative Koehler

Cosponsors: Representatives Thompson, Sweeney, Becker, Ingram, Vitale, Hambley, Brenner, Anielski, Blessing, Dean, Dever, Green, Henne, Hoops, Lang, Patton, Reineke, Riedel, Ryan, Scherer, Speaker Smith Senators Coley, Hackett, Hoagland, Lehner, Peterson, Terhar

To amend sections 109.57, 2917.46, 3301.133, 3302.26, 3313.668, 3313.71, 3313.753, and 3319.074, to enact sections 3314.146, 3326.271, and 3328.241, and to repeal sections 3301.073, 3301.0722, 3301.111, 3301.21, 3301.25, 3301.86, 3301.88, 3301.95, 3301.96, 3302.037, 3302.30, 3311.061, 3313.206, and 3313.711 of the Revised Code to eliminate various provisions and programs related to the Department of Education and the operation of primary and secondary schools, to revise the law on paraprofessional certification, and to provide civil immunity regarding decisions not to procure mental health services for a suspended or expelled student.

As a substitute bill, in which the concurrence of the House is requested.

Attest:

Vincent L. Keeran,
Clerk.

Representative Schuring moved that the Senate amendments to **Sub. H. B. No. 477**-Representative Koehler, et al., be taken up for immediate consideration.

The motion was agreed to without objection.

The Senate amendments to **Sub. H. B. No. 477**-Representative Koehler, et al., were taken up for consideration.

Sub. H. B. No. 477-Representative Koehler.

Cosponsors: Representatives Thompson, Sweeney, Becker, Ingram, Vitale, Hambley, Brenner, Anielski, Blessing, Dean, Dever, Green, Henne, Hoops, Lang, Patton, Reineke, Riedel, Ryan, Scherer, Speaker Smith Senators Coley, Hackett, Hoagland, Lehner, Peterson, Terhar.

To amend sections 109.57, 2917.46, 3301.133, 3302.26, 3313.668, 3313.71, 3313.753, and 3319.074, to enact sections 3314.146, 3326.271, and 3328.241, and to repeal sections 3301.073, 3301.0722, 3301.111, 3301.21, 3301.25, 3301.86, 3301.88, 3301.95, 3301.96, 3302.037, 3302.30, 3311.061, 3313.206, and 3313.711 of the Revised Code to eliminate various provisions and programs related to the Department of Education and the operation of primary and secondary schools, to revise the law on paraprofessional certification, and to provide civil immunity regarding decisions not to procure mental health services for a suspended or expelled student.

The question being, "Shall the Senate amendments be concurred in?"

December 27, 2018

The Honorable Ryan Smith, Speaker
The Ohio House of Representatives
Columbus, Ohio

Speaker Smith,

Pursuant to House Rule No. 57, I respectfully request that I be excused from voting on the Senate amendment to **Sub. H. B. No. 477**-Representative Koehler, et al., because it might be construed that I have an interest in the legislation.

Sincerely,

/s/ ADAM C. MILLER

Adam C. Miller
State Representative
17th House District

The request was granted.

The yeas and nays were taken and resulted – yeas 85, nays 2, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were: Representatives

Anielski	Antani	Arndt	Barnes
Becker	Blessing	Brenner	Brinkman
Brown	Butler	Carfagna	Celebrezze
Cera	Craig	Cupp	Dean
Dever	DeVitis	Duffey	Edwards
Faber	Fedor	Galonski	Gavarone
Ginter	Green	Greenspan	Hagan
Hambley	Henne	Hill, E.	Holmes
Hood	Hoops	Householder	Huffman
Hughes	Ingram	Johnson	Keller
Kelly	Kent	Kick	Koehler
Landis	Lanese	Lang	LaTourette
Leland	Lipps	McClain	Merrin
O'Brien	Patmon	Patterson	Patton
Pelanda	Perales	Reineke	Retherford
Riedel	Roegner	Rogers	Romanchuk
Ryan	Schaffer	Scherer	Schuring
Seitz	Sheehy	Slaby	Smith, K.
Smith, T.	Stein	Strahorn	Sweeney, B.
Sykes	Thompson	Vitale	West
Wiggam	Wilkin	Young	Zeltwanger
			Smith, R.-85

Representatives Antonio and Ramos voted in the negative-2.

The Senate amendments were concurred in.

Message from the Senate

Mr. Speaker:

I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred in the passage of the following bill:

Sub. H. B. No. 139 - Representatives Perales, Keller

Cosponsors: Representatives Hambley, Fedor, Seitz, Dean, Antonio, Brenner,

Craig, Cupp, Green, Greenspan, Lang, Retherford, Riedel, Young Senators Uecker, Coley, Skindell, Brown, Eklund, Hackett, Kunze, Manning, Oelslager, Schiavoni, Tavares, Terhar, Thomas, Yuko

To amend section 149.43 of the Revised Code to eliminate the public disclosure exemption for any permanently retained record 75 years after the date of its creation, with exceptions.

As a substitute bill, in which the concurrence of the House is requested.

Attest:

Vincent L. Keeran,
Clerk.

Representative Schuring moved that the Senate amendments to **Sub. H. B. No. 139**-Representatives Perales, Keller, et al., be taken up for immediate consideration.

The motion was agreed to without objection.

The Senate amendments to **Sub. H. B. No. 139**-Representatives Perales, Keller, et al., were taken up for consideration.

Sub. H. B. No. 139-Representatives Perales, Keller.

Cosponsors: Representatives Hambley, Fedor, Seitz, Dean, Antonio, Brenner, Craig, Cupp, Green, Greenspan, Lang, Retherford, Riedel, Young Senators Uecker, Coley, Skindell, Brown, Eklund, Hackett, Kunze, Manning, Oelslager, Schiavoni, Tavares, Terhar, Thomas, Yuko.

To amend section 149.43 of the Revised Code to eliminate the public disclosure exemption for any permanently retained record 75 years after the date of its creation, with exceptions.

The question being, “Shall the Senate amendments be concurred in?”

The yeas and nays were taken and resulted – yeas 88, nays 0, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were: Representatives

Anielski	Antani	Antonio	Arndt
Barnes	Becker	Blessing	Brenner
Brinkman	Brown	Butler	Carfagna
Celebrezze	Cera	Craig	Cupp
Dean	Dever	DeVitis	Duffy
Edwards	Faber	Fedor	Galonski
Gavarone	Ginter	Green	Greenspan
Hagan	Hambley	Henne	Hill, E.
Holmes	Hood	Hoops	Householder
Huffman	Hughes	Ingram	Johnson
Keller	Kelly	Kent	Kick
Koehler	Landis	Lanese	Lang
LaTourette	Leland	Lipps	McClain
Merrin	Miller	O'Brien	Patmon
Patterson	Patton	Pelanda	Perales

Ramos	Reineke	Retherford	Riedel
Roegner	Rogers	Romanchuk	Ryan
Schaffer	Scherer	Schuring	Seitz
Sheehy	Slaby	Smith, K.	Smith, T.
Stein	Strahorn	Sweeney, B.	Sykes
Thompson	Vitale	West	Wiggam
Wilkin	Young	Zeltwanger	Smith, R.-88

The Senate amendments were concurred in.

Message from the Senate

Mr. Speaker:

I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred in the passage of the following bill:

Am. Sub. H. B. No. 300 - Representative Barnes

Cosponsors: Representatives Hambley, Boyd, Anielski, Antonio, Arndt, Ashford, Brown, Carfagna, Celebrezze, Craig, DeVitis, Edwards, Fedor, Galonski, Ginter, Greenspan, Holmes, Howse, Hughes, Ingram, Johnson, Kent, LaTourette, Leland, Lepore-Hagan, Manning, O'Brien, Patterson, Patton, Perales, Rogers, Romanchuk, Ryan, Schaffer, Slaby, Sprague, Sweeney, Sykes, West, Young Senators Beagle, Brown, Burke, Coley, Dolan, Eklund, Gardner, Hackett, Hill, Hoagland, Kunze, LaRose, Lehner, Manning, Oelslager, Schiavoni, Skindell, Sykes, Tavares, Terhar, Thomas, Uecker, Williams, Wilson

To amend sections 4507.50 and 4507.52 of the Revised Code to provide that any nondriver identification card that is issued to a resident of Ohio who is permanently or irreversibly disabled must be issued with an 8-year expiration date and to exempt such cardholders who are also unemployed from the identification card fees.

With the following additional amendment, in which the concurrence of the House is requested.

In line 5 of the title, delete "a 15-year" and insert "an 8-year"

In line 137, delete "fifteenth" and insert "eighth"

Attest:

Vincent L. Keeran,
Clerk.

Representative Schuring moved that the Senate amendments to **Am. Sub. H. B. No. 300**-Representative Barnes, et al., be taken up for immediate consideration.

The motion was agreed to without objection.

The Senate amendments to **Am. Sub. H. B. No. 300**-Representative Barnes, et al., were taken up for consideration.

Am. Sub. H. B. No. 300-Representative Barnes.

Cosponsors: Representatives Hambley, Boyd, Anielski, Antonio, Arndt, Ashford, Brown, Carfagna, Celebrezze, Craig, DeVitis, Edwards, Fedor, Galonski, Ginter, Greenspan, Holmes, Howse, Hughes, Ingram, Johnson, Kent, LaTourette, Leland, Lepore-Hagan, Manning, O'Brien, Patterson, Patton, Perales, Rogers, Romanchuk, Ryan, Schaffer, Slaby, Sprague, Sweeney, Sykes, West, Young Senators Beagle, Brown, Burke, Coley, Dolan, Eklund, Gardner, Hackett, Hill, Hoagland, Kunze, LaRose, Lehner, Manning, Oelslager, Schiavoni, Skindell, Sykes, Tavares, Terhar, Thomas, Uecker, Williams, Wilson.

To amend sections 4507.50 and 4507.52 of the Revised Code to provide that any nondriver identification card that is issued to a resident of Ohio who is permanently or irreversibly disabled must be issued with an 8-year expiration date and to exempt such cardholders who are also unemployed from the identification card fees.

The question being, "Shall the Senate amendments be concurred in?"

The yeas and nays were taken and resulted – yeas 88, nays 0, as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were: Representatives

Anielski	Antani	Antonio	Arndt
Barnes	Becker	Blessing	Brenner
Brinkman	Brown	Butler	Carfagna
Celebrezze	Cera	Craig	Cupp
Dean	Dever	DeVitis	Duffey
Edwards	Faber	Fedor	Galonski
Gavarone	Ginter	Green	Greenspan
Hagan	Hambley	Henne	Hill, E.
Holmes	Hood	Hoops	Householder
Huffman	Hughes	Ingram	Johnson
Keller	Kelly	Kent	Kick
Koehler	Landis	Lanese	Lang
LaTourette	Leland	Lipps	McClain
Merrin	Miller	O'Brien	Patmon
Patterson	Patton	Pelanda	Perales
Ramos	Reineke	Retherford	Riedel
Roegner	Rogers	Romanchuk	Ryan
Schaffer	Scherer	Schuring	Seitz
Sheehy	Slaby	Smith, K.	Smith, T.
Stein	Strahorn	Sweeney, B.	Sykes
Thompson	Vitale	West	Wiggam
Wilkin	Young	Zeltwanger	Smith, R.-88

The Senate amendments were concurred in.

On motion of Representative Schuring, the House recessed.

The House met pursuant to recess.

Message from the Senate

Mr. Speaker:

I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred in the passage of the following bill:

Sub. H. B. No. 511 - Representatives Lanese, Rogers

Cosponsors: Representatives Rezabek, Antonio, Fedor, LaTourette, Anielski, Arndt, Ashford, Blessing, Boggs, Brown, Celebrezze, Craig, DeVitis, Galonski, Gavarone, Ginter, Henne, Holmes, Howse, Hughes, Johnson, Kent, Kick, Koehler, Lang, Lepore-Hagan, Manning, O'Brien, Patton, Pelanda, Perales, Riedel, Sheehy, Sykes, West, Young Senators Bacon, Beagle, Brown, Dolan, Hackett, Hoagland, Kunze, Lehner, Manning, Peterson, Sykes, Tavares, Terhar, Thomas, Yuko

To amend sections 3101.01, 3101.04, and 3101.05, to enact new section 3101.02 and sections 3101.041, 3101.042, and 3109.011, and to repeal sections 3101.02 and 3101.03 of the Revised Code to make changes to the laws governing the ages at which persons may marry.

Attest:

Vincent L. Keeran,
Clerk.

MESSAGE FROM THE SPEAKER

The Speaker of the House of Representatives, on December 27, 2018, signed the following:

Am. Sub. H. B. No. 66-Representative Young - et al.

Sub. H. B. No. 341-Representatives Huffman, Cera - et al.

Sub. H. B. No. 420-Representatives Sykes, Boyd - et al.

Sub. H. B. No. 422-Representatives Ginter, Rogers - et al.

Am. S. B. No. 214-Senators Terhar, Lehner - et al.

Am. Sub. S. B. No. 255-Senator McColley - et al.

Sub. S. B. No. 265-Senator Dolan - et al.

On motion of Representative Hagan, the House adjourned until Monday, December 31, 2018 at 9:00 o'clock a.m.

Attest:

BRADLEY J. YOUNG,
Clerk.