

As Passed by the Senate

125th General Assembly

Regular Session

2003-2004

Am. Sub. H. B. No. 292

**Representatives Oelslager, Seitz, Widener, Aslanides, Collier, Daniels, Faber,
Flowers, Schaffer, Setzer**

**Senators Stivers, Amstutz, Austria, Coughlin, Harris, Jacobson, Mumper,
Wachtmann, Spada**

A B I L L

To amend section 2505.02 and to enact sections 1
2307.91 to 2307.94, 2307.941, 2307.95, 2307.96, 2
and 2307.98 of the Revised Code to establish 3
minimum medical requirements for filing certain 4
asbestos claims, to specify a plaintiff's burden 5
of proof in tort actions involving exposure to 6
asbestos, to establish premises liability in 7
relation to asbestos claims, and to prescribe the 8
requirements for shareholder liability for 9
asbestos claims under the doctrine of piercing the 10
corporate veil. 11

BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF OHIO:

Section 1. That section 2505.02 be amended and sections 12
2307.91, 2307.92, 2307.93, 2307.94, 2307.941, 2307.95, 2307.96, 13
and 2307.98 of the Revised Code be enacted to read as follows: 14

Sec. 2307.91. As used in sections 2307.91 to 2307.96 of the 15
Revised Code: 16

(A) "AMA guides to the evaluation of permanent impairment" 17

means the American medical association's guides to the evaluation
of permanent impairment (fifth edition 2000) as may be modified by
the American medical association.

18
19
20

(B) "Asbestos" means chrysotile, amosite, crocidolite,
tremolite asbestos, anthophyllite asbestos, actinolite asbestos,
and any of these minerals that have been chemically treated or
altered.

21
22
23
24

(C) "Asbestos claim" means any claim for damages, losses,
indemnification, contribution, or other relief arising out of,
based on, or in any way related to asbestos. "Asbestos claim"
includes a claim made by or on behalf of any person who has been
exposed to asbestos, or any representative, spouse, parent, child,
or other relative of that person, for injury, including mental or
emotional injury, death, or loss to person, risk of disease or
other injury, costs of medical monitoring or surveillance, or any
other effects on the person's health that are caused by the
person's exposure to asbestos.

25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

(D) "Asbestosis" means bilateral diffuse interstitial
fibrosis of the lungs caused by inhalation of asbestos fibers.

35
36

(E) "Board-certified internist" means a medical doctor who is
currently certified by the American board of internal medicine.

37
38

(F) "Board-certified occupational medicine specialist" means
a medical doctor who is currently certified by the American board
of preventive medicine in the specialty of occupational medicine.

39
40
41

(G) "Board-certified oncologist" means a medical doctor who
is currently certified by the American board of internal medicine
in the subspecialty of medical oncology.

42
43
44

(H) "Board-certified pathologist" means a medical doctor who
is currently certified by the American board of pathology.

45
46

(I) "Board-certified pulmonary specialist" means a medical

47

doctor who is currently certified by the American board of
internal medicine in the subspecialty of pulmonary medicine.

48
49

(J) "Certified B-reader" means an individual qualified as a
"final" or "B-reader" as defined in 42 C.F.R. section 37.51(b), as
amended.

50
51
52

(K) "Certified industrial hygienist" means an industrial
hygienist who has attained the status of diplomate of the American
academy of industrial hygiene subject to compliance with
requirements established by the American board of industrial
hygiene.

53
54
55
56
57

(L) "Certified safety professional" means a safety
professional who has met and continues to meet all requirements
established by the board of certified safety professionals and is
authorized by that board to use the certified safety professional
title or the CSP designation.

58
59
60
61
62

(M) "Civil action" means all suits or claims of a civil
nature in a state or federal court, whether cognizable as cases at
law or in equity or admiralty. "Civil action" does not include any
of the following:

63
64
65
66

(1) A civil action relating to any workers' compensation law;

67

(2) A civil action alleging any claim or demand made against
a trust established pursuant to 11 U.S.C. section 524(g);

68
69

(3) A civil action alleging any claim or demand made against
a trust established pursuant to a plan of reorganization confirmed
under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C.
Chapter 11.

70
71
72
73

(N) "Exposed person" means any person whose exposure to
asbestos or to asbestos-containing products is the basis for an
asbestos claim under section 2307.92 of the Revised Code.

74
75
76

(O) "FEV1" means forced expiratory volume in the first

77

second, which is the maximal volume of air expelled in one second 78
during performance of simple spirometric tests. 79

(P) "FVC" means forced vital capacity that is maximal volume 80
of air expired with maximum effort from a position of full 81
inspiration. 82

(Q) "ILO scale" means the system for the classification of 83
chest x-rays set forth in the international labour office's 84
guidelines for the use of ILO international classification of 85
radiographs of pneumoconioses (2000), as amended. 86

(R) "Lung cancer" means a malignant tumor in which the 87
primary site of origin of the cancer is inside the lungs, but that 88
term does not include mesothelioma. 89

(S) "Mesothelioma" means a malignant tumor with a primary 90
site of origin in the pleura or the peritoneum, which has been 91
diagnosed by a board-certified pathologist, using standardized and 92
accepted criteria of microscopic morphology and appropriate 93
staining techniques. 94

(T) "Nonmalignant condition" means a condition that is caused 95
or may be caused by asbestos other than a diagnosed cancer. 96

(U) "Pathological evidence of asbestosis" means a statement 97
by a board-certified pathologist that more than one representative 98
section of lung tissue uninvolved with any other disease process 99
demonstrates a pattern of peribronchiolar or parenchymal scarring 100
in the presence of characteristic asbestos bodies and that there 101
is no other more likely explanation for the presence of the 102
fibrosis. 103

(V) "Physical impairment" means a nonmalignant condition that 104
meets the minimum requirements specified in division (B) of 105
section 2307.92 of the Revised Code, lung cancer of an exposed 106
person who is a smoker that meets the minimum requirements 107

specified in division (C) of section 2307.92 of the Revised Code,
or a condition of a deceased exposed person that meets the minimum
requirements specified in division (D) of section 2307.92 of the
Revised Code.

108
109
110
111

(W) "Plethysmography" means a test for determining lung
volume, also known as "body plethysmography," in which the subject
of the test is enclosed in a chamber that is equipped to measure
pressure, flow, or volume changes.

112
113
114
115

(X) "Predicted lower limit of normal" means the fifth
percentile of healthy populations based on age, height, and
gender, as referenced in the AMA guides to the evaluation of
permanent impairment.

116
117
118
119

(Y) "Premises owner" means a person who owns, in whole or in
part, leases, rents, maintains, or controls privately owned lands,
ways, or waters, or any buildings and structures on those lands,
ways, or waters, and all privately owned and state-owned lands,
ways, or waters leased to a private person, firm, or organization,
including any buildings and structures on those lands, ways, or
waters.

120
121
122
123
124
125
126

(Z) "Competent medical authority" means a medical doctor who
is providing a diagnosis for purposes of constituting prima-facie
evidence of an exposed person's physical impairment that meets the
requirements specified in section 2307.92 of the Revised Code and
who meets the following requirements:

127
128
129
130
131

(1) The medical doctor is a board-certified internist,
pulmonary specialist, oncologist, pathologist, or occupational
medicine specialist.

132
133
134

(2) The medical doctor is actually treating or has treated
the exposed person and has or had a doctor-patient relationship
with the person.

135
136
137

(3) As the basis for the diagnosis, the medical doctor has 138
not relied, in whole or in part, on any of the following: 139

(a) The reports or opinions of any doctor, clinic, 140
laboratory, or testing company that performed an examination, 141
test, or screening of the claimant's medical condition in 142
violation of any law, regulation, licensing requirement, or 143
medical code of practice of the state in which that examination, 144
test, or screening was conducted; 145

(b) The reports or opinions of any doctor, clinic, 146
laboratory, or testing company that performed an examination, 147
test, or screening of the claimant's medical condition that was 148
conducted without clearly establishing a doctor-patient 149
relationship with the claimant or medical personnel involved in 150
the examination, test, or screening process; 151

(c) The reports or opinions of any doctor, clinic, 152
laboratory, or testing company that performed an examination, 153
test, or screening of the claimant's medical condition that 154
required the claimant to agree to retain the legal services of the 155
law firm sponsoring the examination, test, or screening. 156

(4) The medical doctor spends not more than twenty-five per 157
cent of the medical doctor's professional practice time in 158
providing consulting or expert services in connection with actual 159
or potential tort actions, and the medical doctor's medical group, 160
professional corporation, clinic, or other affiliated group earns 161
not more than twenty per cent of its revenues from providing those 162
services. 163

(AA) "Radiological evidence of asbestosis" means a chest 164
x-ray showing small, irregular opacities (s, t) graded by a 165
certified B-reader as at least 1/1 on the ILO scale. 166

(BB) "Radiological evidence of diffuse pleural thickening" 167
means a chest x-ray showing bilateral pleural thickening graded by 168

a certified B-reader as at least B2 on the ILO scale and blunting 169
of at least one costophrenic angle. 170

(CC) "Regular basis" means on a frequent or recurring basis. 171

(DD) "Smoker" means a person who has smoked the equivalent of 172
one-pack year, as specified in the written report of a competent 173
medical authority pursuant to sections 2307.92 and 2307.93 of the 174
Revised Code, during the last fifteen years. 175

(EE) "Spirometry" means the measurement of volume of air 176
inhaled or exhaled by the lung. 177

(FF) "Substantial contributing factor" means both of the 178
following: 179

(1) Exposure to asbestos is the predominate cause of the 180
physical impairment alleged in the asbestos claim. 181

(2) A competent medical authority has determined with a 182
reasonable degree of medical certainty that without the asbestos 183
exposures the physical impairment of the exposed person would not 184
have occurred. 185

(GG) "Substantial occupational exposure to asbestos" means 186
employment for a cumulative period of at least five years in an 187
industry and an occupation in which, for a substantial portion of 188
a normal work year for that occupation, the exposed person did any 189
of the following: 190

(1) Handled raw asbestos fibers; 191

(2) Fabricated asbestos-containing products so that the 192
person was exposed to raw asbestos fibers in the fabrication 193
process; 194

(3) Altered, repaired, or otherwise worked with an 195
asbestos-containing product in a manner that exposed the person on 196
a regular basis to asbestos fibers; 197

(4) Worked in close proximity to other workers engaged in any 198
of the activities described in division (GG)(1), (2), or (3) of 199
this section in a manner that exposed the person on a regular 200
basis to asbestos fibers. 201

(HH) "Timed gas dilution" means a method for measuring total 202
lung capacity in which the subject breathes into a spirometer 203
containing a known concentration of an inert and insoluble gas for 204
a specific time, and the concentration of the inert and insoluble 205
gas in the lung is then compared to the concentration of that type 206
of gas in the spirometer. 207

(II) "Tort action" means a civil action for damages for 208
injury, death, or loss to person. "Tort action" includes a product 209
liability claim that is subject to sections 2307.71 to 2307.80 of 210
the Revised Code. "Tort action" does not include a civil action 211
for damages for a breach of contract or another agreement between 212
persons. 213

(JJ) "Total lung capacity" means the volume of air contained 214
in the lungs at the end of a maximal inspiration. 215

(KK) "Veterans' benefit program" means any program for 216
benefits in connection with military service administered by the 217
veterans' administration under title 38 of the United States Code. 218

(LL) "Workers' compensation law" means Chapters 4121., 4123., 219
4127., and 4131. of the Revised Code. 220

Sec. 2307.92. (A) For purposes of section 2305.10 and 221
sections 2307.92 to 2307.95 of the Revised Code, "bodily injury 222
caused by exposure to asbestos" means physical impairment of the 223
exposed person, to which the person's exposure to asbestos is a 224
substantial contributing factor. 225

(B) No person shall bring or maintain a tort action alleging 226
an asbestos claim based on a nonmalignant condition in the absence 227

of a prima-facie showing, in the manner described in division (A) 228
of section 2307.93 of the Revised Code, that the exposed person 229
has a physical impairment, that the physical impairment is a 230
result of a medical condition, and that the person's exposure to 231
asbestos is a substantial contributing factor to the medical 232
condition. That prima-facie showing shall include all of the 233
following minimum requirements: 234

(1) Evidence verifying that a competent medical authority has 235
taken a detailed occupational and exposure history of the exposed 236
person from the exposed person or, if that person is deceased, 237
from the person who is most knowledgeable about the exposures that 238
form the basis of the asbestos claim for a nonmalignant condition, 239
including all of the following: 240

(a) All of the exposed person's principal places of 241
employment and exposures to airborne contaminants; 242

(b) Whether each principal place of employment involved 243
exposures to airborne contaminants, including, but not limited to, 244
asbestos fibers or other disease causing dusts, that can cause 245
pulmonary impairment and, if that type of exposure is involved, 246
the general nature, duration, and general level of the exposure. 247

(2) Evidence verifying that a competent medical authority has 248
taken a detailed medical and smoking history of the exposed 249
person, including a thorough review of the exposed person's past 250
and present medical problems and the most probable causes of those 251
medical problems; 252

(3) A diagnosis by a competent medical authority, based on a 253
medical examination and pulmonary function testing of the exposed 254
person, that all of the following apply to the exposed person: 255

(a) The exposed person has a permanent respiratory impairment 256
rating of at least class 2 as defined by and evaluated pursuant to 257
the AMA guides to the evaluation of permanent impairment. 258

(b) Either of the following: 259

(i) The exposed person has asbestosis or diffuse pleural 260
thickening, based at a minimum on radiological or pathological 261
evidence of asbestosis or radiological evidence of diffuse pleural 262
thickening. The asbestosis or diffuse pleural thickening described 263
in this division, rather than solely chronic obstructive pulmonary 264
disease, is a substantial contributing factor to the exposed 265
person's physical impairment, based at a minimum on a 266
determination that the exposed person has any of the following: 267

(I) A forced vital capacity below the predicted lower limit 268
of normal and a ratio of FEV1 to FVC that is equal to or greater 269
than the predicted lower limit of normal; 270

(II) A total lung capacity, by plethysmography or timed gas 271
dilution, below the predicted lower limit of normal; 272

(III) A chest x-ray showing small, irregular opacities (s, t) 273
graded by a certified B-reader at least 2/1 on the ILO scale. 274

(ii) If the exposed person has a chest x-ray showing small, 275
irregular opacities (s, t) graded by a certified B-reader as only 276
a 1/0 on the ILO scale, then in order to establish that the 277
exposed person has asbestosis, rather than solely chronic 278
obstructive pulmonary disease, that is a substantial contributing 279
factor to the exposed person's physical impairment the plaintiff 280
must establish that the exposed person has both of the following: 281

(I) A forced vital capacity below the predicted lower limit 282
of normal and a ratio of FEV1 to FVC that is equal to or greater 283
than the predicted lower limit of normal; 284

(II) A total lung capacity, by plethysmography or timed gas 285
dilution, below the predicted lower limit of normal. 286

(C)(1) No person shall bring or maintain a tort action 287
alleging an asbestos claim based upon lung cancer of an exposed 288

person who is a smoker, in the absence of a prima-facie showing,
in the manner described in division (A) of section 2307.93 of the
Revised Code, that the exposed person has a physical impairment,
that the physical impairment is a result of a medical condition,
and that the person's exposure to asbestos is a substantial
contributing factor to the medical condition. That prima-facie
showing shall include all of the following minimum requirements:

(a) A diagnosis by a competent medical authority that the
exposed person has primary lung cancer and that exposure to
asbestos is a substantial contributing factor to that cancer;

(b) Evidence that is sufficient to demonstrate that at least
ten years have elapsed from the date of the exposed person's first
exposure to asbestos until the date of diagnosis of the exposed
person's primary lung cancer. The ten-year latency period
described in this division is a rebuttable presumption, and the
plaintiff has the burden of proof to rebut the presumption.

(c) Either of the following:

(i) Evidence of the exposed person's substantial occupational
exposure to asbestos;

(ii) Evidence of the exposed person's exposure to asbestos at
least equal to 25 fiber per cc years as determined to a reasonable
degree of scientific probability by a scientifically valid
retrospective exposure reconstruction conducted by a certified
industrial hygienist or certified safety professional based upon
all reasonably available quantitative air monitoring data and all
other reasonably available information about the exposed person's
occupational history and history of exposure to asbestos.

(2) If a plaintiff files a tort action that alleges an
asbestos claim based upon lung cancer of an exposed person who is
a smoker, alleges that the plaintiff's exposure to asbestos was
the result of living with another person who, if the tort action

had been filed by the other person, would have met the
requirements specified in division (C)(1)(c) of this section, and
alleges that the plaintiff lived with the other person for the
period of time specified in division (GG) of section 2307.91 of
the Revised Code, the plaintiff is considered as having satisfied
the requirements specified in division (C)(1)(c) of this section.

320
321
322
323
324
325

(D)(1) No person shall bring or maintain a tort action
alleging an asbestos claim that is based upon a wrongful death, as
described in section 2125.01 of the Revised Code of an exposed
person in the absence of a prima-facie showing, in the manner
described in division (A) of section 2307.93 of the Revised Code,
that the death of the exposed person was the result of a physical
impairment, that the death and physical impairment were a result
of a medical condition, and that the deceased person's exposure to
asbestos was a substantial contributing factor to the medical
condition. That prima-facie showing shall include all of the
following minimum requirements:

326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336

(a) A diagnosis by a competent medical authority that
exposure to asbestos was a substantial contributing factor to the
death of the exposed person;

337
338
339

(b) Evidence that is sufficient to demonstrate that at least
ten years have elapsed from the date of the deceased exposed
person's first exposure to asbestos until the date of diagnosis or
death of the deceased exposed person. The ten-year latency period
described in this division is a rebuttable presumption, and the
plaintiff has the burden of proof to rebut the presumption.

340
341
342
343
344
345

(c) Either of the following:

346

(i) Evidence of the deceased exposed person's substantial
occupational exposure to asbestos;

347
348

(ii) Evidence of the deceased exposed person's exposure to
asbestos at least equal to 25 fiber per cc years as determined to

349
350

a reasonable degree of scientific probability by a scientifically 351
valid retrospective exposure reconstruction conducted by a 352
certified industrial hygienist or certified safety professional 353
based upon all reasonably available quantitative air monitoring 354
data and all other reasonably available information about the 355
deceased exposed person's occupational history and history of 356
exposure to asbestos. 357

(2) If a person files a tort action that alleges an asbestos 358
claim based on a wrongful death, as described in section 2125.01 359
of the Revised Code, of an exposed person, alleges that the death 360
of the exposed person was the result of living with another person 361
who, if the tort action had been filed by the other person, would 362
have met the requirements specified in division (D)(1)(c) of this 363
section, and alleges that the exposed person lived with the other 364
person for the period of time specified in division (GG) of 365
section 2307.91 of the Revised Code in order to qualify as a 366
substantial occupational exposure to asbestos, the exposed person 367
is considered as having satisfied the requirements specified in 368
division (D)(1)(c) of this section. 369

(3) No court shall require or permit the exhumation of a 370
decendent for the purpose of obtaining evidence to make, or to 371
oppose, a prima-facie showing required under division (D)(1) or 372
(2) of this section regarding a tort action of the type described 373
in that division. 374

(E) No prima-facie showing is required in a tort action 375
alleging an asbestos claim based upon mesothelioma. 376

(F) Evidence relating to physical impairment under this 377
section, including pulmonary function testing and diffusing 378
studies, shall comply with the technical recommendations for 379
examinations, testing procedures, quality assurance, quality 380
control, and equipment incorporated in the AMA guides to the 381

evaluation of permanent impairment and reported as set forth in 20 382
C.F.R. Pt. 404, Subpt. P, App. 1, Part A, Sec. 3.00 E. and F., and 383
the interpretive standards set forth in the official statement of 384
the American thoracic society entitled "lung function testing: 385
selection of reference values and interpretive strategies" as 386
published in American review of respiratory disease, 387
1991:144:1202-1218. 388

(G) All of the following apply to the court's decision on the 389
prima-facie showing that meets the requirements of division (B), 390
(C), or (D) of this section: 391

(1) The court's decision does not result in any presumption 392
at trial that the exposed person has a physical impairment that is 393
caused by an asbestos-related condition. 394

(2) The court's decision is not conclusive as to the 395
liability of any defendant in the case. 396

(3) The court's findings and decisions are not admissible at 397
trial. 398

(4) If the trier of fact is a jury, the court shall not 399
instruct the jury with respect to the court's decision on the 400
prima-facie showing, and neither counsel for any party nor a 401
witness shall inform the jury or potential jurors of that showing. 402

Sec. 2307.93. (A)(1) The plaintiff in any tort action who 403
alleges an asbestos claim shall file, within thirty days after 404
filing the complaint or other initial pleading, a written report 405
and supporting test results constituting prima-facie evidence of 406
the exposed person's physical impairment that meets the minimum 407
requirements specified in division (B), (C), or (D) of section 408
2307.92 of the Revised Code, whichever is applicable. The 409
defendant in the case shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity, 410
upon the defendant's motion, to challenge the adequacy of the 411

proffered prima-facie evidence of the physical impairment for
failure to comply with the minimum requirements specified in
division (B), (C), or (D) of section 2307.92 of the Revised Code.
The defendant has one hundred twenty days from the date the
specified type of prima-facie evidence is proffered to challenge
the adequacy of that prima-facie evidence. If the defendant makes
that challenge and uses a physician to do so, the physician must
meet the requirements specified in divisions (Z)(1), (3), and (4)
of section 2307.91 of the Revised Code.

(2) With respect to any asbestos claim that is pending on the
effective date of this section, the plaintiff shall file the
written report and supporting test results described in division
(A)(1) of this section within one hundred twenty days following
the effective date of this section. Upon motion and for good cause
shown, the court may extend the one hundred twenty-day period
described in this division.

(3)(a) For any cause of action that arises before the
effective date of this section, the provisions set forth in
divisions (B), (C), and (D) of section 2307.92 of the Revised Code
are to be applied unless the court that has jurisdiction over the
case finds both of the following:

(i) A substantive right of a party to the case has been
impaired.

(ii) That impairment is otherwise in violation of Section 28
of Article II, Ohio Constitution.

(b) If a finding under division (A)(3)(a) of this section is
made by the court that has jurisdiction over the case, then the
court shall determine whether the plaintiff has failed to provide
sufficient evidence to support the plaintiff's cause of action or
the right to relief under the law that is in effect prior to the

effective date of this section.

442

(c) If the court that has jurisdiction of the case finds that
the plaintiff has failed to provide sufficient evidence to support
the plaintiff's cause of action or right to relief under division
(A)(3)(b) of this section, the court shall administratively
dismiss the plaintiff's claim without prejudice. The court shall
maintain its jurisdiction over any case that is administratively
dismissed under this division. Any plaintiff whose case has been
administratively dismissed under this division may move to
reinstate the plaintiff's case if the plaintiff provides
sufficient evidence to support the plaintiff's cause of action or
the right to relief under the law that was in effect when the
plaintiff's cause of action arose.

443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454

(B) If the defendant in an action challenges the adequacy of
the prima-facie evidence of the exposed person's physical
impairment as provided in division (A)(1) of this section, the
court shall determine from all of the evidence submitted whether
the proffered prima-facie evidence meets the minimum requirements
specified in division (B), (C), or (D) of section 2307.92 of the
Revised Code. The court shall resolve the issue of whether the
plaintiff has made the prima-facie showing required by division
(B), (C), or (D) of section 2307.92 of the Revised Code by
applying the standard for resolving a motion for summary judgment.

455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464

(C) The court shall administratively dismiss the plaintiff's
claim without prejudice upon a finding of failure to make the
prima-facie showing required by division (B), (C), or (D) of
section 2307.92 of the Revised Code. The court shall maintain its
jurisdiction over any case that is administratively dismissed
under this division. Any plaintiff whose case has been
administratively dismissed under this division may move to
reinstate the plaintiff's case if the plaintiff makes a

465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472

prima-facie showing that meets the minimum requirements specified 473
in division (B), (C), or (D) of section 2307.92 of the Revised 474
Code. 475

Sec. 2307.94. (A) Notwithstanding any other provision of the 476
Revised Code, with respect to any asbestos claim based upon a 477
nonmalignant condition that is not barred as of the effective date 478
of this section, the period of limitations shall not begin to run 479
until the exposed person has a cause of action for bodily injury 480
pursuant to section 2305.10 of the Revised Code. An asbestos claim 481
based upon a nonmalignant condition that is filed before the cause 482
of action for bodily injury pursuant to that section arises is 483
preserved for purposes of the period of limitations. 484

(B) An asbestos claim that arises out of a nonmalignant 485
condition shall be a distinct cause of action from an asbestos 486
claim relating to the same exposed person that arises out of 487
asbestos-related cancer. No damages shall be awarded for fear or 488
risk of cancer in any tort action asserting only an asbestos claim 489
for a nonmalignant condition. 490

(C) No settlement of an asbestos claim for a nonmalignant 491
condition that is concluded after the effective date of this 492
section shall require, as a condition of settlement, the release 493
of any future claim for asbestos-related cancer. 494

Sec. 2307.941. (A) The following apply to all tort actions 495
for asbestos claims brought against a premises owner to recover 496
damages or other relief for exposure to asbestos on the premises 497
owner's property: 498

(1) A premises owner is not liable for any injury to any 499
individual resulting from asbestos exposure unless that 500
individual's alleged exposure occurred while the individual was at 501

the premises owner's property.

502

(2) If exposure to asbestos is alleged to have occurred before January 1, 1972, it is presumed that a premises owner knew that this state had adopted safe levels of exposure for asbestos and that products containing asbestos were used on its property only at levels below those safe levels of exposure. To rebut this presumption, the plaintiff must prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the premises owner knew or should have known that the levels of asbestos in the immediate breathing zone of the plaintiff regularly exceeded the threshold limit values adopted by this state and that the premises owner allowed that condition to persist.

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

(3)(a) A premises owner is presumed to be not liable for any injury to any invitee who was engaged to work with, install, or remove asbestos products on the premises owner's property if the invitee's employer held itself out as qualified to perform the work. To rebut this presumption, the plaintiff must prove by a preponderance of the evidence that at the time of the exposure to asbestos that is alleged the premises owner had actual knowledge of the potential dangers of the asbestos products at the time of the alleged exposure that was superior to the knowledge of both the invitee and the invitee's employer.

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

(b) A premises owner that hired a contractor before January 1, 1972, to perform the type of work at the premises owner's property that the contractor was qualified to perform cannot be liable for any injury to any individual resulting from asbestos exposure caused by any of the contractor's employees or agents on the premises owner's property unless the premises owner directed the activity that resulted in the injury or gave or denied permission for the critical acts that led to the individual's injury.

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

(c) If exposure to asbestos is alleged to have occurred on or 533
after January 1, 1972, a premises owner is not liable for any 534
injury to any individual resulting from that exposure caused by a 535
contractor's employee or agent on the premises owner's property 536
unless the plaintiff establishes the premises owner's intentional 537
violation of an established safety standard that was in effect at 538
the time of the exposure and that the alleged violation was in the 539
plaintiff's breathing zone and was the proximate cause of the 540
plaintiff's medical condition. 541

(B) As used in this section: 542

(1) "Threshold limit values" means that, for the years 1946 543
through 1971, the concentration of asbestos in a worker's 544
breathing zone did not exceed the following maximum allowable 545
exposure limits for the eight-hour time-weighted average airborne 546
concentration: 547

(a) Asbestos: five million particles per cubic foot; 548

(b) Cadmium: 0.10 milligrams per cubic meter; 549

(c) Chromic acid and chromates (calculated as chromic oxide): 550
0.10 milligrams per cubic meter; 551

(d) Lead: 0.15 milligrams per cubic meter; 552

(e) Manganese: 6.0 milligrams per cubic meter; 553

(f) Mercury: 0.10 milligrams per cubic meter; 554

(g) Zinc oxide: 15.0 milligrams per cubic meter; 555

(h) Chlorinated diphenyls: 1.0 milligram per cubic meter; 556

(i) Chlorinated naphthalenes (trichlornaphthalene): 5.0 557
milligrams per cubic meter; 558

(j) Chlorinated naphthalenes (pentachlornaphthalene): 0.50 559
milligrams per cubic meter. 560

(2) "Established safety standard" means that, for the years 561

after 1971, the concentration of asbestos in the breathing zone of 562
a worker does not exceed the maximum allowable exposure limits for 563
the eight-hour time-weighted average airborne concentration as 564
promulgated by the occupational safety and health administration 565
(OSHA) in effect at the time of the alleged exposure. 566

(3) "Employee" means an individual who performs labor or 567
provides construction services pursuant to a construction contract 568
as defined in section 4123.79 of the Revised Code, or a remodeling 569
or repair contract, whether written or oral, if at least ten of 570
the following criteria apply: 571

(a) The individual is required to comply with instructions 572
from the other contracting party regarding the manner or method of 573
performing services. 574

(b) The individual is required by the other contracting party 575
to have particular training. 576

(c) The individual's services are integrated into the regular 577
functioning of the other contracting party. 578

(d) The individual is required to perform the work 579
personally. 580

(e) The individual is hired, supervised, or paid by the other 581
contracting party. 582

(f) A continuing relationship exists between the individual 583
and the other contracting party that contemplates continuing or 584
recurring work even if the work is not full time. 585

(g) The individual's hours of work are established by the 586
other contracting party. 587

(h) The individual is required to devote full time to the 588
business of the other contracting party. 589

(i) The person is required to perform the work on the 590
premises of the other contracting party. 591

(j) The individual is required to follow the order of work 592
set by the other contracting party. 593

(k) The individual is required to make oral or written 594
reports of progress to the other contracting party. 595

(l) The individual is paid for services on a regular basis, 596
including hourly, weekly, or monthly. 597

(m) The individual's expenses are paid for by the other 598
contracting party. 599

(n) The individual's tools and materials are furnished by the 600
other contracting party. 601

(o) The individual is provided with the facilities used to 602
perform services. 603

(p) The individual does not realize a profit or suffer a loss 604
as a result of the services provided. 605

(q) The individual is not performing services for a number of 606
employers at the same time. 607

(r) The individual does not make the same services available 608
to the general public. 609

(s) The other contracting party has a right to discharge the 610
individual. 611

(t) The individual has the right to end the relationship with 612
the other contracting party without incurring liability pursuant 613
to an employment contract or agreement. 614

Sec. 2307.95. (A) Nothing in sections 2307.92 to 2307.95 of 615
the Revised Code is intended to do, and nothing in any of those 616
sections shall be interpreted to do, either of the following: 617

(1) Affect the rights of any party in bankruptcy proceedings; 618

(2) Affect the ability of any person who is able to make a 619

showing that the person satisfies the claim criteria for
compensable claims or demands under a trust established pursuant
to a plan of reorganization under Chapter 11 of the United States
Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. Chapter 11, to make a claim or demand
against that trust.

620
621
622
623
624

(B) Sections 2307.91 to 2307.95 of the Revised Code shall not
affect the scope or operation of any workers' compensation law or
veterans' benefit program or the exclusive remedy of subrogation
under the provisions of that law or program and shall not
authorize any lawsuit that is barred by any provision of any
workers' compensation law.

625
626
627
628
629
630

(C) Except as provided in division (D) of section 2307.92 of
the Revised Code and in other provisions that relate to the
application of that division and the procedures and criteria it
contains, nothing in sections 2307.92, 2307.93, 2307.94, and
2307.95 of the Revised Code is intended, and nothing in any of
those sections shall be interpreted, to affect any wrongful death
claim, as described in section 2125.01 of the Revised Code.

631
632
633
634
635
636
637

Sec. 2307.96. (A) If a plaintiff in a tort action alleges any
injury or loss to person resulting from exposure to asbestos as a
result of the tortious act of one or more defendants, in order to
maintain a cause of action against any of those defendants based
on that injury or loss, the plaintiff must prove that the conduct
of that particular defendant was a substantial factor in causing
the injury or loss on which the cause of action is based.

638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645

(B) A plaintiff in a tort action who alleges any injury or
loss to person resulting from exposure to asbestos has the burden
of proving that the plaintiff was exposed to asbestos that was
manufactured, supplied, installed, or used by the defendant in the
action and that the plaintiff's exposure to the defendant's

646
647
648
649
650

asbestos was a substantial factor in causing the plaintiff's
injury or loss. In determining whether exposure to a particular
defendant's asbestos was a substantial factor in causing the
plaintiff's injury or loss, the trier of fact in the action shall
consider, without limitation, all of the following:

(1) The manner in which the plaintiff was exposed to the
defendant's asbestos;

(2) The proximity of the defendant's asbestos to the
plaintiff when the exposure to the defendant's asbestos occurred;

(3) The frequency and length of the plaintiff's exposure to
the defendant's asbestos;

(4) Any factors that mitigated or enhanced the plaintiff's
exposure to asbestos.

(C) This section applies only to tort actions that allege any
injury or loss to person resulting from exposure to asbestos and
that are brought on or after the effective date of this section.

Sec. 2307.98. (A) A holder has no obligation to, and has no
liability to, the covered entity or to any person with respect to
any obligation or liability of the covered entity in an asbestos
claim under the doctrine of piercing the corporate veil unless the
person seeking to pierce the corporate veil demonstrates all of
the following:

(1) The holder exerted such control over the covered entity
that the covered entity had no separate mind, will, or existence
of its own.

(2) The holder caused the covered entity to be used for the
purpose of perpetrating, and the covered entity perpetrated, an
actual fraud on the person seeking to pierce the corporate veil
primarily for the direct pecuniary benefit of the holder.

(3) The person seeking to pierce the corporate veil sustained 680
an injury or unjust loss as a direct result of the control 681
described in division (A)(1) of this section and the fraud 682
described in division (A)(2) of this section. 683

(B) A court shall not find that the holder exerted such 684
control over the covered entity that the covered entity did not 685
have a separate mind, will, or existence of its own or to have 686
caused the covered entity to be used for the purpose of 687
perpetrating a fraud solely as a result of any of the following 688
actions, events, or relationships: 689

(1) The holder is an affiliate of the covered entity and 690
provides legal, accounting, treasury, cash management, human 691
resources, administrative, or other similar services to the 692
covered entity, leases assets to the covered entity, or makes its 693
employees available to the covered entity. 694

(2) The holder loans funds to the covered entity or 695
guarantees the obligations of the covered entity. 696

(3) The officers and directors of the holder are also 697
officers and directors of the covered entity. 698

(4) The covered entity makes payments of dividends or other 699
distributions to the holder or repays loans owed to the holder. 700

(5) In the case of a covered entity that is a limited 701
liability company, the holder or its employees or agents serve as 702
the manager of the covered entity. 703

(C) The person seeking to pierce the corporate veil has the 704
burden of proof on each and every element of the person's claim 705
and must prove each element by a preponderance of the evidence. 706

(D) Any liability of the holder described in division (A) of 707
this section for an obligation or liability that is limited by 708
that division is exclusive and preempts any other obligation or 709

liability imposed upon that holder for that obligation or 710
liability under common law or otherwise. 711

(E) This section is intended to codify the elements of the 712
common law cause of action for piercing the corporate veil and to 713
abrogate the common law cause of action and remedies relating to 714
piercing the corporate veil in asbestos claims. Nothing in this 715
section shall be construed as creating a right or cause of action 716
that did not exist under the common law as it existed on the 717
effective date of this section. 718

(F) This section applies to all asbestos claims commenced on 719
or after the effective date of this section or commenced prior to 720
and pending on the effective date of this section. 721

(G) This section applies to all actions asserting the 722
doctrine of piercing the corporate veil brought against a holder 723
if any of the following apply: 724

(1) The holder is an individual and resides in this state. 725

(2) The holder is a corporation organized under the laws of 726
this state. 727

(3) The holder is a corporation with its principal place of 728
business in this state. 729

(4) The holder is a foreign corporation that is authorized to 730
conduct or has conducted business in this state. 731

(5) The holder is a foreign corporation whose parent 732
corporation is authorized to conduct business in this state. 733

(6) The person seeking to pierce the corporate veil is a 734
resident of this state. 735

(H) As used in this section, unless the context otherwise 736
requires: 737

(1) "Affiliate" and "beneficial owner" have the same meanings 738

as in section 1704.01 of the Revised Code. 739

(2) "Asbestos" has the same meaning as in section 2307.91 of 740
the Revised Code. 741

(3) "Asbestos claim" means any claim, wherever or whenever 742
made, for damages, losses, indemnification, contribution, or other 743
relief arising out of, based on, or in any way related to 744
asbestos. "Asbestos claim" includes any of the following: 745

(a) A claim made by or on behalf of any person who has been 746
exposed to asbestos, or any representative, spouse, parent, child, 747
or other relative of that person, for injury, including mental or 748
emotional injury, death, or loss to person, risk of disease or 749
other injury, costs of medical monitoring or surveillance, or any 750
other effects on the person's health that are caused by the 751
person's exposure to asbestos; 752

(b) A claim for damage or loss to property that is caused by 753
the installation, presence, or removal of asbestos. 754

(4) "Corporation" means a corporation for profit, including 755
the following: 756

(a) A domestic corporation that is organized under the laws 757
of this state; 758

(b) A foreign corporation that is organized under laws other 759
than the laws of this state and that has had a certificate of 760
authority to transact business in this state or has done business 761
in this state. 762

(5) "Covered entity" means a corporation, limited liability 763
company, limited partnership, or any other entity organized under 764
the laws of any jurisdiction, domestic or foreign, in which the 765
shareholders, owners, or members are generally not responsible for 766
the debts and obligations of the entity. Nothing in this section 767
limits or otherwise affects the liabilities imposed on a general 768

partner of a limited partnership. 769

(6) "Holder" means a person who is the holder or beneficial 770
owner of, or subscriber to, shares or any other ownership interest 771
of a covered entity, a member of a covered entity, or an affiliate 772
of any person who is the holder or beneficial owner of, or 773
subscriber to, shares or any other ownership interest of a covered 774
entity. 775

(7) "Piercing the corporate veil" means any and all common 776
law doctrines by which a holder may be liable for an obligation or 777
liability of a covered entity on the basis that the holder 778
controlled the covered entity, the holder is or was the alter ego 779
of the covered entity, or the covered entity has been used for the 780
purpose of actual or constructive fraud or as a sham to perpetrate 781
a fraud or any other common law doctrine by which the covered 782
entity is disregarded for purposes of imposing liability on a 783
holder for the debts or obligations of that covered entity. 784

(8) "Person" has the same meaning as in section 1701.01 of 785
the Revised Code. 786

Sec. 2505.02. (A) As used in this section: 787

(1) "Substantial right" means a right that the United States 788
Constitution, the Ohio Constitution, a statute, the common law, or 789
a rule of procedure entitles a person to enforce or protect. 790

(2) "Special proceeding" means an action or proceeding that 791
is specially created by statute and that prior to 1853 was not 792
denoted as an action at law or a suit in equity. 793

(3) "Provisional remedy" means a proceeding ancillary to an 794
action, including, but not limited to, a proceeding for a 795
preliminary injunction, attachment, discovery of privileged 796
matter, ~~or~~ suppression of evidence, a prima-facie showing pursuant 797
to section 2307.92 of the Revised Code, or a finding made pursuant 798

to division (A)(3) of section 2307.93 of the Revised Code. 799

(B) An order is a final order that may be reviewed, affirmed, 800
modified, or reversed, with or without retrial, when it is one of 801
the following: 802

(1) An order that affects a substantial right in an action 803
that in effect determines the action and prevents a judgment; 804

(2) An order that affects a substantial right made in a 805
special proceeding or upon a summary application in an action 806
after judgment; 807

(3) An order that vacates or sets aside a judgment or grants 808
a new trial; 809

(4) An order that grants or denies a provisional remedy and 810
to which both of the following apply: 811

(a) The order in effect determines the action with respect to 812
the provisional remedy and prevents a judgment in the action in 813
favor of the appealing party with respect to the provisional 814
remedy. 815

(b) The appealing party would not be afforded a meaningful or 816
effective remedy by an appeal following final judgment as to all 817
proceedings, issues, claims, and parties in the action. 818

(5) An order that determines that an action may or may not be 819
maintained as a class action. 820

(C) When a court issues an order that vacates or sets aside a 821
judgment or grants a new trial, the court, upon the request of 822
either party, shall state in the order the grounds upon which the 823
new trial is granted or the judgment vacated or set aside. 824

(D) This section applies to and governs any action, including 825
an appeal, that is pending in any court on ~~the effective date of~~ 826
~~this amendment~~ July 22, 1998, and all claims filed or actions 827
commenced on or after ~~the effective date of this amendment~~ July 828

22, 1998, notwithstanding any provision of any prior statute or 829
rule of law of this state. 830

Section 2. That existing section 2505.02 of the Revised Code 831
is hereby repealed. 832

Section 3. (A) The General Assembly makes the following 833
statement of findings and intent: 834

(1) Asbestos claims have created an increased amount of 835
litigation in state and federal courts that the United States 836
Supreme Court has characterized as "an elephant mass" of cases. 837

(2) The current asbestos personal injury litigation system is 838
unfair and inefficient, imposing a severe burden on litigants and 839
taxpayers alike. A recent RAND study estimates that a total of 840
fifty-four billion dollars have already been spent on asbestos 841
litigation and the costs continue to mount. Compensation for 842
asbestos claims has risen sharply since 1993. The typical claimant 843
in an asbestos lawsuit now names sixty to seventy defendants, 844
compared with an average of twenty named defendants two decades 845
ago. The RAND Report also suggests that at best, only one-half of 846
all claimants have come forward and at worst, only one-fifth have 847
filed claims to date. Estimates of the total cost of all claims 848
range from two hundred to two hundred sixty-five billion dollars. 849
Tragically, plaintiffs are receiving less than forty-three cents 850
on every dollar awarded, and sixty-five per cent of the 851
compensation paid, thus far, has gone to claimants who are not 852
sick. 853

(3) The extraordinary volume of nonmalignant asbestos cases 854
continue to strain federal and state courts. 855

(a) Today, it is estimated that there are more than two 856
hundred thousand active asbestos cases in courts nationwide. 857
According to a recent RAND study, over six hundred thousand people 858

have filed asbestos claims for asbestos-related personal injuries 859
through the end of 2000. 860

(b) Before 1998, five states, Mississippi, New York, West 861
Virginia, Texas, and Ohio, accounted for nine per cent of the 862
cases filed. However, between 1998 and 2000, these same five 863
states handled sixty-six per cent of all filings. Today, Ohio has 864
become a haven for asbestos claims and, as a result, is one of the 865
top five state court venues for asbestos filings. 866

(c) According to testimony by Laura Hong, a partner at the 867
law firm of Squire, Sanders & Dempsey who has been defending 868
companies in asbestos personal injury litigation since 1985, there 869
are at least thirty-five thousand asbestos personal injury cases 870
pending in Ohio state courts today. 871

(d) If the two hundred thirty-three Ohio state court general 872
jurisdictional judges started trying these asbestos cases today, 873
Ms. Hong noted, each would have to try over one hundred fifty 874
cases before retiring the current docket. That figure 875
conservatively computes to at least one hundred fifty trial weeks 876
or more than three years per judge to retire the current docket. 877

(e) The current docket, however, continues to increase at an 878
exponential rate. According to Judge Leo Spellacy, one of two 879
Cuyahoga County Common Pleas Court judges appointed by the Ohio 880
Supreme Court to manage the Cuyahoga County case management order 881
for asbestos cases, in 1999 there were approximately twelve 882
thousand eight hundred pending asbestos cases in Cuyahoga County. 883
However, by the end of October 2003, there were over thirty-nine 884
thousand pending asbestos cases. Approximately two hundred new 885
asbestos cases are filed in Cuyahoga County every month. 886

(4) Nationally, asbestos personal injury litigation has 887
already contributed to the bankruptcy of more than seventy 888
companies, including nearly all manufacturers of asbestos textile 889

and insulation products, and the ratio of asbestos-driven
bankruptcies is accelerating.

(a) As stated by Linda Woggon, Vice President of Governmental
Affairs of the Ohio Chamber of Commerce, a recent RAND study found
that during the first ten months of 2002, fifteen companies facing
significant asbestos-related liabilities filed for bankruptcy and
more than sixty thousand jobs have been lost because of these
bankruptcies. The RAND study estimates that the eventual cost of
asbestos litigation could reach as high as four hundred
twenty-three thousand jobs.

(b) Joseph Stiglitz, Nobel award-winning economist, in "The
Impact of Asbestos Liabilities on Workers in Bankrupt Firms,"
calculated that bankruptcies caused by asbestos have already
resulted in the loss of up to sixty thousand jobs and that each
displaced worker in the bankrupt companies will lose, on average,
an estimated twenty-five thousand to fifty thousand dollars in
wages over the worker's career, and at least a quarter of the
accumulated pension benefits.

(c) At least five Ohio-based companies have been forced into
bankruptcy because of an unending flood of asbestos cases brought
by claimants who are not sick.

(d) Owens Corning, a Toledo company, has been sued four
hundred thousand times by plaintiffs alleging asbestos-related
injury and as a result was forced to file bankruptcy. The type of
job and pension loss many Toledoans have faced because of the
Owens Corning bankruptcy also can be seen in nearby Licking County
where, in 2000, Owens Corning laid off two hundred seventy-five
workers from its Granville plant. According to a study conducted
by NERA Economic Consulting in 2000, the ripple effect of those
losses is predicted to result in a total loss of five hundred jobs
and a fifteen-million to twenty-million dollar annual reduction in

regional income. 921

(e) According to testimony presented by Robert Bunda, a 922
partner at the firm of Bunda, Stutz & DeWitt in Toledo, Ohio who 923
has been involved with the defense of asbestos cases on behalf of 924
Owens-Illinois for twenty-four years, at least five Ohio-based 925
companies have gone bankrupt because of the cost of paying people 926
who are not sick. Wage losses, pension losses, and job losses have 927
significantly affected workers for the bankrupt companies like 928
Owens Corning, Babcox & Wilcox, North American Refractories, and 929
A-Best Corp. 930

(5) The General Assembly recognizes that the vast majority of 931
Ohio asbestos claims are filed by individuals who allege they have 932
been exposed to asbestos and who have some physical sign of 933
exposure to asbestos, but who do not suffer from an 934
asbestos-related impairment. Eighty-nine per cent of asbestos 935
claims come from people who do not have cancer. Sixty-six to 936
ninety per cent of these non-cancer claimants are not sick. 937
According to a Tillinghast-Towers Perrin study, ninety-four per 938
cent of the fifty-two thousand nine hundred asbestos claims filed 939
in 2000 concerned claimants who are not sick. As a result, the 940
General Assembly recognizes that reasonable medical criteria are a 941
necessary response to the asbestos litigation crisis in this 942
state. Medical criteria will expedite the resolution of claims 943
brought by those sick claimants and will ensure that resources are 944
available for those who are currently suffering from 945
asbestos-related illnesses and for those who may become sick in 946
the future. As stated by Dr. James Allen, a pulmonologist, 947
Professor and Vice-Chairman of the Department of Internal Medicine 948
at The Ohio State University, the medical criteria included in 949
this act are reasonable criteria and are the first step toward 950
ensuring that impaired plaintiffs are compensated. In fact, Dr. 951
Allen noted that these criteria are minimum medical criteria. In 952

his clinical practice, Dr. Allen stated that he always performs 953
additional tests before assigning a diagnosis of asbestosis and 954
would never rely solely on these medical criteria. 955

(6) The cost of compensating exposed individuals who are not 956
sick jeopardizes the ability of defendants to compensate people 957
with cancer and other serious asbestos-related diseases, now and 958
in the future; threatens savings, retirement benefits, and jobs of 959
the state's current and retired employees; adversely affects the 960
communities in which these defendants operate; and impairs Ohio's 961
economy. 962

(7) The public interest requires the deferring of claims of 963
exposed individuals who are not sick in order to preserve, now and 964
for the future, defendants' ability to compensate people who 965
develop cancer and other serious asbestos-related injuries and to 966
safeguard the jobs, benefits, and savings of the state's employees 967
and the well being of the Ohio economy. 968

(B) In enacting sections 2307.91 to 2307.98 of the Revised 969
Code, it is the intent of the General Assembly to: (1) give 970
priority to those asbestos claimants who can demonstrate actual 971
physical harm or illness caused by exposure to asbestos; (2) fully 972
preserve the rights of claimants who were exposed to asbestos to 973
pursue compensation should those claimants become impaired in the 974
future as a result of such exposure; (3) enhance the ability of 975
the state's judicial systems and federal judicial systems to 976
supervise and control litigation and asbestos-related bankruptcy 977
proceedings; and (4) conserve the scarce resources of the 978
defendants to allow compensation of cancer victims and others who 979
are physically impaired by exposure to asbestos while securing the 980
right to similar compensation for those who may suffer physical 981
impairment in the future. 982

Section 4. (A) As used in this section, "asbestos," "asbestos 983

claim," "exposed person," and "substantial contributing factor" 984
have the same meanings as in section 2307.91 of the Revised Code. 985

(B) The General Assembly acknowledges the Supreme Court's 986
authority in prescribing rules governing practice and procedure in 987
the courts of this state, as provided by Section 5 of Article IV 988
of the Ohio Constitution. 989

(C) The General Assembly hereby requests the Supreme Court to 990
adopt rules to specify procedures for venue and consolidation of 991
asbestos claims brought pursuant to sections 2307.91 to 2307.95 of 992
the Revised Code. 993

(D) With respect to procedures for venue in regard to 994
asbestos claims, the General Assembly hereby requests the Supreme 995
Court to adopt a rule that requires that an asbestos claim meet 996
specific nexus requirements, including the requirement that the 997
plaintiff be domiciled in Ohio or that Ohio is the state in which 998
the plaintiff's exposure to asbestos is a substantial contributing 999
factor. 1000

(E) With respect to procedures for consolidation of asbestos 1001
claims, the General Assembly hereby requests the Supreme Court to 1002
adopt a rule that permits consolidation of asbestos claims only 1003
with the consent of all parties, and in absence of that consent, 1004
permits a court to consolidate for trial only those asbestos 1005
claims that relate to the same exposed person and members of the 1006
exposed person's household. 1007

Section 5. It is the intent of the General Assembly in 1008
enacting section 2307.96 of the Revised Code in this act to 1009
establish specific factors to be considered when determining 1010
whether a particular plaintiff's exposure to a particular 1011
defendant's asbestos was a substantial factor in causing the 1012
plaintiff's injury or loss. The consideration of these factors 1013

involving the plaintiff's proximity to the asbestos exposure, 1014
frequency of the exposure, or regularity of the exposure in tort 1015
actions involving exposure to asbestos is consistent with the 1016
factors listed by the court in *Lohrmann v. Pittsburgh Corning Cor.* 1017
(4th Cir. 1986), 782 F.2d 1156. The General Assembly by its 1018
enactment of those factors intends to clarify and define for 1019
judges and juries that evidence which is relevant to the common 1020
law requirement that plaintiff must prove proximate causation. It 1021
recognizes this section's language is contrary to the language 1022
contained in paragraph 2 of the Syllabus of the Ohio Supreme Court 1023
in *Horton v. Harwick Chemical Corp.* (1995), 73 Ohio St.3d 679. 1024
However, the General Assembly also recognizes that the courts of 1025
Ohio prior to the *Horton* decision generally followed the rationale 1026
of the *Lohrmann* decision in determining whether plaintiff had 1027
submitted any evidence that a particular defendant's product was a 1028
substantial cause of the plaintiff's injury in tort actions 1029
involving exposure to certain hazardous or toxic substances, and 1030
that the *Lohrmann* factors were of great assistance to the trial 1031
courts in the consideration of summary judgment motions and to 1032
juries when deciding issues of proximate causation. The General 1033
Assembly further recognizes that a large number of states have 1034
adopted this standard. It has also held hearings where medical 1035
evidence has been submitted indicating such a standard is 1036
medically appropriate and is scientifically sound public policy. 1037
The *Lohrmann* standard provides litigants, juries, and the courts 1038
of Ohio an objective and easily applied standard for determining 1039
whether a plaintiff has submitted evidence sufficient to sustain 1040
plaintiff's burden of proof as to proximate causation. Where 1041
specific evidence of frequency of exposure, proximity and length 1042
of exposure to a particular defendant's asbestos is lacking, 1043
summary judgment is appropriate in tort actions involving asbestos 1044
because such a plaintiff lacks any evidence of an essential 1045

element necessary to prevail. To submit a legal concept such as a 1046
"substantial factor" to a jury in these complex cases without such 1047
scientifically valid defining factors would be to invite 1048
speculation on the part of juries, something that the General 1049
Assembly has determined not to be in the best interests of Ohio 1050
and its courts. 1051

Section 6. If any item of law that constitutes the whole or 1052
part of a section of law contained in this act, or if any 1053
application of any item of law that constitutes the whole or part 1054
of a section of law contained in this act, is held invalid, the 1055
invalidity does not affect other items of law or applications of 1056
items of law that can be given effect without the invalid item of 1057
law or application. To this end, the items of law of which the 1058
sections contained in this act are composed, and their 1059
applications, are independent and severable. 1060

Section 7. If any item of law that constitutes the whole or 1061
part of a section of law contained in this act, or if any 1062
application of any item of law contained in this act, is held to 1063
be preempted by federal law, the preemption of the item of law or 1064
its application does not affect other items of law or applications 1065
that can be given affect. The items of law of which the sections 1066
of this act are composed, and their applications, are independent 1067
and severable. 1068

Section 8. The General Assembly hereby requests the Supreme 1069
Court to collect data regarding the number of awards made pursuant 1070
to section 2323.42 or 2323.51 of the Revised Code to parties to 1071
civil actions in the courts of common pleas who were adversely 1072
affected by frivolous conduct as defined in section 2323.51 of the 1073
Revised Code or by the bringing of a civil action for which there 1074
was not a reasonable good faith basis. 1075