

# **Ohio Legislative Service Commission**

Jamie L. Doskocil

# **Fiscal Note & Local Impact Statement**

**Bill**: Am. Sub. H.B. 488 of the 130th G.A. **Date**: June 4, 2014

Status: As Enacted Sponsor: Reps. Dovilla and Landis

Local Impact Statement Procedure Required: No

Contents: Veterans' benefits and services; State Teachers Retirement System membership

## **State Fiscal Highlights**

- Board of Regents and state institutions of higher education. The Board of Regents
  and state institutions of higher education may experience an increase in
  administrative costs to assist and support veterans' access to higher education as
  required by the bill.
- **Board of Pharmacy**. The bill's provision related to granting pharmacy licensure fee waivers to veterans and active duty members may result in a no more than minimal annual loss in revenues that might otherwise have been deposited in the state treasury to the credit of the Occupational Licensing and Regulatory Fund (Fund 4K90).
- **Department of Veterans Services**. The Department of Veterans Services (DVS) will experience a workload increase related to the new duties prescribed to the Director under the bill, the annual costs of which are likely to be minimal at most.
- State licensing boards. Licensing agencies may experience a one-time increase in expenditures related to drafting and implementing the rules necessary to provide expedited licensing services to veterans, active duty members and their affected spouses.
- State Approving Agency (DVS) and various state agencies. The State Approving Agency, housed in the Department of Veterans Services, will likely experience an administrative cost increase in order to process agency approvals for certain federal educational benefits. Those state agencies that are not currently registering with the State Approving Agency may experience a one-time administrative cost increase.
- Department of Rehabilitation and Correction. The Department of Rehabilitation
  and Correction's annual GRF-funded incarceration costs may minimally increase, as
  in any given year a few additional felony theft or fraud offenders could be sentenced
  to a term in prison, or sentenced to a longer term than might otherwise have been
  the case under current law and sentencing practices.

- Court cost revenues. There may be a minimal at most annual gain in the amount of
  the locally collected court cost that is credited to the Victims of Crime/Reparations
  Fund (Fund 4020) and the Indigent Defense Support Fund (Fund 5DY0), as a few
  additional theft or fraud offenders may be adjudicated guilty at the enhanced
  penalty levels included in the bill.
- Job and Family Services. The provision requiring the Ohio Department of Job and Family Services to maintain a registry of employers that have a voluntary veterans preference employment policy will result in an increase in costs for the Department to establish and maintain the registry.
- State Teachers Retirement System (STRS). The provision that modifies STRS membership eligibility requirements for certain teachers may increase STRS' administrative costs.

## **Local Fiscal Highlights**

- County criminal justice systems. The bill elevates certain theft and fraud cases by one degree. It is possible that these penalty enhancements may actually accelerate the resolution of some felony cases, which potentially reduces county adjudication, prosecution, and indigent defense costs. It is equally possible that, as offenders are facing a more serious sanction, the resolution of some felony cases may actually slow down as offenders seek to avoid prison or shorten the length of a potential prison sentence. Such an outcome could increase county adjudication, prosecution, and indigent defense costs. The net fiscal effect of these contrasting possibilities on annual county criminal justice system expenditures is likely to be minimal.
- Municipal criminal justice systems. The bill's penalty enhancements will move some misdemeanor theft and fraud cases from municipal criminal justice systems to county criminal justice systems. This means that any related case processing and sanctioning costs and related revenue gains in the form of fines and court costs shift as well from municipalities to counties. Any resulting decrease in municipal revenues and expenditures is likely to be minimal annually.
- School districts. The provision related to STRS membership may increase costs related to retirement benefits for certain school districts. Currently, school districts contribute 14% of payroll into STRS.

## **Detailed Fiscal Analysis**

### Veterans' access to higher education

The bill makes several changes that generally affect higher education benefits for veterans that will require the Board of Regents and state institutions of higher education to take certain actions that may increase their ongoing administrative costs. These changes (which will take place not later than December 31, 2014, unless otherwise noted) are as follows:

- 1. Requires the Chancellor of the Board of Regents to: (a) develop a set of standards and procedures for the awarding of credit for military training, experience, and coursework, (b) create a military articulation and transfer assurance guide for such credit that is based on the current articulation and transfer policy, (c) create a website that contains specified information related to the awarding of such credit, and (d) develop a statewide training program that prepares faculty and staff to evaluate various military experiences and to award appropriate equivalent credit.
- 2. Requires all state institutions of higher education, beginning on July 1, 2015, to ensure that appropriate equivalent credit is awarded for military experiences that meet the standards developed by the Chancellor.
- 3. Prohibits a state institution of higher education, on or after December 31, 2014, from charging a fee to a student who is an armed forces veteran or service member for the evaluation of, transcription of, or application for college credit for military experience.
- 4. Requires each state institution of higher education to establish an appeals procedure for resolving disputes regarding the awarding of college credit for military experience.
- 5. Requires each state institution of higher education to: (a) designate at least one person (not a Veterans Administration Certifying Official) to serve as the contact person for veterans affairs, (b) adopt a policy regarding the support and assistance the institution will provide to veterans, (c) survey student service members and veterans to identify their needs and challenges and make the survey available to the institution's faculty and staff, (d) allow for the establishment of a student-led group on campus for student service members and veterans, and (e) integrate existing career services to create collaborative relationships between student service members and veterans and alumni of the institution, link student service members and veterans with prospective employers, and provide student service members and veterans with social opportunities, and requires the Chancellor to provide guidance to state institutions in their compliance with these responsibilities.

- 6. Requires all state institutions of higher education to provide priority course registration for students who are armed forces veterans or service members.
- 7. Requires the Chancellor to prepare a report describing the progress made by state institutions of higher education in implementing all of the abovementioned provisions, and to deliver the report to the General Assembly within six months of the effective date of the bill.

### **Nursing Education Assistance Loan Program for active duty members**

The Nursing Education Assistance Loan Program (NEALP) provides financial assistance to Ohio students enrolled in nurse education programs. Loans are supported by a portion of the licensing fees paid by nurses and are provided through Fund 6820, appropriation item 235606, Nursing Loan Program. Students who complete their programs are eligible for forgiveness of the loan as long as they are employed in a nursing occupation in Ohio.

The bill requires the Chancellor to adopt rules whereby an active duty member serving outside of the state, or the spouse of an active duty member serving outside of the state, may have an NEALP loan forgiven. This provision could result in a decrease in state revenues as more loans under the program are forgiven.

# Exemption for state institutions of higher education from electronic records and signature requirements

Continuing law requires most executive state agencies to follow certain rules consistent with those of the Department of Administrative Services (DAS) when creating, using, receiving, or retaining electronic records and when creating, using, or receiving electronic signatures. The bill exempts state institutions of higher education from this requirement. However, if a state institution of higher education uses electronic records or signatures, the institution must adopt rules governing their use. This provision may provide institutions more flexibility, but is not likely to have a significant fiscal effect.

## Pharmacy licensing fees waived for veterans and active duty members

The bill waives the fees associated with the issuance and renewal of a license to practice pharmacy for veterans and active members of the armed forces, within limits that may be established by the Board of Pharmacy. This provision is expected to affect around 25 to 50 licensees a year. The potential annual revenue loss is likely to be considerably less than \$100,000. This is revenue that would otherwise have been deposited in the state treasury to the credit of the Occupational Licensing and Regulatory Fund (Fund 4K90).

#### **Veterans' law definition changes**

The bill establishes a common definition of "member," "veteran," and "armed forces" with respect to the recognition of state offered veterans rights related to: (1) recognition of military training toward the requirements of an occupational license, (2) the grace period for occupational license renewal for veterans, (3) priority for referral to job openings and training opportunities, and (4) extension of continuing education reporting periods. This provision will have no direct fiscal effect on the state or any of its political subdivisions.

#### Additional duties of the Director of Veterans Services

The bill requires the Director of Veterans Services, not later than December 31, 2014, to: (1) develop and maintain a website that provides links to websites of licensing agencies, (2) encourage state agencies to perform outreach efforts through which veterans and their dependents learn about available job and education benefits, (3) inform state agencies about changes in statutes or rules affecting veterans and their dependents, and (4) assist licensing agencies in adopting rules determining which military training and experience satisfies licensing requirements. The Department of Veterans Services will experience a workload increase related to the new duties described above, the annual costs of which are likely to be no more than minimal.

#### **Veterans' priority for license applications**

The bill requires each licensing agency (as defined in R.C. 5903.01), not later than December 31, 2014, to adopt rules to: (1) establish and implement a process to obtain from each applicant documentation and additional information to determine if the applicant is a member or veteran of the armed forces, or the spouse or surviving spouse of a member or veteran, (2) record, track, and monitor these applications, and (3) prioritize or expedite certification and licensing for each such applicant. The bill requires a licensing agency to include, in the rules, special accommodations for applicants facing imminent deployment. Licensing agencies may experience a one-time increase in expenditures related to drafting and implementing the rules described above.

## Veterans' educational benefits - State Approving Agency

The bill requires, by December 31, 2014, a state agency that issues a license, certificate, or other authorization permitting an individual to engage in an occupation or occupational activity to apply for approval to the State Approving Agency at the Ohio Department of Veterans Services. Such approval is required under federal law to enable an eligible person or veteran to receive education benefits through the United States Department of Veterans Affairs.

Those state agencies that are not currently registering with the State Approving Agency may experience a one-time administrative cost increase. The State Approving Agency, housed in the Department of Veterans Services, will likely experience a commensurate administrative cost increase in order to process the approvals.

#### Military service-related theft and fraud

The bill: (1) increases the penalties for theft, securing writings by deception, and identity fraud when the victim is an active duty service member or the spouse of an active duty service member, and (2) provides that a series of theft offenses or securing writings by deception offenses must be tried as a single offense. (Table 1 at the end of this document displays the proposed penalty enhancements included in the bill.) The bill also amends current law pertaining to civil actions filed by the victims of identify fraud.

According to the Office of the Attorney General, in 2013, the Federal Trade Commission received more than 22,000 identity fraud complaints from members of the military, with Ohio posting an increase in complaints of almost 20% from the prior year.

#### Local fiscal effects

The bill's provisions related to the filing of civil actions are not expected to greatly impact the civil caseloads of common pleas, municipal, and county courts. The bill modifies the statute of limitations by changing it from the general limit of beginning at the time the criminal act occurred to the time that the criminal activity (identity fraud) was discovered, thus potentially creating the opportunity for some cases to proceed, when under current law, they might otherwise have been dismissed. Relative to overall caseloads however, this potential increase in caseloads would likely be negligible. Additionally, the bill modifies the damages that may be granted by the court.

The bill's changes to the penalty structure for theft, securing writings by deception, and identity fraud of active duty service members and their spouses will not create any new crimes, nor is it likely to result in additional arrests or prosecutions. That said, the bill would affect existing cases handled by local criminal justice systems in the following two ways.

#### (1) Elevating misdemeanor cases to felony status

Identity fraud cases that would have been adjudicated as misdemeanors under current law will be elevated to the status of felonies, thus shifting such cases out of municipal and county courts into the more expensive felony component of county criminal justice systems. From the fiscal perspective of local governments, this elevation may simultaneously: (1) increase county criminal justice system expenditures related to investigating, prosecuting, adjudicating, and defending (if the offender is indigent) certain offenders, while decreasing analogous municipal criminal justice system expenditures, and (2) generate additional court cost and fine revenues for counties, while causing a loss in analogous municipal court cost and fine revenues.

While there is no readily available data tracking this type of caseload, it appears likely that the number of cases that will be affected in this manner will be relatively small in any affected local jurisdiction. As such, the resulting variations in annual

county and municipal criminal justice system expenditures and revenues for any affected local jurisdiction would likely be minimal.

#### (2) Enhancing certain felony cases

The bill elevates certain felony cases by one degree. It is possible that this penalty enhancement may actually accelerate the resolution of some felony cases, which potentially reduces county adjudication, prosecution, and indigent defense costs. It is equally possible that, as offenders are facing a more serious sanction, the resolution of some felony identity fraud cases may actually slow down as offenders seek to avoid prison or shorten the length of a potential prison sentence. Such an outcome could increase county adjudication, prosecution, and indigent defense costs. The net fiscal effect of these contrasting possibilities on county criminal justice system expenditures is likely to be minimal.

#### State fiscal effects

As a result of the bill's penalty enhancements, additional offenders could be sentenced to prison and offenders who would have been sentenced to prison under current law will, in the future under similar circumstances, be sentenced to longer prison terms. Either outcome would minimally increase the Department of Rehabilitation and Correction's (DRC) annual GRF-funded incarceration costs.

The elevation of certain misdemeanor cases to felony status also creates the possibility for the state to collect a minimal amount of additional court cost revenue annually. That revenue would be deposited to the credit of the Victims of Crime/Reparations Fund (Fund 4020) and the Indigent Defense Support Fund (Fund 5DY0).

#### Private employer veterans preference

The bill authorizes an employer to adopt a policy to provide a preference for employment decisions, including hiring, promotion, or retention during a reduction in force, to a member, veteran, or the spouse or surviving spouse of a member or veteran. The bill also requires the Ohio Department of Job and Family Services (ODJFS) to maintain a registry of employers that have a voluntary veterans preference employment policy and who have also reported that policy to ODJFS. This will result in an increase in costs for the Department to establish and maintain the registry. DVS is required to make the link to the registry available on their website, the cost of which will be diminutive.

Table 1. Proposed Penalty Enhancements for Theft, Securing Writings by Deception, and Identity Fraud Where Protected Class is Active Duty Service Member or Spouse

Offense	Current Penalty	Proposed Penalty
Theft/Aggravated Theft (R.C. 2913.02)		
Value of theft is less than \$1,000	1st degree misdemeanor	5th degree felony
Value of theft is \$1,000 or more but less than \$7,500	5th degree felony	4th degree felony
Value of theft is \$7,500 or more but less than \$150,000	4th degree felony	3rd degree felony (less than \$37,500)
		2nd degree felony (less than \$150,000)
Value of theft is \$150,000 or more but less than \$750,000	3rd degree felony	
Value of theft is \$750,000 or more but less than \$1 million	2nd degree felony	1st degree felony (\$150,000 or more)
Value of theft is \$1 million or more	1st degree felony	
Securing writings by deception (R.C. 2913.4	3)	
Value of theft is less than \$1,000	1st degree misdemeanor	5th degree felony
Value of theft is \$1,000 or more but less than \$7,500	5th degree felony	4th degree felony
Value of theft is \$7,500 or more but less than \$150,000	4th degree felony	3rd degree felony (less than \$37,500)
		2nd degree felony (\$37,500 or more)
Value of theft is \$150,000 or more	3rd degree felony	2nd degree felony
Identity Fraud (R.C. 2913.49)		
Value of theft is less than \$1,000	5th degree felony	4th degree felony
Value of theft is \$1,000 or more but less than \$7,500	4th degree felony	3rd degree felony
Value of theft is \$7,500 or more but less than \$150,000	3rd degree felony	2nd degree felony
Value of theft is \$150,000 or more	2nd degree felony	1st degree felony

## State Teachers Retirement System (STRS) membership

The bill modifies the definition of a "teacher" under the Revised Code, thereby providing that certain teachers who performed certain services to students attending nonpublic schools, without regard to whether the services are performed in a public school and whether the person is employed under a contract with a third party, are no longer excluded from STRS membership. This provision may increase costs related to retirement benefits for certain school districts. Currently, school districts contribute 14% of payroll into STRS. LSC does not have an estimate of the number of teachers affected, or of the costs to school districts.