

Testimony before the House Finance Primary and Secondary Education Subcommittee

Chairman Cupp, Vice Chairman Derickson, Ranking Member Phillips, and members of the House Finance Primary and Secondary Education Subcommittee, thank you for the opportunity to give testimony here today regarding House Bill (HB) 64. My name is Kevin Lillie, and I am the Treasurer/CFO for the Geneva Area City School District in Ashtabula County. I am also a voter, a taxpayer, and a parent of four teenagers and young adults who have gone through or are going through the traditional public school system.

My purpose in being here is to attempt to show you the effects of previous biennium budgets and the provisions proposed in HB 64 on the Geneva Area City School District (Geneva). To begin, I'd like to share some facts about Geneva from information available on the Ohio Department of Education (ODE) website. I have attached Geneva's FY2013 District Profile Report, formerly called the Cupp Report (thank you Chairman Cupp), which shows our Total Expenditure Per Pupil for FY13 as \$8,153, compared to \$9,009.65 for Similar Districts and \$10,445.61 for the Statewide Average. Our total expenditure per pupil is consistently more than \$2,000 less than the statewide average, because we generally receive more than \$2,000 less than the statewide average. Table 1 below shows Geneva's total expenditure per pupil for a nine-year period and the corresponding rank from the lowest in the state.

Table 1: Total Expenditure Per Pupil and Statewide Ranking, FY04-FY12

Fiscal Year	Total Expenditure	Rank
2012	\$8,525	94
2011	\$8,757	146
2010	\$8,584	125
2009	\$8,344	117
2008	\$8,346	171
2007	\$8,029	156
2006	\$7,872	186
2005	\$7,147	63
2004	\$6,781	40

This information comes from Expenditure and Revenue Data under Finance & Funding on the ODE website, and is only available through FY2012 with the ability to rank from lowest to highest. You can see that Geneva's expenditure per pupil ranks in the lowest fourth of districts from FY09 to FY12, and no higher than the lowest third of districts from FY06 to FY08.

Additional information I would like you to glean from the District Profile Report is our Assessed Property Valuation Per Pupil (TY12) of \$134,832.59, which is slightly below the Statewide Average of \$135,081.60, and our Median Income (TY11) of \$28,789, which is almost \$3,400 below the Statewide Average of \$32,180. Further, ODE's Typology of Ohio School Districts codes Geneva as a 4-Small Town with High Student Poverty & Average Student Population Size. Given these facts, would you expect Geneva to be placed in Quintile 4 – High Capacity in

Governor Kasich's new FY 2016-17 budget proposal? I am still struggling to figure out how the Ohio School Foundation Funding Formula Simulation Fact Sheet issued by the Office of Budget and Management can state that "the budget includes a firm commitment to efficiently and effectively distribute resources through the formula to the districts with less capacity to raise revenues locally", yet show Geneva Schools receiving \$195,635 less in FY2016 and an additional \$193,679 less in FY2017, for a total two-year reduction of \$584,949.

I've attached a spreadsheet titled **Geneva Area City Schools Five Year Forecast Projections of State Funding** in order to show you the reductions in our total state funding for the current, previous, and future biennium budgets compared to FY2011. Restricted Federal Aid was included in five year forecasts because State Fiscal Stabilization Funds and Education Jobs funding basically replaced reduced state funding at the time. You can see that Geneva will have seen reductions of almost \$1 million each year since FY2011, for a total reduction of \$5,721,678 through FY2017. How does a district that already spends less than three-fourths of the districts in the state of Ohio survive such drastic cuts when facing a budget deficit in FY13? Geneva negotiated complete wage freezes for all employees for FY12 and FY13, instituted mid-year classified staff reductions in FY12, and eliminated 15.5 teaching positions and 26 coaches and student activity advisors along with additional classified reductions for FY13. This resulted in larger class sizes, reduced course offerings and less class periods at the High School level, and less athletic and extra-curricular opportunities. We also junked plans to add all-day, every-day kindergarten because we couldn't sustain the cost of adding four additional teachers. Our Board tried to prevent many of these cuts by attempting to pass an emergency levy before the beginning of the 2012-2013 school year, but were soundly defeated at the polls in November and August. The last time the District had attempted and passed an operating levy was 1993.

Lastly, as this new budget has included discussion about charter school accountability, I would like to talk and share some information about the \$696,194.78 that leaves Geneva Schools through the Foundation funding program. Attached are six charter school comparison charts covering FY09 through FY14 showing how the performance of Geneva Schools compares to that of the charter schools to which we lose students and money. All the information is gathered from the State Report Cards and the School Finance Payment Report or other funding reports in place at the time. Actually, there really is no comparison, as Geneva currently performs better than any of the charter schools in the comparisons.

This is particularly disturbing in light of recent comments by Governor Kasich in his 2015 State of the State address in Wilmington. The following quote is taken from the transcript of that address. "But I also want to say to you that just because a charter school is not producing great results in grades, it doesn't mean they're failing. Some of these charter schools have kids that if they weren't in that charter school, they'd be out on the streets. So, Mr. Leader, we've got to figure out a way to make sure that we give everybody a chance. No situation here we're not loving our kids, but let's not judge somebody who's not doing their job because they've inherited a group of students who are just struggling, and we're going to help them to get up. Plain and simple."

What's ironic about this quote? It seems that charter schools were created because some people claimed that traditional public schools were failing our students. Rather than fixing these public schools, it was decided that better alternatives were needed. What started as a five-year experiment never completed turned into around 380 new charter schools at a time when others were pushing consolidation among traditional public school districts. Low-performing public schools were threatened with having to offer outside supplemental services, firing teachers and principals, and closing school buildings. But now when charter schools are failing miserably, lacking both educational and financial accountability, "it doesn't mean they're failing" and "we're going to help them get up." Traditional public schools have been and always will be the best option for educating Ohio's students.

I thank you for hearing my testimony today. I hope you will consider my comments and concerns as you work to improve Ohio's school funding formula. I feel that HB 64 is going to need more than just tweaking to make sure that all public schools are funded adequately and equitably and all students are provided the opportunity for a quality education regardless of their demographics. I will be happy to address any questions.

OHIO DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
 CENTER FOR SCHOOL FINANCE - SIMULATION, FOUNDATION AND ANALYSIS
 DISTRICT PROFILE REPORT FOR CITY, EXEMPTED VILLAGE AND LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICTS

IRN: 44057

DISTRICT: Geneva Area City SD

COUNTY: Ashtabula

	DISTRICT DATA	SIMILAR DISTRICT AVERAGE	CITY, E.V. & LOCAL S.D. STATEWIDE AVERAGE
A - DEMOGRAPHIC DATA:			
1) SCHOOL DISTRICT AREA SQUARE MILEAGE (FY13)	93.00	75.38	67.71
2) DISTRICT PUPIL DENSITY (FY13)	27.47	35.89	42.40
3) TOTAL AVERAGE DAILY MEMBERSHIP (FY13)	2,555.10	2,705.05	2,871.22
4) TOTAL YEAR-END ENROLLMENT (FY13)	2,609.38	2,516.03	2,613.75
5) ASIAN STUDENTS AS % OF TOTAL (FY13)	0.36%	0.71%	1.95%
6) BLACK STUDENTS AS % OF TOTAL (FY13)	0.96%	2.84%	13.86%
7) AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKAN NATIVE STUDENTS AS % OF TOTAL (FY13)	0.08%	0.14%	0.13%
8) HISPANIC STUDENTS AS % OF TOTAL (FY13)	6.99%	3.38%	4.03%
9) WHITE STUDENTS AS % OF TOTAL (FY13)	88.59%	87.91%	75.78%
10) MULTIRACIAL STUDENTS AS % OF TOTAL (FY13)	3.02%	5.02%	4.25%
11) % OF STUDENTS IN POVERTY (FY13)	51.02%	52.98%	45.40%
12) % OF STUDENTS WITH LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENCY (FY13)	2.41%	0.83%	2.75%
13) % OF STUDENTS WITH DISABILITY (FY13)	14.34%	15.92%	14.55%
B - PERSONNEL DATA:			
14) CLASSROOM TEACHERS' AVERAGE SALARY (FY13)	\$56,643.91	\$53,014.43	\$57,966.46
15) % TEACHERS WITH 0-4 YEARS EXPERIENCE (FY13)	15.22%	21.35%	22.06%
16) % TEACHERS WITH 4-10 YEARS EXPERIENCE (FY13)	13.77%	17.74%	18.90%
17) % TEACHERS WITH 10+ YEARS EXPERIENCE (FY13)	71.01%	60.91%	59.03%
18) K-12 REGULAR EDUCATION PUPIL TEACHER RATIO (FY08)	20.51	18.28	18.47
19) PTE NUMBER OF ADMINISTRATORS (FY13)	12.00	16.19	17.16
20) ADMINISTRATORS' AVERAGE SALARY (FY13)	\$80,861.92	\$74,588.25	\$76,831.61
21) PUPIL ADMINISTRATOR RATIO (FY13)	209.36	162.39	164.43
C - PROPERTY VALUATION AND TAX DATA:			
22) ASSESSED PROPERTY VALUATION PER PUPIL (TY12 [FY14])	\$134,832.59	\$112,468.79	\$135,081.60
23) RES & AGR REAL PROPERTY VALUATION AS % OF TOTAL (TY12 [FY14])	76.80%	73.27%	74.02%
24) ALL OTHER REAL PROPERTY VALUATION AS % OF TOTAL (TY12 [FY14])	20.50%	21.55%	21.35%
25) PUBLIC UTILITY TANGIBLE VALUE AS % OF TOTAL (TY12 [FY14])	2.70%	5.17%	4.63%
26) BUSINESS VALUATION AS % OF TOTAL (TY12 [FY14])	23.20%	26.73%	25.98%
27) PER PUPIL REVENUE RAISED BY ONE MILL PROPERTY TAX (TY12 [FY14])	\$134.83	\$112.47	\$135.08
28) TOTAL PROPERTY TAX PER PUPIL (TY12 [FY14])	\$3,250.49	\$3,328.17	\$5,144.28
29) ROLLBACK & HOMESTEAD PER PUPIL (FY13)	\$429.43	\$413.41	\$604.29
30) OSFC 3-YEAR ADJUSTED VALUATION PER PUPIL (FY14)	\$135,889.06	\$116,091.19	\$139,869.91
31) DISTRICT RANKING OF OSFC VALUATION PER PUPIL (FY14)	362	NA	NA
32) MEDIAN INCOME (TY11)	\$28,789.00	\$27,764.00	\$32,180.00
33) AVERAGE INCOME (TY11)	\$45,894.00	\$42,884.23	\$66,744.00
D - LOCAL EFFORT DATA:			
34) CURRENT OPERATING MILLAGE INCLUDING JVS MILLS (TY12 [FY14])	49.20	44.76	49.17
35) EFFECTIVE CLASS 1 MILLAGE INCLUDING JVS MILLS (TY12 [FY14])	23.34	27.26	30.42
36) EFFECTIVE CLASS 2 MILLAGE INCLUDING JVS MILLS (TY12 [FY14])	23.69	34.05	34.57
37) SCHOOL INSIDE MILLAGE (TY12 [FY14])	3.00	4.00	4.48
38) SCHOOL DISTRICT INCOME TAX PER PUPIL (FY13)	\$0.00	\$916.70	\$1,144.22
39) LOCAL TAX EFFORT INDEX (FY13)	0.8257	1.0500	1.0000
E - EXPENDITURE PER PUPIL DATA:			
40) ADMINISTRATION EXPENDITURE PER PUPIL (FY13)	\$1,017.03	\$1,142.73	\$1,362.53
41) BUILDING OPERATION EXPENDITURE PER PUPIL (FY13)	\$1,737.88	\$1,654.28	\$2,003.61
42) INSTRUCTIONAL EXPENDITURE PER PUPIL (FY13)	\$4,877.88	\$5,433.79	\$6,130.22
43) PUPIL SUPPORT EXPENDITURE PER PUPIL (FY13)	\$449.77	\$475.36	\$594.14
44) STAFF SUPPORT EXPENDITURE PER PUPIL (FY13)	\$70.44	\$303.49	\$355.11
45) TOTAL EXPENDITURE PER PUPIL (FY13)	\$8,153.00	\$9,009.65	\$10,445.61
F - REVENUE BY SOURCE DATA:			
46) STATE REVENUE PER PUPIL (FY13)	\$4,860.91	\$5,007.93	\$4,976.53
47) STATE REVENUE AS % OF TOTAL (FY13)	51.90%	49.31%	42.03%
48) LOCAL REVENUE PER PUPIL (FY13)	\$2,591.42	\$3,369.70	\$5,002.14
49) LOCAL REVENUE AS % OF TOTAL (FY13)	27.67%	33.18%	42.25%
50) OTHER NON-TAX REVENUE PER PUPIL (FY13)	\$966.50	\$805.03	\$880.78
51) OTHER NON-TAX REVENUE AS % OF TOTAL (FY13)	10.32%	7.93%	7.44%
52) FEDERAL REVENUE PER PUPIL (FY13)	\$947.76	\$973.63	\$980.45
53) FEDERAL REVENUE AS % OF TOTAL (FY13)	10.12%	9.59%	8.28%
54) TOTAL REVENUE PER PUPIL (FY13)	\$9,366.59	\$10,156.28	\$11,839.91
55) TOTAL FORMULA FUNDING PER PUPIL (FY13)	\$4,666.77	\$3,400.58	\$3,041.18
56) TOTAL FORMULA FUNDING AS % OF INCOME TAX LIABILITY (FY13)	124.77%	108.42%	65.13%
G - DISTRICT FINANCIAL STATUS FROM FIVE YEAR FORECAST DATA:			
57) SALARIES AS % OF OPERATING EXPENDITURES (FY13)	55.56%	54.70%	54.94%
58) FRINGE BENEFITS AS % OF OPERATING EXPENDITURES (FY13)	22.97%	21.65%	21.52%
59) PURCHASED SERVICES AS % OF OPERATING EXPENDITURES (FY13)	15.99%	18.15%	18.49%
60) SUPPLIES & MATERIALS AS % OF OPERATING EXPENDITURES (FY13)	3.89%	3.09%	3.03%
61) OTHER EXPENSES AS % OF OPERATING EXPENDITURES (FY13)	1.59%	2.40%	2.09%

**GENEVA AREA CITY SCHOOLS
FIVE YEAR FORECAST PROJECTIONS**

OF STATE FUNDING	Actual		Actual			Actual		Forecasted		Forecasted	
	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017			
Revenue Title											
Unrestricted State Aid	\$11,525,675.00	\$11,060,656.00	\$11,426,696.00	\$11,542,917.00	\$11,391,722.00	\$11,377,007.00	\$11,135,671.00	\$10,939,492.00			
Restricted State Aid	\$86,944.00	\$65,468.00	\$71,403.00	\$65,773.00	\$394,909.00	\$606,654.00	\$487,573.00	\$487,573.00			
Restricted Federal Aid	\$775,947.00	\$941,573.00	\$551,621.00	\$142,034.00							
Property Tax Allocation	\$1,568,580.00	\$1,613,743.00	\$1,223,105.00	\$896,170.00	\$903,320.00	\$903,250.00	\$907,767.00	\$912,305.00			
Total State Revenues	\$13,957,146.00	\$13,681,440.00	\$13,272,825.00	\$12,646,894.00	\$12,689,951.00	\$12,886,911.00	\$12,531,011.00	\$12,339,370.00			
REDUCTION IN FUNDING FROM FY2011			(\$408,615.00)	(\$1,034,546.00)	(\$991,489.00)	(\$794,529.00)	(\$1,150,429.00)	(\$1,342,070.00)			
ACCUMULATIVE EFFECT STATE LOSS			(\$408,615.00)	(\$1,443,161.00)	(\$2,434,650.00)	(\$3,229,179.00)	(\$4,379,608.00)	(\$5,721,678.00)			

CHARTER SCHOOL COMPARISON FY09 Report Card

IRN	Name	Our *		Designation	Indicators	Performance		AYP
		Students				Index	Met or Not	
143396	Alternative Education Academy	4.76		CI	5/30	80.2	N	
000417	Buckeye On-Line School	3.92		AW	5/30	75.9	N	
000541	Constellation: Outreach	1		Excellent	6/6	117.2	M	
133413	Electronic Classroom of Tomorrow	10.65		CI	5/30	81.1	N	
000664	Life Skills Center of Columbus SE	0.31		CI	3/12	72.9	N	
148999	Mahoning United Classroom	0.64		AW	5/12	66.0	N	
000236	Ohio Connections Academy	4.47		CI	13/29	88.1	N	
142950	Ohio Virtual Academy	17.83		CI	15/30	86.0	N	
143305	TRECA Digital Academy	2		CI	7/30	80.6	N	
Total		45.58						
044057	Geneva Area City Schools	2760		CI	25/30	97.2	N	

\$324,338.66 to Charter Schools*
 \$ 22,244.94 to Charter Schools Performing Same or Better
 \$302,093.72 to Charter Schools Performing Below

* From the FY09 SF-3 Report

CHARTER SCHOOL COMPARISON FY10 Report Card

IRN	Name	Our * Students	Designation	Indicators	Performance	
					Index	AYP Met or Not
143396	Alternative Education Academy	3	AW	5/26	77.8	N
000417	Buckeye On-Line School	5	AW	5/26	79	N
000541	Constellation: Outreach	1	Excellent	6/6	116.8	M
133413	Electronic Classroom of Tomorrow	11.03	CI	4/26	81.9	N
148999	Mahoning Unlimited Classroom	0.75	CI	4/7	73.8	N
000236	Ohio Connections Academy	2.14	Excellent	14/26	91.4	N
142950	Ohio Virtual Academy	26.85	CI	14/26	88	N
143305	TRECA Digital Academy	2	CI	5/26	83.3	N
Total		51.77				
044057	Geneva Area City Schools	2733	CI	25/26	97.4	N

\$324,338.66 to Charter Schools*
 \$ 22,244.94 to Charter Schools Performing Same or Better
 \$302,093.72 to Charter Schools Performing Below

* From the FY10 PASS Form

CHARTER SCHOOL COMPARISON FY11 Report Card

IRN	Name	Our * Students	Designation	Indicators	Performance		AYP Met or Not
					Index		
143396	Alternative Education Academy	4.37	AW	5/26	78.3		N
000417	Buckeye On-Line School	5.72	CI	11/26	85.6		N
000541	Constellation: Outreach	1	Excellent	6/6	115.9		M
133413	Electronic Classroom of Tomorrow	14.8	CI	5/26	82.6		N
148999	Mahoning Unlimited Classroom	2.04	CI	8/12	81		M
000236	Ohio Connections Academy	1.24	Effective	15/26	91.8		N
142950	Ohio Virtual Academy	23.88	Effective	15/26	89.5		N
000629	Summit Academy CS Painesville	0.99	AW	1/6	71.2		N
143305	TRECA Digital Academy	2.28	AW	5/26	77.3		N
Total		56.32					
044057	Geneva Area City Schools	2710	Effective	25/26	98.6		N

\$374,540.21 to Charter Schools*
 \$ 22,229.94 to Charter Schools Performing Same or Better
 \$352,310.27 to Charter Schools Performing Below

* From the FY11 PASS Form

CHARTER SCHOOL COMPARISON FY12 Report Card

IRN	Name	Our * Students	Designation	Indicators	Performance Index	AYP Met or Not	Value Added
143396	Alternative Education Academy	2.21	AW	5/26	76.9	N	Below
000417	Buckeye On-Line School	5.06	CI	10/26	84.8	N	Below
000541	Constellation: Outreach	1	Excellent	6/6	117	M	N/A
133413	Electronic Classroom of Tomorrow	19.36	CI	4/26	84	N	Below
151191	Life Skills Center of Summit Co.	0.18	AE	0/7	N/A	N	N/A
148999	Mahoning Unlimited Classroom	0.98	AW	4/12	79.5	N	Met
000236	Ohio Connections Academy	2.85	Effective	15/26	90.7	N	Below
142950	Ohio Virtual Academy	22.84	CI	11/26	86.5	N	Below
000241	Quaker Digital Academy	0.96	CI	9/24	85.5	N	Below
000629	Summit Academy CS Painesville	0.89	AE	2/8	69.9	N	Met
000303	Summit Academy Sec Youngstown	0.84	AE	0/15	55.7	N	Met
143305	TRECA Digital Academy	1.26	CI	6/26	85.7	N	Below
Total		58.43					
044057	Geneva Area City Schools	2642	Excellent	22/26	99.5	N	Above

\$383,642.65 to Charter Schools*
 \$ 22,179.94 to Charter Schools Performing Same or Better
 \$361,462.71 to Charter Schools Performing Below

* From the FY12 Bridge Worksheet Report

CHARTER SCHOOL COMPARISON FY13 Report Card

IRN	Name	Our * Students	Performance Index/Grade	Indicators Met/Grade	Gap Closing	Grad Rate 4 yr./Grade	Progress
							Value Added**
143396	Alternative Education Academy	1.56	77 - D	4/24 - F	1.2% - F	24.8% - F	F-NR-C-F
000417	Buckeye On-Line School	4.48	85.3 - C	10/24 - F	22% - F	43.7% - F	F-NR-C-C
000541	Constellation: Outreach	1	69.5 - D	0/3 - F	NR	N/A	NR-NR-NR-NR
133413	Electronic Classroom of Tomorrow	23.96	81.8 - D	3/24 - F	0% - F	35.3% - F	F-NR-F-F
148999	Mahoning Unlimited Classroom***	0.02	81.3%****		1.1%	17.4%	
000236	Ohio Connections Academy	5.6	92 - C	15/24 - D	39.3% - F	54.7% - F	F-NR-D-F
142950	Ohio Virtual Academy	39.09	87.6 - C	11/24 - F	27% - F	41.6% - F	F-NR-D-F
000241	Quaker Digital Academy****	1	86.4%****		38.2%	33.1%	
000629	Summit Academy CS Painesville	0.59	69.3 - D	1/7 - F	12.2% - F	N/A	C-NR-B-D
000302	Summit Academy CS Cuyahoga	0.39	70.4 - D	2/24 - F	0% - F	38.1% - F	C-NR-C-C
000303	Summit Academy Sec Youngstown	0.58	59.4 - F	0/13 - F	2.6% - F	51.5% - F	D-NR-C-D
000614	Summit Academy Tr HS Columbus	0.95	75.4 - D	0/5 - F	NR	66.7% - F	NR-NR-NR-NR
Total		79.22					
044057	Geneva Area City Schools	2609	101.2 - B	23/24 - A	68.3% - D	90.6% - B	A-D-A-A

** Overall - Gifted - Lowest 20% Achievement - Students w/ Disabilities

\$497,749.09 to Charter Schools*
 \$ 0.00 to Charter Schools Performing Same or Better
 \$497,749.09 to Charter Schools Performing Below

***Dropout Recovery Schools
 Rated Differently
 *****Test Passage Rate

* From the FY13 School Finance Payment Report

CHARTER SCHOOL COMPARISON FY14 Report Card

IRN	Name	Our * Students	Performance Index/Grade	Indicators Met/Grade	Gap Closing	Grad Rate 4 yr./Grade	Progress Value Added**
143396	Alternative Education Academy	0.96	74.4 - D	4/24 - F	1.4% - F	26.7% - F	F-NR-C-D
000417	Buckeye On-Line School	4	85.2 - C	7/24 - F	4.5% - F	45% - F	F-NR-C-D
000541	Constellation: Outreach-Cuyahoga	1	45.6 - D	0/0 - NR	NR	N/A	NR-NR-NR-NR
133413	Electronic Classroom of Tomorrow	31.33	81.8 - D	3/24 - F	11.6% - F	38.4% - F	F-NR-F-F
014081	Insight School of Ohio-Franklin	1.58	85.4 - C	2/8 - F	16.7% - F	N/A	F-NR-NR-NR
148999	Mahoning Unlimited Classroom****	0.01	78.6%****		69.4%	30.6%	
000236	Ohio Connections Acad-Cuyahoga	6.07	94.6 - C	15/24 - D	36.5% - F	55.1% - F	F-NR-D-F
142950	Ohio Virtual Academy	43.6	88.3 - C	10/24 - F	12.2% - F	36.6% - F	F-NR-D-F
000241	Quaker Digital Academy****	0.7	85.9%****		.2%	32.4%	
000629	Summit Academy CS Painesville	1	67.1 - D	0/10 - F	2.7% - F	N/A	B-NR-A-C
000302	Summit Academy CS Cuyahoga	0.46	67.9 - D	0/24 - F	0% - F	39.5% - F	C-NR-C-C
000303	Summit Academy Sec Youngstown	1.2	57.4 - F	0/13 - F	0% - F	42.6% - F	F-NR-D-F
000614	Summit Academy Tr HS Columbus	1	77 - D	1/10 - F	NR	72.7% - F	NR-NR-NR-NR
Total		92.91					
044057	Geneva Area City Schools	2643	99.7 - B	21/24 - B	59.1% - F	96% - A	A-C-A-A

** Overall - Gifted - Lowest 20% Achievement - Students w/ Disabilities

\$689,136.59 to Charter Schools*
\$ 0.00 to Charter Schools Performing Same or Better
\$689,136.59 to Charter Schools Performing Below

***Dropout Recovery Schools
Rated Differently

****Test Passage Rate

* From the FY14 School Finance Payment Report