

Chairman Brenner, Vice Chair Slaby, Ranking Member Fedor, and members of the Ohio House Education and Career Readiness committee: thank you for allowing me to present to you House Bill 21 which would update the Ohio Revised Code requiring community schools to keep adequate records of where their enrollees reside. This legislation provides a straightforward solution to a problem that many local school districts are facing.

Currently under Ohio law, the responsibility for identifying the residency of a community school enrollee is almost exclusively the duty of public school districts. In summary, the law says the public school district in which a student resides is responsible for doing a monthly review of a community school student's entitlement to attend school in that district. Only if the public district determines that a child does not reside in the district and notifies the community school is the community school obligated to determine where the child has moved.

The origin of House Bill 21 comes from my local public schools. During one of my regular meetings with superintendents in Medina County, they brought forth the problem at hand. The issue for them was this: school districts sometimes never meet these students, especially if they are enrolled in an on-line web based school. They are rarely, if ever, in contact with the families, and have no concrete way of knowing that the child still lives within the boundaries of the school district. Without this



physical contact, the school district has no way of knowing if a student changes their residency. Families and students moving once or multiple times throughout a school year make it difficult and impractical for public school districts to determine that a child does not reside in the district and thereby notify the community school, as the current law requires.

By requiring community schools to report this data, not only will the records of our students be more accurate, but we can also ensure that the public funding that follows the child comes from the appropriate public accounts.

Over the last year in meetings with various stakeholders on HB 21, I have heard stories that punctuate the need for this proposed change in law. One local district related that after investigation they were paying for computers being sent to Montana, while another told me that hundreds of thousands of dollars on investigators were being spent annually by a large urban district to verify the residency of community school students that moved out of their district. What I have heard repeatedly is that this problem is widespread and needs to be addressed as soon as possible.

I am aware that this particular issue has come before the EMIS Advisory Board last year and hopeful that the hearings and deliberations will result in some much-needed reforms of the EMIS. However, in the meantime, I am equally hopeful that this committee will agree the incremental



improvement envisioned in HB 21 is worth enacting now. HB 21 will provide considerable relief to the public school districts burdened with proving monthly that a student does or does not live in their district regardless of whether they attend or don't attend their schools. Placing that responsibility on the schools providing those educational services would appear to me to be the simplest and fairest way to make sure the public funds come from the appropriate public accounts.

In some cases, we have found community schools may be aware of children no longer living in the school district in which they enrolled, but because no changes were made from the previous year, student residency is automatically approved. The redacted documents attached to my testimony illustrate the problem at hand.

On the first document a student enrolled on August 22, 2016 in a community school was reportedly living in Cloverleaf Local School District. The student's residency is automatically approved by the system because the student didn't update their address and the community school was not obligated to verify residency in subsequent months. This action is not reviewable by the district.

On the second document, provided on the same day as the former, the community school was required to withdraw the student on January 19, 2017 for lack of attendance. You can see that the community school had



the student's most recent address on file as being in the Cleveland City Municipal School District. This was not updated, nor was it required to be updated in the EMIS system until the student disenrolled from the community school.

Sometime between August 22nd and January 19<sup>th</sup> the student must have changed residence from Medina County to Cuyahoga County. As the Superintendent from Cloverleaf expressed to me about this specific situation: "we are stuck having money deducted from our school district to them (the community school) for a student that does not reside in our district." With the permission of the chair and committee, we have other interested parties ready to share at future hearings similar examples that prove this example is not a rare occurrence.

We have the support of the Ohio Education Association and Buckeye Association of School Administrators, as well as the support from various superintendents across the state. I ask that you support House Bill 21, and I am available for any questions. Thank you.

#### SOES Resident District - Student Datail

SSID Birthdate Gender First Reported Lost Updated Active 2017 102/14/2016 01/19 2017 Male inic Derived Enrollment Dates District of Residence Redacted 08/22/2016 - 01/18/2017 Cloverleaf Local (048488) Date Range Review Plags ્ર 09/15-2016 Student residency auto approved based on no changes in data from prior year Derived Enrollment Pet of Sent 1.ast Educating Untity Dates Grade Time FTE ' Homeless How Revd Reason Updated Electronic Classroom Of Tomonow 08/22/2016 -TOB  $N_{A}$ 01/19/2017 (133413) 01/18/2013 Not Applicable

Not Responsible by Pastrict

# ecut

1/18/2017

Cloverleaf Local (Medina Co) Attn: Attendance Officer 8525 Friendsville Rd Lodi, OH 44254

289343/105D



To Whom It May Concern:

Ohio Revised Code §3314.03(A)(6)(b) requires a community school to withdraw students who have not participated in educational activity for 105 consecutive hours. This letter is to inform you that (a) a resident of your district has been withdrawn from the Electronic Classroom of Tomorrow for non-attendance as required by law.

Student Name (ECOT ID):

Student DOB:

Parent/Guardian:

Address:

W 84TH ST

Cleveland, OH 44102

Home Phone:

Cell Phone:

For students between the ages of 6 and 18, ECOT will take the following actions:

- 1. File a complaint against the student and/or parent in juvenile court.
- 2. Send a referral to the Department of Job and Family Services.

If you have any questions about this matter, please contact the attendance office at 1-888-326-8395 Ext. 7999, Monday-Friday between 8:00am and 5:30pm.

289343-12