## WITNESS INFORMATION FORM

Please complete the Witness Information Form before testifying

DATE: 3-21-2017
Name: Mark Godwn.
ORGANIZATION: A PEX Clean Energy (IF APPLICABLE) POSITION/TITLE: Pres. dut t CEO
ADDRESS: 310 44 St. NE # 700
CITY: Charlottav. 16 STATE: UA ZIP: 22902
TELEPHONE: 434 - 270 - 7595
ARE YOU REPRESENTING: YOURSELF ORGANIZATION
Do you wish to testify on Legislation (BILL NUMBER): HB 1/4  SPECIFIC ISSUE: SUBJECT MATTER:
DO YOU FAVOR OR OPPOSE THE ENACTMENT OF LEGISLATION REGARDING THIS ISSUE?
PLEASE GIVE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF THE GROUNDS ON WHICH YOU FAVOR OR OPPOSE SUCH ENACTMENT:  Would be highly detrimated to wind project devetymore.  The region of does not contain a wind suttack fix.
WILL YOU HAVE A WRITTEN STATEMENT, VISUAL AIDS, OR OTHER MATERIAL TO DISTRIBUTE? YES NO (IF YES, PLEASE PROVIDE COPIES TO THE CHAIRMAN OR SECRETARY)
HOW MUCH TIME WILL YOUR TESTIMONY REQUIRE? WATER ONLY



## Opponent Testimony of Mark Goodwin, President and Chief Executive Officer, on House Bill 114 on behalf of Apex Clean Energy Management, LLC Ohio House Public Utilities Committee March 21, 2017

Chairman Seitz, Vice Chairman Carfagna, Ranking Member Ashford, and Members of the Ohio House Public Utilities Committee, thank you for the opportunity to provide comments in opposition to House Bill 114.

My name is Mark Goodwin and I am president and chief executive officer of Apex Clean Energy, a leading utility-scale renewable energy company headquartered in Charlottesville, Virginia.

Apex has completed the development, finance, and construction of nine wind energy facilities and manages the operations for seven of those facilities. Apex maintains the largest development portfolio in the United States.

As a part of our continued growth, we have invested more than \$15 million on five development stage projects in Ohio. This represents over 1 gigawatt of projects and the opportunity for an additional \$2.6 billion to be invested in the state.

Unfortunately, the state's current approach to renewable energy, including the approach detailed in House Bill 114, misses the mark. Stable policies for renewable energy development, as well as reasonable requirements for siting wind turbines, are necessary to enable renewable energy projects and to attract the companies that want to buy power from them.

House Bill 114 represents an opportunity to reset the current approach and unlock significant investment in Ohio. This legislation not only moves the state away from a diverse energy portfolio, but also does nothing to remedy the prohibitive property line setbacks for wind turbines set forth in current law. The setbacks imposed in 2014 under HB 483 have created a de facto moratorium on wind development in Ohio and are preventing companies like ours from making significant investments in local communities across the state. We believe the current property line setback rules in Ohio are the most restrictive siting guidelines in the country and need to be changed to unlock private investment in Ohio-based wind resources.

Ohio has a lot to lose in the way of economic development as a result of this sort of policy uncertainty. Major corporations are increasingly seeking low-cost wind and solar power to meet growth, reinvestment, and sustainability goals. Last year, Fortune 500 companies, including



manufacturers, advanced technology firms, and other non-utility customers accounted for half of the total renewable power purchase agreements in the United States. Renewable energy has become a difference-maker when these companies choose to expand or relocate, and right now, Ohio is losing in the competition to attract new jobs.

Local communities, small businesses, and workers benefit from renewable power as well. The Apex wind projects under development in Ohio will generate the following economic benefits for local communities over the projects' lifetimes:

- \$118 million in county and township payments
- \$156 million in landowner payments
- \$234 million in school payments
- More than 625 construction jobs and 65 long-term operations and maintenance jobs

With the expiration of the RPS freeze and resumption of the standards this year, Ohio is again positioned to be an "all-of-the-above" energy leader and an attractive place to do business. By functionally moving the RPS standards to voluntary goals, and again changing the rules of the game, HB 114 would only heighten uncertainty in Ohio's investment climate.

For the reasons outlined above, Apex opposes House Bill 114. Ohio's approach to renewable energy deserves a comprehensive strategy for determining the state's energy future. By reversing course on the RPS and failing to address the current property line setbacks for wind, the legislature is missing a significant opportunity to modernize the state's energy mix, provide savings to ratepayers, and shape a business climate attractive to local communities and Fortune 500 companies alike.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comment on HB 114.

Mark Goodwin

President and Chief Executive Officer

Apex Clean Energy

Myordian