



# Ohio CSEA Directors' Association

1103 Schrock Road, Suite 309, Columbus, Ohio 43229

Phone (614) 846-6652

Fax (614) 846-6693

website: [www.ocda.us](http://www.ocda.us)

Bill Peltes  
1<sup>st</sup> Vice President  
Franklin County

Traci Berry, Esq.  
2<sup>nd</sup> Vice President  
Tuscarawas County

Penny Jacobs-Theis  
Past President  
Seneca County

Brynn Jackson  
Treasurer  
Wayne County

Sarah Fields, Esq.  
Secretary  
Montgomery County

Lisa Fay  
Canton/Cleveland  
District President  
Portage County

Melissa Hacker  
Toledo District  
President  
Erie County

Joyce Bowens  
Columbus District  
President  
Delaware County

Thomas McGrath  
Cincinnati District  
President  
Clark County

Frank McLaughlin  
Member at Large  
Wood County

Deborah Watkins, Esq. President  
Cuyahoga County

Amy Roehrenbeck, Esq.  
Executive Director

## Senate Finance

### Health and Medicaid Subcommittee

May 24, 2017

### Testimony of Amy Roehrenbeck, Esq., Executive Director, Ohio CSEA Directors' Association HB49

Chairman Hackett, Vice Chair Tavares, and members of the Subcommittee, thank you for the opportunity to present testimony on HB49. My name is Amy Roehrenbeck, and I am the Executive Director for the Ohio CSEA Directors' Association (OCDA). OCDA is a membership organization of county child support enforcement agencies (CSEAs), dedicated to strengthening Ohio's child support program.

Nationally, the child support program serves one in every five children, but in Ohio, that number is one in every three. Our program not only serves large numbers of children, but we also serve them for long periods of time, often from infancy to emancipation, and beyond. We have the 5<sup>th</sup> largest caseload in the country, with roughly 941,000 cases, and we provide services to families of all types, from divorcing parents, to unmarried parents, to caretaker relatives, and others. The child support program encourages responsible parenting, family self-sufficiency, and child well-being by providing services to locate parents, establish parentage, establish child support and medical support orders, collect support, modify orders when circumstances have changed, and enforce orders that are not being paid.

The child support program is a highly cost-effective program. For every dollar spent on our program, we collect \$8.25 in child support (much higher than the national average of \$5.33). We also reduce government costs in other public welfare programs, though cost recovery and cost avoidance. In FFY16, we collected almost \$1.7 billion dollars, and returned \$23.3 million to the state in assistance reimbursement, and \$19.7 million to reimburse Medicaid.

We are a program that pays for itself, and helps to reduce costs in other social welfare programs. Through Federal Financial Participation, counties are able to draw down two federal dollars for every local dollar spent. Our state allocation, however, has been flat-funded at \$23.8 million since FY13. In the House passed version of the budget, we took a 1.5% cut to our state match allocation, resulting in a 4.5% cut to our spending capabilities for the child support program. Every dollar of

our state match allocation gets spent by the counties each year, and this line item is critical to the program and its success. Cuts to our state match could affect our collections, our services to families, and our cost effectiveness. Investment in our program makes both financial and budgetary sense.

We are working to modernize our child support program to better serve families and provide efficient, streamlined case processing. Some of these efforts will require legislative action and we need your support and assistance with the following:

- First, we ask for your consideration in restoring our state match allocation line item, 600502, to \$23.8m. As noted above, the 1.5% cut proposed in the House version of the budget means a 4.5% cut to our spending ability for the program. Restoration would take us back to being flat-funded at our previous level of \$23.8m.
- Next, we have two major pieces of legislation that are priorities for us. The first is SB70, which was introduced in February of this year by Senator Coley, and is a non-controversial bill to tweak and streamline our administrative processes. These processes are a cornerstone of our program, allowing us to use a quasi-judicial process to provide cost-free services to families to establish paternity, child support and medical support, as well as modify and enforce orders, and terminate orders. This frees up court dockets, saving their time for cases that are more complex or contested. We use these processes every day, but since they have been piecemealed through legislation over time, there are inconsistencies and loopholes that need to be addressed. Passing SB70 will create better consistency county to county, streamline the processes for families, and increase efficiencies for our CSEAs, while not affecting the substantive rights of the parties. SB70 is the same as SB308, which was passed unanimously out of the Senate during the 131<sup>st</sup> General Assembly, and passed unanimously out of committee in the House during lame duck, before failing to reach the House floor for a vote. Many of recommendations in this bill have been needed since 2002, and it is time to modernize our administrative processes by passing SB70.

The second major piece of legislation is SB125, introduced by Senator Beagle. SB125 addresses the numbers, methodology, and manner by which we set child support orders in Ohio. Our child support tables and calculation worksheets are in the Revised Code, and therefore need legislative action to update them. Our tables are a quarter of a century old now, and are based on economic data from the 1980s. Our current methodology inflates orders for low-income parents, and creates orders that are likely too high for that demographic. Child support orders for middle to higher income levels are not accurately reflecting what it costs to raise a child and need to be adjusted upward. Additional adjustments need made with regard to cash medical support, health insurance responsibility, daycare credit, and multiple family issues. This legislation is a critical and necessary modernization of our guidelines. This update also allows us to move the guideline tables and worksheets to the Ohio Administrative Code, so that they can be subject to five-year rule review, and we can make adjustments in a timely manner based on economics, family structures, and other changes. We also have recent final federal regulations that will require these changes in our program.

- Third, we ask for your consideration in providing funding to update our technology. Our statewide system, SETS, is 20 years old. We need serious upgrades to our system to provide case management tools that can benefit all CSEAs in administering cases for families. We also support the creation of a mobile application to make it easier for our program participants to communicate with us, and expansion efforts for our Child Support Web Portal.
- Finally, we ask for continued flexibility in funding for local counties. As I noted above, any additional dollar invested in the child support program locally enables us to draw down two from the Feds. Flexibility allows dollars to be moved between programs, based on local discretion and need. This flexibility has been critical for some CSEAs to stay afloat, absent any new investment in the program.

Ohio's child support program is a highly cost-effective, efficient, and steadfast program. Thank you for your continued investment in our program, and for support of legislation that positively impacts the work we do for over a million children in Ohio. I appreciate your time today and am happy to answer any questions you may have.