“The Congress, whenever two thirds of both Houses shall deem it necessary, shall propose Amendments to this Constitution, or, on the Application of the Legislatures of two thirds of the several States, shall call a Convention for proposing Amendments, which, in either Case, shall be valid to all Intents and Purposes, as part of this Constitution, when ratified by the Legislatures of three fourths of the several States, or by Conventions in three fourths thereof, as the one or the other Mode of Ratification may be proposed by the Congress.”

This is all we have-black ink on white paper. Those who want to call an Article V Convention to propose amendments to the U.S. Constitution believe they can control the process.

Those of us who oppose an Article V Convention to propose amendments to the U.S. Constitution believe that we won’t be able to control the process.

The problem isn’t with our U.S. Constitution. The problem is with the people and who they elect to Congress-those who take an oath to uphold the constitution and then support expenditures and create programs that are unconstitutional.   
  
Since the problem is not with our U.S. Constitution but with obeying it-staying within its confines, then amending it is not the solution.

There is too much risk for no real benefit in calling for an Article V Convention to propose amendments to the U.S. Constitution.

Please reject this. Please oppose SJR1.

Sincerely,

Bev Ehlen

Missouri State Director, Concerned Women for America

Warren County, Missouri