Testimony in Support of House Bill 323

Prescriptive Authority for Specially Trained Clinical Psychologists

Before the House of Representatives Health Committee

Honorable(s) Chairman P. Scott Lipps, Co-Chair Don Manning, Ranking Member Janine Boyd, and distinguished members of the House Health Committee:

I am Dr. Marlin Hoover, the seventh prescribing psychologist licensed by the state of New Mexico. I was a faculty member of the Southern New Mexico Family Medicine Residency Program in Las Cruces for twelve years where I trained medical doctors, nurse practitioners, clinical pharmacists and prescribing psychology students in the management of mental health medications. I have a doctorate from the University of Chicago's Department of Behavioral Sciences and a Post-doctoral Master of Science in Clinical Psychopharmacology from Fairleigh Dickinson University. While I have retired from the residency, I continue to provide services to patients in New Mexico through a practice I share with Dr. Elaine Levine who was instrumental in obtaining passage of the original and updated prescribing psychology bills in New Mexico and who founded the postdoctoral training program in New Mexico, and also with Lieutenant Colonel Elaine Orabona Foster who is one of the original Department of Defense psychologists trained to prescribe medications. I continue to teach for the postdoctoral training programs of Fairleigh Dickinson University and New Mexico State University. I recently completed service on the American Psychological Association's Curriculum Revision Task Force which revised and enhanced the model curriculum for training psychologists to prescribe. I also maintain a practice in Illinois where I am currently providing clinical training for an Advance Practice Nurse who is completing certification in behavioral services.

I feel connected to Ohio as my mother and her four brothers and three sisters were raised on the Coppock family homestead near Tipp City, and where my mother began teaching grammar school in 1937. I spent many happy days of my youth on the dairy farm on the homestead which was founded by my great-great-grandfather and grandmother who are buried, along with my other ancestors in Tipp City. Additionally, my father was a graduate of the department of Chemistry at the University of Cincinnati. Because of this feeling of connection to Ohio where I still have many relatives, I am eager to assist you in your consideration of the value of House Bill 323.

There are, I believe, three very important issues which should be examined. They are:

- (1) Is there a need for additional highly trained professionals who can provide mental health medication management services?
- (2) Are prescribing psychologists who are extensively trained using the model curriculum contained in House Bill 323 able to practice safely?
- (3) Are prescribing psychologists effective?

Are we needed? Are we safe? Are we effective?

I would like to address the question "Are we safe?" more fully because others have testified to the grievous shortage of psychiatrists which, by itself, puts citizens of Ohio at risk. The training that is proposed in House Bill 323 is based on the curriculum developed in the military when the first

prescribing psychologists were trained and then served their comrades and their comrade's families with honor, safely and effectively. The American Psychological Association Recommended Curriculum is inspired by that training model and has been followed by all the states currently authorizing doctoral clinical psychologists to prescribe. More than 200 prescribing psychologists are practicing now on the basis of that training, and a revised version of the curriculum was accepted last spring by the American Psychological Association. As a member of the revision committee I can affirm that the revised curriculum strengthens the required practical supervised training and that those revisions are reflected in this legislation.

A thoughtful opponent might ask questions like: "How would a psychologist know whether it is medically safe to give a patient a particular medication? How would a psychologist know whether the medication they are prescribing will interact with other medications the patient is taking? How would a psychologist be able to monitor the effect of a medication on a patient's physical state? How would a psychologist know whether the patient's psychological symptoms aren't due to a medical condition rather than a psychological disorder?" The answer to all of these questions is: "The Master of Science in Clinical Psychopharmacology, and the additional supervised training and collaboration equip the prescribing psychologist with the knowledge and skills to insure that the medication management is safe and effective for the patient."

It may be difficult to imagine how I, as a prescribing psychologist, would function in evaluating and treating a patient. Therefore, I will provide two examples of how I proceed to assess and treat patients without revealing information that would identify a specific patient.

Case (1) A patient referred for hospital follow-up. An older adult patient appears in my schedule with the request to "Evaluate and Treat - rule out elective mutism." I review the inpatient records of the patient and examine the problems which led to the patient being hospitalized, in this case an "acute hypertensive crisis" secondary to "kidney failure." I reviewed the discharge summary, the patient's medication list, and ran an interaction check among the patient's current medications. The hospital chart indicated that the team had concerns because the patient was not speaking to them and would display outbursts of emotion at times as they were examining her. The record also noted that there was consideration that the patient might be "catatonic" (a condition associated with schizophrenia) or experiencing a "conversion disorder" where she might be able to speak but was unable to do so because of her psychological condition. Among the ongoing problems the patient was experiencing were diabetes type 2, hypertension, hypocholesterolemia, osteoarthritis of hips, knees and other joints. In this case, as in all cases, an accurate diagnosis is of vital importance because the appropriate treatment would depend on the diagnosis. The interview of the patient with family members revealed that the patient had lost the ability to speak gradually, and that the loss of speech seemed to occur in the reverse order of how a child learns to speak – first with one-word telegraphic speech, then two words but still without complete sentences, and then finally in complete sentences. They also reported that she continues to have emotional outbursts which take some minuets to resolve. This suggested that the patient might have a degenerative brain disorder with the most likely cause being a cerebrovascular accident (stroke) or a frontotemporal dementia. I discussed my findings with a physician faculty member and also discussed which kind of brain imaging would be most appropriate to order. The brain imaging results were consistent with a diagnosis of Frontotemporal Dementia. The appropriate treatment would,

therefore, be increased attention to the management of the patients Diabetes, Hyperlipidemia, and loving support from the family as well as a referral for speech therapy. Had the patient been treated for a catatonia with an antipsychotic medication, which would amplify the medical conditions contributing to her circulatory problems which were causing the dementia to increase. I did not prescribe mental health medication for this patient, as none would have been appropriate.

The knowledge and skill used in assessing this patient were gained through my having been trained using the same curriculum model as is contained in House Bill 323. Because I am a prescribing psychologist, I had the time to thoroughly evaluate the patient, discuss the patient's history with the family, review the patient's medical history, and to consider medical as well as psychological causes of a patient being unable to speak.

Case (2) Outpatient requesting treatment for "stress." This middle-aged male patient came to the clinic stating that his spouse had urged him to come because of his stress level. A review of the patient's medical history suggested that he was a relatively healthy person who exercised frequently doing cross training and jogging. The patient was first evaluated by a primary care physician who confirmed his current state of health, and who decided against placing him on anti-hypertensive medication at that time due to the patient's not meeting criteria for hypertension in spite of slight elevations in his blood pressure over normal. A review of the patient's history revealed a previous episode of "depression" during a particularly stressful time at which time he was placed on Zoloft (sertraline) and to which

"helped me feel better but I quit it after 6 months when I was feeling fine." The patient had not been offered cognitive/behavioral therapy or other forms of psychotherapy at that time.

The patient stated a preference for psychotherapy so we agreed to a trial of cognitive/behavioral therapy which the patient utilized diligently. However, at the end of a onemonth trial he reported that his spouse and he both felt that he was 'stuck' and not much better. He agreed, at that time, to a trial of medication. I obtained medical clearance from his primary care physician for a trial of a medication and discussed medication options with him, evaluated the medication choices and restarted the Zoloft as the patient had responded to that mediation previously. I reminded him that there is a Black Box Warning that suggests that some patient's may experience an increase in suicidal ideation (which he had denied experiencing) and we discussed what he should do if that occurred in order to remain safe. We continued to meet weekly so that I could assess his progress and continue to provide cognitive/behavioral therapy. The patient reported, after taking the Zoloft for 2 weeks that he was "feeling a bit better" and the dosage was adjusted to increase the benefit. The patient continued to apply what he learned from the cognitive behavioral exercises, and attended follow-up appointments at 1 month, 3 months and 6 months where his vital signs continued to be monitored and the occurrence of side effects were monitored. His blood pressure normalized, reflecting the fact that his elevated blood pressure could have resulted from his psychological condition.

Again, this case management is what is taught to those who complete the curriculum and training contained house Bill 323. Prescribing psychologists trained in this model, through training like that

which will be established for us at the University of Findlay, collaborate with physicians as part of the healthcare team.

- (1) We thoroughly assess the patient's medical and condition and obtain medical clearance for using mental health medications
- (2) We evaluate the patient's complete mediation list and determine if the patient's psychological condition could be resulting from some of the medications the patient is already taking
- (3) We carefully select among possible medications based on the particular characteristics of the patient and the medications
- (4) We monitor the patient's physical and psychological response to medications
- (5) We provide alternatives to mediation when they are appropriate and avoid their use when other effective treatments are acceptable to the patient
- (6) We take patient's off inappropriate medications and collaborate in reducing polypharmacy
- (7) We obtain informed consent from patient's for treatment by a prescribing psychologist and for the treatments provided

We learn how to do all these things through the education required by House Bill 323. Prescribing psychologists have been providing safe and effective treatments, combining the use of mental health medications with other forms of psychological treatment for 20 years. We have a fine record of safety. I can simply illustrate our record of safety by stating that after 17 years of prescribing psychology in New Mexico, the additional cost to add coverage for prescribing to my malpractice insurance is \$135 per year. This rate is based on the fact that no prescribing psychologist has ever been successfully sued for malpractice.

I thank you for your consideration, and I am happy to respond to any questions you may have.

Marlin C. Hoover, PhD, MSCP, ABPP 1395 Missouri Avenue, Las Cruces, New Mexico 88011 (c) 708-717-9706

16325 S. Harlem Avenue Tinley Park, Illinois 60477

New Mexico License: PSY 0868; PSY-RXP 0007 Illinois License: Clinical Psychologist 071-003290