Ohio HB 6: Government Take-Over of Energy Sector

- RPS Repeal: The bill repeals a Renewable Portfolio Standard which sets out competitive, marketbased procurements whereby the state sets a target and allows the flourishing clean energy private sector to select least cost projects.
- O Government Take-Over: HB 6 imposes a dramatic government take-over of energy generation. In place of the cost-driven RPS, HB 6 transfers energy procurement to an obscure state agency to pick winners and losers. This represents a radical expansion of the power of state government and its role in the lives of Ohioans.
- Marijuana Analogy: Under HB 6, the state essentially awards energy contracts like it awarded medical marijuana licenses- handing control to a state agency. But unlike one-time marijuana licenses, the awards from OAQDA would be cash payments made every single year. And with the addition of legislators to the Board, the process will be unavoidably politicized.
- Lack of Criteria: HB 6 is stunning in its lack of guidance to OAQDA. How is the Board to distinguish among an existing coal plant on the Ohio River, a biomass plant in Wooster, or a planned large solar field in Southwest Ohio? All may qualify for funds, but after nuclear plants are paid the dwindling resources will certainly be oversubscribed. How is OAQDA to decide?
- Chilling Effect on Investment: Under HB 6, the private sector will not spend capital to develop new energy generation because the government could always step in at anytime (including after construction) with a \$300 million subsidy for a competitor. The resulting economic and political uncertainty will have a chilling effect on the marketplace.
- o <u>Conclusion</u>: The differences between HB 6 and the RPS / EERS are stark, with HB 6 abandoning a private sector-based competitive framework for a government take-over of the energy sector.

Renewable / Energy Efficiency Standards v. Ohio House Bill 6

RPS / EERS

<u>HB 6</u>

Procurement	Private sector, apolitical, market- based	Government bureaucrats, political appointees, politicians							
Litigation	Private companies make individual business decisions	All OAQDA cash awards subject to legal review ("arbitrary and capricious") that will tie up projects in court for years							
Cost	REC price set by supply and demand and pricing is transparent	Government fixes credit price at \$9.25 regardless of market trends and technology advances; OAQDA award process unclear							
Bill Impact	Customers benefit as REC prices fall; some pas as little as 10 cents/month	If market prices rise, fixed \$9.25 credit (derived from \$2.50 fixed charge) delivers windfall profits to nuclear plants that no longer need same level of support							
Results	Renewables procured annually providing market certainty	Certain renewables eligible "if sufficient funds available," presumably after nuclear, coal, etc. Tremendous uncertainty							
	EE programs delivered verified customer savings from 2009 to 2017 of \$5,101,642,597	None. Ignores all EE savings (\$5.1 billion to date per PUCO) as if EE delivers zero return. Fundamentally misrepresents EE as every \$1 spent returns average of \$2.60 in savings							
Jobs	Creates new jobs and new investment (Renewable generation employs 9,841 Ohioans; full RPS implementation would add 10,000 more per economic model)	Designed to bail out two existing plants with 1,400 jobs. No new investment or new jobs							
	Fosters EE – currently employing 81,676 Ohioans	Excludes EE							
Projects	Companies within the sector compete on price – least cost wins	Designed to help one company - cost does not factor in at all							
Cost Cap	RPS: 3% statutory cost cap	None. Customer charge can be increased by CPI annually in							
	EERS: Law requires PUCO to verify that savings exceed costs	perpetuity in addition to existing utility EE and RPS contract costs							
Distributed Generation	REC market can accommodate projects of all sizes	Excludes solar of 50 MW or less (all existing OH projects)							
In-State Generation	GA froze RPS for 2 years, repealed 50% in-state requirement, passed prohibitive wind setbacks, changed eligibility rules. Policy instability has made in-state development challenging, if not impossible.	Unclear if generation must be sited in Ohio and if new generation qualifies							



Ohio Senate Bill 221/Senate Bill 315/56 3/0 Alternative Energy Portfolio Standard

Chr. 4928.01(A)(3-4)	Certain University CHP 20	: '	Waste Energy Recovery				Utilizing Renewables	HATE STATE OF THE	• Wind Turbones - Lake Erie	• Fuel Cells	ne Gas		SOCIAL STATES				- Thermal	ħ'.	ORC 4928.01(A)(25)	Renovació
gtot	2024: 12.5% .500%	11.5%	10.5%		020: 8.5% 340%	7.5%	6.5%		Charles and the same		25%		1.5%	•	50%	25%	×	ORC 4928_64(B)(2)	Benchmarks: 12.5% +	Renewable and Solar
			ENC 4928.64(B)(3)		been delivered into	3			•		through facilities	walloas shall be med	amples and the	& energy resources to be	At Man % of range Action				•	the state of the s
				es. ORC 4928.65	from renewable resourc-	1 Mw Hour of electricity	1 R.E.C. shall equal		source requirements.	and solar energy m-	with both the remembris	accuration to cramply	veers following	in any of the 5 calendar	Utilities may use R.E.C.s					
	Adjusted annually per CP!	5) KENEWADIE Benchmark		2024: \$50	2022-\$100	2020-\$150	2018: \$200	2016: \$250	2014: \$300	2012: \$350	2010: \$400	2009: \$450	a per www.node		A) Salar Banchung	ORC 4928.64(C)(2)	2) # Not in Compliance:	Carc 4220-04(C)()	}	o designation of the contraction

Key A.E.P.S. Cost Containment Mechanisms

374 Cost Cap Force Hajerre Provision

Utilities not required to comply with benchmark to the extent compliance will result in 3+% increase in electricity production or acquisition costs.

ORC 4928.64(C)(3)

ORC 4928.01 (A)(1)

escaptile Sited

ted Capacity in

dvancod Technology

Real/Reactive Power

Waste Heat Efficiency
 Demand/Load storage

Advanced/Ranewable

Utility may request PUCO to detarmine whother renewable resources are sufficiently available to enforce R.P.S. benchmark requirement. If utility shows good faith effort to comply with renewable benchmarks but cannot, PUCO may reduce obligation. Modification does not automatically reduce future benchmarks. ORC 4928.64(C)(4)