

Chairman Lipps, Vice Chair Holmes, Ranking Member Russo, and Members of the House Health Committee, thank you for the opportunity to provide proponent testimony on House Bill 248.

My name is Danielle Rogers. I am a business owner and some of the reasons I support HB 248 are as follows.

● We are constantly told those who decline vaccination for illnesses they themselves are at very little risk for developing complications from, are putting the immunocompromised at risk. What we don't often hear is that the procedure itself comes with risk and what that risk level is exactly is unknown. What we do know is that only somewhere between 1-10% of adverse events are ever actually reported largely due to medical professionals lack of awareness on the subject matter. We cannot force healthy people to undergo a medical procedure for which the administrator of and manufacturer have no liability when we KNOW there is innate risk. It would be highly unethical to trade one groups theoretical risk for another groups KNOWN risk! See for reference: <https://digital.ahrq.gov/sites/default/files/docs/publication/r18hs017045-lazarus-final-report-2011.pdf>

● I have deeply held religious beliefs that prevent me from receiving a vaccine derived from or for which aborted babies were used in the development of a vaccine.

“The development of each of the three current COVID-19 vaccines currently in use involved aborted fetal tissue or human embryonic stem cell derivation lines:

* Johnson & Johnson/Janssen: Fetal cell cultures are used to produce and manufacture the J&J COVID-19 vaccine and the final formulation of this vaccine includes residual amounts of the fetal host cell proteins (≤ 0.15 mcg) and/or host cell DNA (≤ 3 ng).

* Pfizer/BioNTech: The HEK-293 abortion-related cell line was used in research related to the development of the Pfizer COVID-19 vaccine.

* Moderna/NIAID: Aborted fetal cell lines were used in both the development and testing of Moderna's COVID-19 vaccine."

● Other commonly used vaccines that are derived from aborted babies.

They include:

Varicella (chickenpox) vaccine

MMR (measles, mumps, rubella) vaccine

MMRV (measles, mumps, rubella, varicella) vaccine

Hepatitis A vaccine (Vaqta, Engerix, Twinrix)

Pentacel (DTap, IPV/Hib) vaccine

● In a trial under oath ...

Dr. Stanley Plotkin an American Atheist physician said, "I think it implies that I am the individual who will go to Hell because of the use of aborted tissues, which I am glad to do."

<https://youtu.be/PN7FQCkiBp8>

Transcription of the under-oath deposition from January 2018 of Dr. Stanley Plotkin, one of the most respected physicians in the vaccine industry.

Q. In your work related to vaccines, how many fetuses have been a part of that work?

A. My own personal work? Two.

Q. Two. So, in all of your work related to vaccines throughout your whole career, you only ever worked with two fetuses?

A. In terms of making vaccines, yes. Yes.

Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 41. Are you familiar with this article, Dr. Plotkin?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Are you listed as an author on this article?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. This study took place at the Wistar Institute, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. How many fetuses were used in the study described in this article?

A. Quite a few. But my answer to the previous question was what did I use to make vaccines, and the answer was two.

ATTORNEY TO COURT REPORTER: Can you read back the question I had asked?

THE REPORTER: Question, "In your work related to vaccines how many fetuses have been a part of that work?" Answer, "My own personal work? Two."

Q. Okay . So, I'm going to ask that question again. In your work related to vaccines, how many fetuses were involved in that work?

A. There was only two fetuses involved in making vaccines. When fetal strains of fibroblast strains were

first developed, I was involved in that work trying to characterize those cells but they were not used to make vaccines.

Q. Wasn't the purpose of this study to help develop a human cell line or to support the use of human cell lines in the creation of vaccines?

A. The idea was to study the cell strains from fetuses to determine whether or not they could be used to make vaccines.

Q. So, this was related to your work?

A. Well, yes in a sense.

Q. To vaccines, correct?

A. Yes. It was preparatory.

Q. Okay. So, this study involved 74 fetuses, correct?

A. I don't remember exactly how many.

Q. Will you turn to Pg.12 of the study?

A. No, it's 76.

Q. 76?

A. Uh-huh.

Q. And these fetuses were all 3 months or older when aborted, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And these were all normally developed fetuses, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. These included fetuses that were aborted for social and psychiatric reasons, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. What organs did you harvest from these fetuses?

A. Well, I didn't personally harvest any, but a whole range of tissues were harvested by co-workers.

Q. Okay. And these pieces were then cut up into little pieces, right?

A. Yes.

Q. And they were cultured?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Some of the pieces of the fetuses were pituitary gland that were chopped into pieces?

A. Uh-huh.

Q. Included the lung of fetuses?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Included the skin?

A. Yes.

Q. Kidney?

A. Yes.

Q. Spleen?

A. Yes.

Q. Heart?

A. Yes.

Q. And tongue?

A. (Laughs) I don't recall, but probably; yes.

Q. So, I just want to make sure I understand. In your entire career -- and this was just one study, so I'm going to ask you again. In your entire career, how many fetuses have you worked with?

A. Well --

Q. Approximately.

A. I don't remember the exact number, but quite a few when we were studying them originally before we decided to use them originally to make vaccines.

Q. Do you have any since -- This one study had 76. How many other studies did you have that you used aborted fetuses for?

A. Oh, I don't know how many.

● Here is a table from The CDC where you can see what's in vaccines. Anywhere you see MRC-5 or WI-38 means that vaccine includes aborted fetal tissues, cells, and DNA.

https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/pubs/pinkbook/downloads/appendices/B/excipient-table-2.pdf?fbclid=IwAR2w5C2SPbqRH3XBWDYAmxliIzpO_dUWI-Yfl0cwOYzu9DCCg9eoSnLqFjE

I believe a businesses rights to operate as they see fit do not and should not include a forced medical procedure per a condition of employment. Implementing rules such as forcing the unvaccinated to wear a mask (which has never been shown to prevent viral transmission) sanitize objects they touch, and to not be allowed to mingle and move freely while their vaccinated peers are, is discriminatory, dangerous and will likely lead to a caste system. If this dangerous and unscientific pattern is allowed to continue I fear for the future of my children our entire nation.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony on the need for and urgency of HB 248.

Sincerely,

Danielle Rogers