

The OEA will lead the way for continuous improvement of public education while advocating for members and the learners they serve.

#### **OHIO EDUCATION ASSOCIATION**

# House Finance Subcommittee on Primary and Secondary Education House Bill 1 Proponent Testimony February 25, 2021

Good morning Chair Richardson and members of the House Finance Subcommittee on Primary and Secondary Education. My name is Scott DiMauro. I am a high school social studies teacher from Worthington with 16 years of classroom experience, and I currently serve as President of the Ohio Education Association. Thank you for the opportunity to offer proponent testimony today regarding Substitute House Bill 1, better known as the Fair School Funding Plan (FSFP). On behalf of the more than 120,000 OEA members, we look forward to working with you on House Bill 1 to address Ohio's school funding crisis and to finally ensure that Ohio's students are given the state resources needed to receive a world-class education.

Let me begin by extending our sincere appreciation to Speaker Cupp, Representatives Sweeney and Callender, other legislators, and education leaders for their hard work and commitment to craft solutions to Ohio's inadequate school funding formula – some of whom have worked for decades to make sure kids get what they need.

OEA has long advocated for state lawmakers to address the shortcomings of Ohio's school funding system. Ohio's current school funding system falls short of meeting the needs of students and the school districts that educate them. The Covid-19 pandemic has underscored the critical need for Ohio to create a new school funding formula and end the band-aid approach to a formula that is not driven by what constitutes a high-quality education.

Further, OEA believes that Ohio should enact a student-centered formula that is equitable, adequate, predictable, and that ensures that all students have the resources to succeed regardless of where they live or their family's income. Additionally, the school funding formula should directly fund charter schools in a way that is fair to both school districts and charters, as well as local taxpayers.

The FSFP school funding formula outlined in House Bill 1 focuses on what students need to receive a high-quality education. It is built around the importance of supporting classroom instruction as well as focusing on social and emotional support, co-curriculars, safety and security, educator professional development, and access to technology.

Additionally, the funding plan builds upon and perfectly complements Governor DeWine's leadership on the importance of providing funding for wraparound services, mental and physical health services,



and other programs that are critical to the social and emotional development and academic success of the student. The social, emotional, and life support component of the FSFP provides \$50 million more per year than the FY23 Student Wellness and Success Funds would provide for these services under the executive budget. This number would only increase if the economically disadvantaged component of the plan was counted as supporting these same wraparound services, which we believe they would.

The funding formula would provide an additional \$1.99 billion more in state aid annually when fully phased in compared with FY21. About 70% of the increased funds would go to the poorest urban, small town, and rural districts in the state. The plan would also end the use of gain caps and would reduce the number of districts from the state's funding guarantee to fewer than 10 of Ohio's 613 districts. Considering every district is now either capped or guaranteed, this is quite a welcome shift. As if to accentuate how impressive the formula does work is East Cleveland. East Cleveland has been on the state guarantee since the 1970s. Yet this plan will eventually put East Cleveland on the formula – a remarkable achievement thought impossible by many.

## **State/Local Share Calculation**

OEA has argued that Ohio's current formula for determining local share (State Share Index - SSI) was not easily understood. Districts were tied together for purposes of determining each district's SSI. When property values fluctuate in one district or a group of districts, the SSI changed for all the districts in the state. It was impossible for district leaders to replicate their own local share of the state's funding formula. House Bill 1 replaces SSI with a mechanism that address these concerns. The new method for determining the local share is derived by using property values (60%) and income of district residents (40%). Further, each district's local share is not tied to fluctuations in the property values in other districts. OEA supports this change.

#### **Charter and Voucher Funding**

OEA supports the FSFP proposal to direct fund charter school and voucher students rather than the current district pass-through system. Under the current funding system, too many school districts are forced to subsidize the difference between the full per-pupil charter school deduction and the lower per-pupil state aid that a district receives. This results in local public schools having to either cut services for their students, tap into local revenues, or both. Direct funding of charter school students will move Ohio to a fairer system that doesn't pit charter schools and school districts against each other.

## **Economically Disadvantaged Student Funding**

The Ohio Department of Education is directed to study the true cost of educating economically disadvantaged students in Ohio. Until this study's results are available, the bill's funding formula increases economically disadvantaged student aid base amount from \$272 to \$422 per pupil. OEA supports this \$150 per-pupil increase. However, national research finds that the cost of educating an economically disadvantaged student can be more than twice as high as educating a student who is not

economically disadvantaged. An Ohio-centered study is critical towards closing the education opportunity gap here in Ohio. It will provide an Ohio solution to an Ohio problem.

#### **Transportation**

House Bill 1 provides much needed resources to school districts for transportation, which will help make transportation costs much more manageable for districts. In addition, for years, districts have struggled to meet the largely unfunded mandate of transporting students to these districts' competitors. In some communities, districts bus as many or more kids to charter and voucher schools than their own. Having state funding help offset these costs as House Bill 1 would do is a step in the right direction. OEA supports these concepts.

### **School Funding Oversight Committee**

OEA supports the creation of the School Funding Oversight Committee. This committee is charged with making recommendations to policymakers on modifications and adjustments to the formula. Additionally, they would review and analyze the studies outlined in the bill and make recommendations based on those studies findings. OEA appreciates the inclusion of classroom educators in the process.

No school funding model is perfect, and the ongoing work of this committee is extremely important. We look forward to working with the oversight committee on recommendations on to improve the school funding formula.

In conclusion, I want to stress the urgency of this moment. House Bill 1 represents the best hope for structural change in the way Ohio funds the education of 1.7 million students. It is time once and for all to move away from our unconstitutional funding system. OEA encourages lawmakers to incorporate the provisions of House Bill 1 into the budget bill. OEA looks forward to working with members of the legislature to finally deliver the school funding system our children and communities deserve. I am happy to take questions at this time.