

Statement on HJR1 and SJR2

To begin with, increasing the percentage required to amend Ohio's Constitution would pose a significant challenge for its citizens, as it would create a higher barrier to democratic participation. This would make it much harder to bring about significant changes to the state's foundational laws, potentially hindering progress and preventing important reforms that the public deems necessary.

Additionally, such a move could be perceived as a power grab by those in office, giving elected officials more power and making it more difficult for the public to have their voices heard. This could lead to a sense of disillusionment and disengagement among the people, eroding trust in the democratic process.

Furthermore, raising the percentage required to amend the Constitution could work in favor of special interests, allowing them to push their agenda more easily while making it more challenging for grassroots movements to effect change. This would undermine the integrity of the democratic process and lead to an imbalance of power in the state.

Finally, it's important to remember that Constitutions should evolve and adapt to changing social, economic, and political circumstances. They should be living documents that allow for amendments and revisions that reflect the needs of society while preserving the core principles and values upon which they were founded.

In conclusion, any proposal to increase the percentage required to amend Ohio's Constitution should be carefully considered and debated to ensure that the democratic process remains fair, transparent, and accessible to all citizens. It's important to prioritize the needs of the public and ensure that the state's foundational laws can adapt to changing circumstances while preserving its fundamental values.