

Testimony HB 276

Chairman Swearingen, Vice Chair Santucci, and Ranking Member Upchurch, and members of the Ohio House Economical and Workforce Development Committee. Thank you for allowing me to testify today. My name is Gary Sanderson. I've lived in Brunswick Ohio for the last 46 years. I strongly support House Bill 276 that calls for the repeal of language in House Bill 23 that could lead to construction of a I-71 interchange between SR 82 in Strongsville and SR 303 in Brunswick. The language doesn't really say exactly where, does it? But we can use Boston Rd. as a bad example.

I've lived in the Boston Rd/I-71 area, since 1977, and watched grass fields, in both Strongsville and Brunswick, turned into homes and nearby churches. Many homes are directly in the quadrants needed for a Boston Rd/I-71 interchange. At the same time, new developments and houses on hilly Boston Rd have used up almost all the property, west to Pearl Rd. and East to W. 130th St., about a 2.6 mile stretch. It is heavily residential.

Over those 46 years, many Boston Rd./I-71 interchange traffic studies by NOACA (the Northeast Ohio Areawide Coordinating Agency) with the involvement of both Strongsville and Brunswick, indicated that there was little to gain for the high cost of moving forward with a new Interchange.

So let's flash forward to early this year, when the Ohio Representative for Strongsville, who apparently is frustrated with all these properly made decisions over the years, decides to legislate a solution. Thus the Interchange provisions added to HB 23. It pinpoints interstate areas using criteria such as; distance between interchanges, county lines and population demographics. So simple! No traffic studies needed, no cost analysis, no local government or community input or approval necessary, after all, what do they know. What matters if it's residential. Bulldoze many many homes without thoughtful studied justification, perfectly fine! Is this the way projects of this nature should be decided in Ohio? I think not. It makes no sense to bypass long established state agencies like ODOT and TRAC (the Transportation Review Advisory Council). Ask yourself, don't they exist exactly for this purpose? It is wrong to short cut the established process. Doing so will only result in poor decisions and what you are hearing today from Strongsville and Brunswick residents affected

by this now. And possibly other communities throughout Ohio in the future.

Safety was a key reason mentioned for this legislation. Namely, safety improvement by relieving congestion at the Strongsville SR82 Interchange. Well, safety will not be appreciably improved with the addition of a Boston Rd. Interchange. Why? In June, yet another traffic study, directed by Strongsville, and performed by Euthenics, Inc, was released. In my opinion, it is shortsighted. It only considers Boston Rd. Interchange alternatives. ODOT has commented on the study and they seem to agree. Drake Rd is a crossroad that exists solely within Strongsville, that could have been included. But, no matter. Both this new study and statements made recently by a Euthenics Representative at Strongsville City Council Meeting, tell us that only a minimal traffic congestion improvement will occur at the Strongsville SR82 Interchange, from construction of a Boston Rd. Interchange. You could have heard a pin drop.

Minimal congestion improvement equates to minimal safety improvement, the basis of the legislation. So vague criteria.....distance between interchanges, county lines, and population demographics, are inadequate and should not be used to dictate where highway interchanges are required anywhere in Ohio. Are tax dollars being spent wisely? Please do not allow this legislation to exist. If this legislation is not repealed, what might happen to safety along Boston Rd.? Certainly, Boston Rd. traffic will increase exponentially, along with greatly diminished safety and increased criminal access to residential neighborhoods.

Thank you again for the opportunity to testify, and I would be happy to answer any questions.