



Senate General Government Committee  
Committee Chair: Senator Michael Rulli  
Testimony to be Delivered on December 12, 2023 on SB 137

Chairman, Rulli and members of the committee, my name is Cameron Sholty and I am the Executive Director of Heartland Impact. Heartland Impact is the advocacy and outreach affiliate of The Heartland Institute, a nearly 40-year-old public policy research organization based in Arlington Heights, Illinois. Both are independent, national, nonprofit organizations working to discover, develop, and promote free-market solutions to social and economic problems. Heartland Impact specializes in providing state lawmakers the policy and advocacy resources to advance free-market policies towards broad-based economic prosperity.

Thank you for this opportunity to voice support for SB 137. SB 137 would prohibit the use of so-called “ranked choice” voting in any Ohio election. Simply: a move away from traditional Election Day voting would devolve into a complicated system that would disenfranchise voters without any real discernible reason or benefit.

Ranked Choice Voting (RCV) will make things worse for voters already frustrated with a process many already believe to be unfair and untrustworthy. RCV makes ballots harder to cast, harder to count, and more susceptible to outside influence.

Ranked Choice Voting makes it harder to vote because your constituents are forced to not only learn about every candidate for each race, but they are also asked to handicap the race in a way that could tilt the balance in favor of a candidate they do not want. Unlike delegates in an endorsing convention (a process I am sure you are all familiar with), your constituents won’t know which candidate is eliminated between rounds of voting. Ranked Choice ballots are difficult to count. Round after round of iterations, as last place candidates are eliminated, results in some of your constituents’ votes being thrown out. In a five candidate race, you are voting for one person and against four with increasing levels of distaste. This leads to voters naturally leaving off their least favorite candidates. In our republic, we believe every legal vote should be counted. But under RCV, so-called “exhausted votes” (those eliminated because of incomplete ballots) are not counted.

In 2018, Maine’s first trial with RCV left 9,000 voters with uncounted ballots because of exhausted ballots. [There were](#) 126,139 valid votes cast in Maine’s Democratic primary. In the final round, only 117,250 ballots were counted. The other ballots didn’t count in the final tally because they did not include rankings for the top two candidates. That translates to more than 6 percent of voters who tried to cast a ballot but had the same impact on the election as they would if they had just stayed home.

I'd like to conclude by bringing things a little closer to home and share some experiences from one state that has had experience with RCV, my neighbor Minnesota.

Since 2009, Minnesota has implemented RCV in off-year municipal elections. Five cities have experimented with RCV. One of those, Minnetonka, saw a [campaign to throw it out](#) only three years after it was started. "We want to repeal it because it's a costly, confusing and complex system and we don't want anyone to feel unwelcome in the voting booth," said Ellen Cousins, chair of the "Yes to Repeal RCV" committee.

In the 2017 Minneapolis mayoral election, voter turnout was only 43 percent despite two previous elections with RCV. [Jacob Frey emerged](#) from the 16-candidate field after five rounds of counting. The previous election in 2013 saw Betsy Hodges emerge from a 35-candidate race in 33 rounds of balloting. Even then, she received only 48.95 percent of votes. Neither race was decided on Election Day.

In 2023, Minnesota proposed a statewide RCV bill that would impose many of the same things SB 137 would. The experiences of the municipal elections in Minnesota caused legislators to wisely pump the brakes. Secretary of State Steve Simon, a former House member and supporter of RCV, had a word of caution for his fellow democrats in the legislature.

"Minnesota is not yet ready for statewide ranked-choice voting," [Simon said](#). "Leave aside whether it's a good thing or a bad thing to do, I can tell you that it's an extraordinarily complicated thing to do. There are a lot of moving parts. You've heard here, including from a very esteemed guest, that Maine and Alaska do this statewide. But Minnesota is not Maine and Alaska."