## As Introduced

135th General Assembly Regular Session 2023-2024

H. B. No. 233

**Representatives Williams, Hillyer** 

Cosponsors: Representatives Johnson, McClain, Carruthers, Schmidt, Willis

## A BILL

To amend section 2901.05 of the Revised Code to	1
enact the Self-Defense Protection Act to create	2
a pretrial procedure for a person asserting	3
self-defense, defense of another, or defense of	4
that person's property.	5

## BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF OHIO:

Section 1. That section 2901.05 of the Revised Code be							
amended to read as follows:							
Sec. 2901.05. (A) Every person accused of an offense is	8						
presumed innocent until proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt,							
and the burden of proof for all elements of the offense is upon							
the prosecution. The burden of going forward with the evidence							
of an affirmative defense, and the burden of proof, by a							
preponderance of the evidence, for an affirmative defense other							
than self-defense, defense of another, or defense of the							
accused's residence presented as described in division (B)(1) of							
this section, is upon the accused.	16						
(B)(1) A person is allowed to act in self-defense, defense	17						
(b) (1) A person is allowed to act in self-detense, detense	1						
of another, or defense of that person's residence.							

(a) A person who is accused of an offense that involved	19					
the person's use of self-defense, defense of another, or defense						
of that person's residence may file a pretrial motion asserting						
that the accused person acted in self-defense, defense of						
another, or defense of that person's residence and requesting						
that the court establish a rebuttable presumption that the						
accused person acted in self-defense, defense of another, or						
defense of that person's residence.						
(b) If the accused person described in division (B)(1)(a)	27					
of this section files a pretrial motion, the court shall hold a	28					
pretrial hearing. If, at the pretrial hearing of the person who	29					
is accused of an offense that involved the person's use of force	30					
against another, there is evidence presented that tends to	31					
support by a preponderance of the evidence that the accused	32					
person used the force in self-defense, defense of another, or	33					
defense of that person's residence, the court shall grant the	34					
pretrial motion.						
(c) If the court grants the pretrial motion, at the trial	36					
	37					
of the accused person there shall be a rebuttable presumption						
that the accused person acted in self-defense, defense of						
another, or defense of that person's residence. The prosecution						
has the burden to rebut this presumption by proving beyond a						
reasonable doubt that the accused person did not use the force						
in self-defense, defense of another, or defense of that person's						
residence, as the case may be.	43					
(d) If a person who is accused of an offense that involved	44					
the person's use of self-defense, defense of another, or defense						
of that person's residence does not file a pretrial motion						
described in division (B)(1)(a) of this section, or the person						
files a pretrial motion described in division (B)(1)(a) of this						

section, but the court denies the pretrial motion, at the trial	49					
of the accused person there is no rebuttable presumption that						
the accused person acted in self-defense, defense of another, or						
defense of that person's residence. However, at the trial of the						
accused person, the person is not precluded from asserting that						
the accused person acted in self-defense, defense of another, or						
defense of that person's residence.						
(e) If the accused person described in division (B)(1)(d)	56					
of this section asserts that the accused person acted in self-	57					
defense, defense of another, or defense of that person's	58					
<u>residence</u> , <u>and if</u> at the trial of a person who is accused of an	59					
offense that involved the person's use of force against another,	60					
there is evidence presented that tends to support <u>by a</u>	61					
preponderance of the evidence that the accused person used the	62					
force in self-defense, defense of another, or defense of that	63					
person's residence, the prosecution must prove beyond a						
reasonable doubt that the accused person did not use the force						
in self-defense, defense of another, or defense of that person's						
residence, as the case may be.	67					
(2) Subject to division (B)(3) of this section, a person	68					
is presumed to have acted in self-defense or defense of another	69					
when using defensive force that is intended or likely to cause	70					
death or great bodily harm to another if the person against whom						
the defensive force is used is in the process of unlawfully and						
without privilege to do so entering, or has unlawfully and						
without privilege to do so entered, the residence or vehicle						
occupied by the person using the defensive force.						
(3) The presumption set forth in division (B)(2) of this	76					

section does not apply if either of the following is true: 77

(a) The person against whom the defensive force is used 78

79 has a right to be in, or is a lawful resident of, the residence or vehicle. 80 (b) The person who uses the defensive force uses it while 81 in a residence or vehicle and the person is unlawfully, and 82 without privilege to be, in that residence or vehicle. 83 (4) The presumption set forth in division (B)(2) of this 84 section is a rebuttable presumption and may be rebutted by a 85 preponderance of the evidence, provided that the prosecution's 86 burden of proof remains proof beyond a reasonable doubt as 87 described in divisions (A) and (B)(1) of this section. 88 (C) As part of its charge to the jury in a criminal case, 89 the court shall read the definitions of "reasonable doubt" and 90 "proof beyond a reasonable doubt," contained in division (E) of 91 this section. 92 (D) As used in this section: 93 (1) An "affirmative defense" is either of the following: 94 (a) A defense expressly designated as affirmative; 95 (b) A defense involving an excuse or justification 96 peculiarly within the knowledge of the accused, on which the 97 accused can fairly be required to adduce supporting evidence. 98 (2) "Dwelling" means a building or conveyance of any kind 99 that has a roof over it and that is designed to be occupied by 100 people lodging in the building or conveyance at night, 101 regardless of whether the building or conveyance is temporary or 102 permanent or is mobile or immobile. As used in this division, a 103 building or conveyance includes, but is not limited to, an 104 attached porch, and a building or conveyance with a roof over it 105

includes, but is not limited to, a tent.

Page 4

106

## H. B. No. 233 As Introduced

(3) "Residence" means a dwelling in which a person resideseither temporarily or permanently or is visiting as a guest.

(4) "Vehicle" means a conveyance of any kind, whether or 109not motorized, that is designed to transport people or property. 110

(E) "Reasonable doubt" is present when the jurors, after 111 they have carefully considered and compared all the evidence, 112 cannot say they are firmly convinced of the truth of the charge. 113 It is a doubt based on reason and common sense. Reasonable doubt 114 is not mere possible doubt, because everything relating to human 115 affairs or depending on moral evidence is open to some possible 116 or imaginary doubt. "Proof beyond a reasonable doubt" is proof 117 of such character that an ordinary person would be willing to 118 rely and act upon it in the most important of the person's own 119 affairs. 120

Section 2. That existing section 2901.05 of the Revised 121 Code is hereby repealed. 122

Section	3.	This	act	shall	be	known	as	the	Self-Defense	123
Protection Act	t.									124