## As Introduced

**135th General Assembly** 

Regular Session 2023-2024 H. B. No. 62

**Representative Humphrey** 

Cosponsors: Representatives Miller, A., Upchurch, Russo, Weinstein, Grim, Isaacsohn, Skindell, Miranda, Brown, Somani, Forhan, Brent, Abdullahi

## A BILL

To amend sections 2307.601, 2901.05, and 2901.09 of	1
the Revised Code to limit the locations at which	2
a person has no duty to retreat.	3

## BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF OHIO:

Section 1. That sections 2307.601, 2901.05, and 2901.09 of	4
the Revised Code be amended to read as follows:	5
Sec. 2307.601. (A) As used in this section:	6
(1) "Residence" <u>has and "vehicle" have the same meaning</u>	7
meanings as in section 2901.05 of the Revised Code.	8
(2) "Tort action" has the same meaning as in section	9
2307.60 of the Revised Code.	10
(B) For purposes of determining the potential liability of	11
a person in a tort action related to the person's use of force	12
alleged to be in self-defense, defense of another, or defense of	13
the person's residence, if the person lawfully is in that	14
person's residence, the person has no duty to retreat before	15
using force in self-defense, defense of another, or defense of	16

that person's residence, and, if the person lawfully is an17occupant of that person person's vehicle or lawfully is an18occupant in a place in which vehicle owned by an immediate19family member of the person lawfully, the person has a right no20duty to be retreat before using force in self-defense or defense21of another.22

(C) A trier of fact shall not consider the possibility of23retreat as a factor in determining whether or not a person who24used force in self defense, defense of another, or defense of25that person's residence reasonably believed that the force was26necessary to prevent injury, loss, or risk to life or safety.27

28 Sec. 2901.05. (A) Every person accused of an offense is presumed innocent until proven quilty beyond a reasonable doubt, 29 and the burden of proof for all elements of the offense is upon 30 the prosecution. The burden of going forward with the evidence 31 of an affirmative defense, and the burden of proof, by a 32 preponderance of the evidence, for an affirmative defense other 33 than self-defense, defense of another, or defense of the 34 accused's residence presented as described in division (B)(1) of 35 this section, is upon the accused. 36

(B) (1) A person is allowed to act in self-defense, defense 37 of another, or defense of that person's residence. If, at the 38 trial of a person who is accused of an offense that involved the 39 person's use of force against another, there is evidence 40 presented that tends to support that the accused person used the 41 force in self-defense, defense of another, or defense of that 42 person's residence, the prosecution must prove beyond a 43 reasonable doubt that the accused person did not use the force 44 in self-defense, defense of another, or defense of that person's 45 46 residence, as the case may be.

Page 2

(2) Subject to division (B)(3) of this section, a person 47 is presumed to have acted in self-defense or defense of another 48 when using defensive force that is intended or likely to cause 49 death or great bodily harm to another if the person against whom 50 the defensive force is used is in the process of unlawfully and 51 without privilege to do so entering, or has unlawfully and 52 without privilege to do so entered, the residence or vehicle 53 occupied by the person using the defensive force. 54

(3) The presumption set forth in division (B)(2) of this section does not apply if either of the following is true:

(a) The person against whom the defensive force is used has a right to be in, or is a lawful resident of, the residence or vehicle.

(b) The person who uses the defensive force uses it while in a residence or vehicle and the person is unlawfully, and without privilege to be, in that residence or vehicle.

(4) The presumption set forth in division (B) (2) of this
63
section is a rebuttable presumption and may be rebutted by a
64
preponderance of the evidence, provided that the prosecution's
65
burden of proof remains proof beyond a reasonable doubt as
66
described in divisions (A) and (B) (1) of this section.

(C) As part of its charge to the jury in a criminal case,
68
the court shall read the definitions of "reasonable doubt" and
69
"proof beyond a reasonable doubt," contained in division (E) of
70
this section.

(D) As used in this section: 72

(1) An "affirmative defense" is either of the following: 73

(a) A defense expressly designated as affirmative;

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

74

## H. B. No. 62 As Introduced

(b) A defense involving an excuse or justification
peculiarly within the knowledge of the accused, on which the
accused can fairly be required to adduce supporting evidence.
77

(2) "Dwelling" means a building or conveyance of any kind 78 that has a roof over it and that is designed to be occupied by 79 people lodging in the building or conveyance at night, 80 regardless of whether the building or conveyance is temporary or 81 permanent or is mobile or immobile. As used in this division, a 82 building or conveyance includes, but is not limited to, an 83 attached porch, and a building or conveyance with a roof over it 84 includes, but is not limited to, a tent. 85

(3) "Residence" means a dwelling in which a person resides either temporarily or permanently or is visiting as a guest.

(4) "Vehicle" means a conveyance of any kind, whether or88not motorized, that is designed to transport people or property.89

(E) "Reasonable doubt" is present when the jurors, after 90 they have carefully considered and compared all the evidence, 91 cannot say they are firmly convinced of the truth of the charge. 92 It is a doubt based on reason and common sense. Reasonable doubt 93 is not mere possible doubt, because everything relating to human 94 affairs or depending on moral evidence is open to some possible 95 or imaginary doubt. "Proof beyond a reasonable doubt" is proof 96 of such character that an ordinary person would be willing to 97 rely and act upon it in the most important of the person's own 98 affairs. 99

Sec. 2901.09. (A) As used in this section, "residence" has100and "vehicle" have the same meaning meanings as in section1012901.05 of the Revised Code.102

(B) For purposes of any section of the Revised Code that 103

Page 4

86

87

sets forth a criminal offense, a person who lawfully is in that	104
person's residence has no duty to retreat before using force in	105
self-defense, defense of another, or defense of that person's	106
residence-if, and a person who lawfully is an occupant of that	107
<del>person person's vehicle or who lawfully is an occupant in a</del>	108
place in which vehicle owned by an immediate family member of	109
the person <del>lawfully</del> has <del>a right <u>no</u> duty </del> to <del>be</del> retreat before	110
using force in self-defense or defense of another.	111
(C) A trier of fact shall not consider the possibility of	112

retreat as a factor in determining whether or not a person who113used force in self-defense, defense of another, or defense of114that person's residence reasonably believed that the force was115necessary to prevent injury, loss, or risk to life or safety.116

Section 2. That existing sections 2307.601, 2901.05, and 117 2901.09 of the Revised Code are hereby repealed. 118