

David F. Proaño Regulatory Counsel dproano@bakerlaw.com 216.861.7834

The Premier Advocate for Ohio's Largest Energy Users Lori Herf Legislative Advisor lherf@bakerlaw.com 614.462.2667

Before The Ohio House Energy Committee Testimony on House Bill 15

David F. Proaño, Baker & Hostetler, LLP Regulatory Counsel to Ohio Energy Leadership Council

Proponent Testimony on Behalf of the Ohio Energy Leadership Council

March 19, 2025

Chair Holmes, Vice-Chair Klopfenstein, Ranking Member Glassburn, and Committee members. My name is David Proaño, and I am a partner representing clients on energy and utility matters at the law firm of Baker & Hostetler, where I have practiced law for over 20 years. My testimony today is on behalf of my client, Ohio Energy Leadership Council, as a proponent of House Bill 15.

OELC is a non-profit trade association made up of energy-intensive manufacturing, industrial, institutional, educational, and retail businesses that are leaders in Ohio's energy markets. Collectively, our members spend billions on energy each year and consume over 4 billion kWh of electricity annually equivalent to the usage of 400,000 residential homes in Ohio.

I would like to thank Chair Holmes, Vice-Chair Klopfenstein, and members of this committee for their hard work over the past month to improve many provisions in the bill and add others that will make Ohio a more competitive place to do business. I would like to focus my testimony on two improvements in particular as part of voicing OELC's support for House Bill 15.

First, House Bill 15 preserves critical interruptible and transmission programs by moving them from the soon-to-be-eliminated Electric Security Plan (ESP) statute to the rate-making statute. This change will allow Ohio utilities to continue offering these vital programs to large energy customers that provide thousands of jobs and tremendous economic development in our state. As I have previously testified, these programs play a crucial role in maintaining grid reliability during periods of extreme electricity demand. Participating companies can be interrupted by the utility at any time for as long as necessary for an immediate reduction in load that can help ensure that up to 1 million residential customers and critical businesses such as hospitals keep their lights on and their homes heated.

Additionally, the bill preserves the PUCO's ability to approve transmission and economic development programs that also enhance grid stability, which is essential for retaining and expanding businesses in Ohio. These programs contribute to keeping energy rates low by reducing transmission costs for all consumers through decreased peak demand on the overall system. As a result, both large and small business and residential customers across the state enjoy lower transmission costs. It is critical to emphasize the importance of these interruptible and transmission pilot programs for grid stability and economic development in Ohio. They enable our state to compete effectively with others, both domestically and internationally, in attracting new businesses and supporting existing ones.

Second, House Bill 15 includes an important reform to ensure regulatory oversight over supplemental transmission projects. Specifically, House Bill 15 gives the Ohio Power Siting Board jurisdiction over transmission projects that are built at the 69 kilovolt (kV) level, by lowering the jurisdictional threshold from 100 kV to 60 kV. In this way, we will finally shine a light on these transmission projects that are paid for 100% by Ohio ratepayers and have resulted in unsustainable utility rate increases for Ohio's businesses. Out-of-control transmission costs is a top threat to the ability of Ohio to remain competitive with other states in maintaining and attracting existing and new manufacturers and industries.

For example, when I testified before this committee on February 12, 2025, I provided a chart showing how a typical large energy user has been impacted by escalating transmission costs since 2017, attached again today. Since 2017, 292 supplemental transmission projects have been built at 69 kV in Ohio, with a total estimated cost exceeding \$1.57 billion—every dollar of which was paid by Ohio ratepayers plus profits.¹ Yet not even one of these projects received oversight from

¹ Source: <u>https://www.pjm.com/planning/m/project-construction</u> (searchable and sortable PJM database of all PJM transmissions projects). Of the 292 projects, 82 projects were estimated to cost over \$5 million, and 50 projects were estimated to cost over \$10 million. Per PJM's Independent Market Monitor's Report for 2024, at p. 717, "PJM's data collection, management and retention related to transmission spending of all types is inadequate and needs a significant upgrade. The failure to collect data on estimated and final project costs makes it impossible to track transmission project costs for all

the Ohio Power Siting Board. Ohioans have shouldered more than \$1.5 billion in transmission costs without any regulatory review or approval. House Bill 15 will finally bring needed oversight to this process, ensuring accountability and fairness for Ohio businesses and consumers. OELC strongly supports this reform.

As you make this important reform, the committee should know who these transmission entities are, as they include all four of Ohio's electric distribution utilities. It is not surprising that all four of the utilities submitted testimony opposing this very commonsense transmission reform, given how much they have relied on this supplemental transmission project regulatory gap. The below chart shows 26 of the major transmission owning companies that are PJM members with the value of their transmission rate base, published recently by S&P Global, which includes AEP, FirstEnergy and Duke Energy.² This chart also shows the authorized rate of return (return on equity) for each transmission company, with most over 10% and some as high as a 13% guaranteed ROE.

- AEP Ohio's transmission owning affiliates, Ohio Transmission Co. and Ohio Power Co., together have \$6.88 billion in transmission assets that are getting a guaranteed 9.85% return. Since 2017, AEP Ohio has installed \$769.9 million of 69 kV supplemental transmission projects in Ohio.
- Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. has \$1.13 billion in transmission rate base at a guaranteed 11.38% return. Since 2017, Duke has installed nearly \$170 million of 69 kV supplemental transmission projects.
- And FirstEnergy's affiliate, American Transmission Systems, Inc., has \$4.12 billion in transmission rate base at a guaranteed 10.38% return. Since 2017, ATSI has installed over \$535 million of 69 kV supplemental transmission projects.

project types." Report available at

https://www.monitoringanalytics.com/reports/PJM_State_of_the_Market/2024/2024-som-pjm-vol2.pdf² Source: https://www.spglobal.com/market-intelligence/en/news-insights/research/pjm-transmission-growth-rebounds-datacenters-boost-outlook-for-future-expansion

Transmission formula rate summary for major utilities in the PJM Interconnection, 2023–2024

Parent company	Filing company	2023 transmission rate base (\$000)	2024 transmission rate base (\$000)	2023–24 grow th (%)	Authorized base ROE* (%)	authorized	Total ROE including adder*	
American Electric Power Co.	Appalachian Transmission Co.	91,441	107,790	17.88	10.35	None	N/A	
American Electric Power Co.	Indiana Michigan Transmission Co.	2,886,372	3,086,078	6.92	10.35	None	N/A	
American Electric Power Co.	Kentucky Transmission Co.	147,479	144,085	-2.30	10.35	None	N/A	
American Electric Power Co.	Ohio Transmission Co.	4,417,191	4,627,794	4.77	9.85	None	N/A	
American Electric Power Co.	West Virginia Transmission Co.	2,004,189	2,142,902	6.92	10.35	None	N/A	
American Electric Power Co.	Appalachian Power Co.	3,134,397	3,317,650	5.85	10.35	None	N/A	
American Electric Power Co.	Indiana Michigan Power Co.	1,173,991	1,185,564	0.99	10.35	None	N/A	
American Electric Power Co.	Kentucky Power Co.	497,312	533,975	7.37	10.35	None	N/A	
American Electric Power Co.	Kingsport Power Co.	42,037	50,292	19.64	10.35	None	N/A	
American Electric Power Co.	Ohio Power Co.	1,976,002	2,253,050	14.02	9.85	None	N/A	
American Electric Power Co.	Wheeling Power Co.	103,185	113,105	9.61	10.35	None	N/A	
Dominion Energy Inc.	Virginia Electric and Power Co.	8,587,842	9,830,573	14.47	11.40	279,264	12.65	
Dominion Energy Inc.						575,526	12.90	
DOE Haldings H.O.	Duquesne Light Co.	725,156	762,016	5.08	11.40	NA	12.40	
DQE Holdings LLC						NA	12.90	
Duke Energy Corp.	Duke Energy Kentucky Inc.	65,853	89,239	35.51	11.38	None	N/A	
Duke Energy Corp.	Duke Energy Ohio Inc.	1,028,697	1,134,540	10.29	11.38	None	N/A	
Exelon Corp.	Atlantic City Electric Co.	1,341,457	1,395,874	4.06	10.50	56,233	12.00	
Exelon Corp.	Baltimore Gas & Electric Co.	1,756,362	1,868,553	6.39	10.50	157,178	11.50	
Exelon Corp.	Commonwealth Edison Co.	4,351,741	4,476,951	2.88	11.50	169,200	13.00	
Exelon Corp.	Delmarva Power & Light Co.	1,164,515	1,254,416	7.72	10.50	72,560	12.00	
Exelon Corp.	PECO Energy Co.	1,236,996	1,298,535	4.97	10.35	None	N/A	
Exelon Corp.	Potomac Electric Power Co.	1,261,284	1,676,946	32.96	10.50	154,700	12.00	
FirstEnergy Corp.	American Transmission Systems Inc.	3,790,375	4,124,692	8.82	10.38	None	N/A	
FirstEnergy Corp.	Mid-Atlantic Interstate Transmission LLC	2,101,238	2,431,726	15.73	10.30	None	N/A	
FirstEnergy Corp.	Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Co.	1,453,298	1,442,621	-0.73	11.70	814,346	12.70	
PPL Corp.	PPL Electric Utilities Corp.	5,852,007	6,137,416	4.88	10.50	633,941	11.70	

Not a single one of these projects came before the Ohio Power Siting Board for review. Further, these supplemental projects are not approved by PJM's board or reviewed for prudency and reasonableness.³ Contrary to testimony you may have heard from the utilities, there is very little review currently of these supplemental transmission projects by PJM, and currently no review in Ohio for those at 69 kV. If you look at Appendix B, you can see how supplemental transmission projects have proliferated in the past few decades, and especially the last ten years. House Bill 15 will help close this problematic regulatory gap.

³ Source: PJM RTEP 2023 Report, available at <u>https://www.pjm.com/-/media/DotCom/library/reports-notices/2023-rtep/2023-rtep-report.pdf</u>, at pp. 61-62; see also pp. 194-204 which lists supplemental transmission projects completed in Ohio in 2023; see also PJM Independent Market Monitor Report for 2024, available at <u>https://www.monitoringanalytics.com/reports/PJM_State_of_the_Market/2024/2024-som-pjm-vol2.pdf</u>, at p. 716 ("PJM conducts a do no harm analysis to ensure the Supplemental Projects do not negatively affect the reliability of

^{(&}quot;PJM conducts a do no harm analysis to ensure the Supplemental Projects do not negatively affect the reliability of the system. Supplemental Projects are ultimately included in PJM's Regional Transmission Expansion Plan and are allocated 100 percent to the zone in which the transmission facilities are located.")

There is one change needed to the transmission reform section of the bill, which was in a prior version, to give ratepayers in Ohio the ability to intervene in transmission power siting cases. Without this provision, impacted businesses and consumers would have no voice in decisions that directly affect their costs. Without intervention, cases could be one-sided with no parties opposing aspects of the filing that may not be reasonable or comply with Ohio law. Intervention is essential to regulatory fairness, ensuring that those who bear the financial burden can challenge unreasonable proposals. The intervention language in the original version of the bill should be reinstated to maintain transparency and accountability.

Finally, there are compromises in House Bill 15, with provisions that are more favorable to Ohio's electric utilities. Those include the novel 3-year forecasted rate plan that will, in OELC's view, lead to unnecessarily high rates and a one-side ratemaking process. Those also include a revised provision on ratepayer refunds that only permits them from the date of an Ohio Supreme Court decision, which is a significant change from the prior version of House Bill 15 that did not include this limitation. OELC does not support those provisions and would like to keep working with the committee on those items. While not every provision benefits Ohio's ratepayers, especially on these two items, OELC remains a proponent of House Bill 15 because it includes many other provisions that are very positive and beneficial to Ohio's businesses.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for allowing me the opportunity to testify on this important update to Ohio's energy statute. I am happy to answer any questions that committee members may have on this bill. D.F.P.

OELC Testmony - Appendix A





The Premier Advocate for Ohio's Largest Energy Users Lori Herf Legislative Advisor lherf@bakerlaw.com 614.462.2667

Transmission Charge Increases: 2017 – 2025 for AEP Ohio and FirstEnergy Utilities Assuming Large Energy User with 100,000 kW/kVa of Demand

		Transmission Rate	Monthly Transmission					
AEP Ohio	kW Demand	(per kW or KVA)	Charges	Annual Transmission Charges				
2017	100,000	3.45	\$ 345,000.00	\$	4,140,000			
2025	100,000	7.72	\$ 772,000.00	\$	9,264,000			
			123% increase	\$	5,124,000 increase			
Toledo Edison	kVa Demand							
2017	100,000	3.8822	\$ 388,220.00	\$	4,658,640			
2025	100,000	9.6019	\$ 960,190.00	\$	11,522,280			
			147% increase	\$	6,863,640 increase			
Ohio Edison	kVa Demand							
2017	100,000	3.1154	\$ 311,540.00	\$	3,738,480			
2025	100,000	7.953	\$ 795,300.00	\$	9,543,600			
			155% increase	\$	5,805,120 increase			
Cleveland Electric								
Illuminating	kVa Demand							
2017	100,000	2.6203	\$ 262,030.00	\$	3,144,360			
2025	100,000	7.3552	\$ 735,520.00	\$	8,826,240			
			180% increase	\$	5,681,880 increase			

OELC Testimony – Appendix B

fear	ACEC	AEP	AMPT	APS	ATSI	BGE C	OMED	DAY	DUKE	DUQ	DOM	DPL	EKPC .	JCPLC	MEC	NEET	OVEC	PECO	PE	PEPCO	PPL.	PSEG	REC	Tot
998	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
999	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
001	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
002	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
003	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	
004	5	0	0	10	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	12	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	
005	4	2	0	8	0	0	4	0	0	0	1	14	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	2	0	
006	4	2	0	5	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	0	
007	1	1	0	5	0	4	5	0	0	4	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	6	0	
800	3	0	0	15	0	1	6	0	0	1	7	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	
009	3	1	0	6	0	1	8	0	0	3	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	1	2	0	
010	0	6	0	7	0	3	4	0	0	6	3	0	0	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	3	5	0	
011	0	8	0	8	0	0	2	0	0	5	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	6	4	0	
012	0	5	0	6	4	1	2	0	7	3	16	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	5	11	0	
013	5	21	0	4	5	0	11	0	6	4	13	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	14	19	0	1
014	2	31	0	2	8	2	14	0	5	6	18	3	3	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	9	15	0	1
015	4	15	0	2	9	1	37	0	8	4	17	5	3	2	0	0	0	1	0	4	7	23	0	1
016	6	17	0	4	17	0	26	0	6	2	13	4	2	0	1	0	0	3	2	3	11	29	0	1
017	8	107	0	3	26	1	23	0	3	8	31	11	5	0	3	0	0	0	3	1	22	43	0	2
018	10	143	0	3	13	1	20	0	14	3	22	6	4	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	20	25	0	2
019	3	163	0	4	30	5	14	2	16	1	33	8	5	3	14	0	0	1	15	0	15	27	0	3
020	5	132	0	4	35	6	12	5	13	1	30	2	6	9	17	0	0	3	34	1	17	23	0	3
021	4	154	0	6	31	8	4	7	13	2	22	0	8	16	23	0	0	22	24	0	19	23	0	3
022	1	149	0	12	32	5	10	7	9	1	28	2	6	13	37	0	0	5	28	0	18	17	0	3
023	5	169	0	13	29	10	6	4	9	1	38	4	6	9	28	2	0	4	14	5	15	19	0	3
024	7	354	1	31	25	11	7	7	4	0	40	4	10	34	21	0	0	8	25	5	17	17	0	6
025	3	355	3	21	37	3	8	27	9	3	45	0	6	27	43	0	0	6	69	6	19	17	0	7
026	5	143	3	24	19	5	10	11	11	2	41	3	6	13	24	0	0	2	19	1	27	6	0	3
027	4	145	5	24	18	1	3	22	5	3	22	5	8	12	15	0	5	3	3	0	22	18	0	3
028	7	70	1	10	8	4	3	10	3	0	14	1	2	5	0	0	0	1	9	12	14	8	0	1
029	5	54	0	5	4	3	0	1	1	0	3	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	5	9	0	
030	0	59	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ó	7	0	0	
031	0	36	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
032	1	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
033	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	
034	ŏ	0	ŏ	0	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	0	0	ŏ	ŏ	Ő	0	ŏ	0	Ő	0	6	ŏ	4	ő	0	
035	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_
036	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ŏ	0	0	_
037	ŏ	0	ŏ	0	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	0	0	0	ŏ	0	ő	0	ŏ	0	0	ő	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	0	0	
038	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
039	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ŏ	0	0	
040	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
140	0	0	0	0	<u> </u>		0			U	0		0	U	0	0	0		0	0		U	0	5,8

Table 12-60 Number of supplemental projects by expected in service year and zone: 1998 through 2040

Source: PJM's Independent Market Monitor's Report for 2024, at p. 718, available at https://www.monitoringanalytics.com/reports/PJM_State_of_the_Market/2024/2024-som-pjm-vol2.pdf