

RICHARD D. JONES

Treasurer/CFO
Southwest Licking Local School District
rdjones@laca.org

Senate Education Committee House Bill 96 May 29, 2025

Chair Brenner, Vice Chair Blessing, Ranking Member Ingram, and members of the Senate Education Committee, thank you for the opportunity to testify today on House Bill 96. My name is Richard D. Jones, and I serve as the Treasurer/CFO of Southwest Licking Local School District in Licking and Fairfield Counties.

The Southwest Licking Local School District (School District) is a suburban school district which covers approximately 65 square miles. The School District consists of three elementary schools, one intermediate school, one middle school, and one high school which services 5,112 students.

The School District is finishing up our OFCC master plan with the completion of a new elementary school. Upon completion of the new elementary, one of our existing elementary schools will be converted to a BRIDGE Academy to service students with severe behavior issues. As a result of the OFCC enrollment projection significantly underestimating our enrollment (OFCC enrollment project estimated the School District would have 4,250 students for the 2025-2026 school year, our actual enrollment is 5,112 for 2024-2025 school year), the School District had a bond levy on the May 6, 2025 special election, for a new 1,200 student 5-6 school building, a 450 student addition on the new high school, and an indoor facility, that failed. The School District will now have to decide if the bond levy will be placed on the November 2025 election.

I appreciate the work of this committee and the General Assembly in supporting Ohio's public schools, and I respectfully offer the following comments on several provisions in House Bill 96.

Fair School Funding Plan: Preserve the Phase-In and Update Base Cost Inputs

Like many of my colleagues across the state, I remain strongly committed to the Fair School Funding Plan (FSFP). It is a student-centered, transparent, and predictable model that reflects the actual cost of educating students in our communities. Replacing the FSFP phase-in with temporary "bridge funding" moves away from this progress and reintroduces uncertainty into a system we've worked hard to stabilize.

We urge the Senate to:

- Remove temporary "bridge funding" and maintain the phase-in as proposed by Governor DeWine;
- Update the base cost inputs to reflect current costs, such as staffing, class sizes, and services; and
- Maintain guarantees until full implementation of the FSFP is complete, including updated categorical funding.

Without updated base costs, districts like mine risk being penalized even as other formula inputs—such as property valuations or income data—are refreshed. This creates structural imbalance and shifts more of the funding burden onto local taxpayers.

Cash Balance Cap Elimination

Under the House-passed version of HB 96, districts with a carryover balance above 30% of the prior year's expenditures could face property tax reductions. For districts like mine, this would create serious planning challenges.

Ohio collects property taxes in arrears, which means that any reduction triggered by a June 30 balance wouldn't affect collections until 18 months later—long after the budget for the affected year has been adopted.

In Southwest Licking Local School District, we build and maintain our cash reserves to:

- Manage levy cycles and avoid frequent ballot issues;
- Support the district's bond rating and financial stability; and
- Additional staffing and program needs for a growing school district.

Capping cash balances would undercut responsible financial planning and could increase long-term costs for both school districts and local taxpayers.

We urge the Senate to:

• Remove the 30% cash balance provision from HB 96.

Not currently included in HB 96 but may be under consideration by the Senate.

Including Emergency and Substitute Levies in the 20 Mills Floor Calculation

As mentioned earlier in this letter, Southwest Licking Local School District is a growing school district, and as such, needs the substitute levy to keep up with the new staff needed to operate a growing school district. Including the substitute levy in the 20 mills floor calculation would result in the School District receiving less and less of the substitute levy revenue because of H.B 920.

Below is the Southwest Licking Local School District five-year forecast with the substitute levy outside the 20 mills floor calculation and the five-year forecast with the substitute levy included in the 20 mills floor calculation. With the substitute levy not included in the 20 mills floor calculations, the school district has estimated the following amounts for General Property Tax (Real Estate) line 1.010 - FY 2027 (\$32,518,186), FY 2028 (\$35,128,840), and FY 2029 (\$36,483,329). With the substitute levy included in the 20 mills floor calculations, the school district has estimated the following amounts for General Property Tax (Real Estate) line 1.010 - FY 2027 (\$30,545,854), FY 2028 (\$31,582,458), and FY 2029 (\$32,658,979). The result of this loss of property tax revenue, due to including the substitute levy in the 20 mills floor calculation, the school district's estimated cash balance at the end of FY 2029 goes from \$11,452,430 to \$870,988. Therefore, the School District will need to go back to the voters for another levy because the substitute levy, the voters previously approved for a continuing period of time, will have disappeared into the 20 mills floor.

The section was intentionally left blank

Five-Year forecast with the substitute levy outside of the 20 mills floor calculation

Southwest Licking Local School District Licking County

Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2022, 2023 and 2024 Actual; Forecasted Fiscal Years Ending June 30, 2025 Through 2029

		Actual			1	Forecasted					
		Fiscal Year	Fiscal Year	Fiscal Year	Average	Fiscal Year	Fiscal Year	Fiscal Year	Fiscal Year	Fiscal Year	
		2022	2023	2024	Change	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	
	Revenues										
1.010	General Property Tax (Real Estate)	19,182,757	20,165,974	25,518,342	15.8%	27,908,334	29,643,243	32,518,186	35,128,840	36,483,329	
1.020	Public Utility Personal Property Tax	1,715,382	1,953,418	2,002,941	8.2%	2,064,437	2,192,235	2,263,123	2,335,671	2,422,915	
1.030	Income Tax	7,748,085	9,007,057	8,810,672	7.0%	9,487,235	10,175,074	10,935,924	11,783,003	12,746,127	
1.035	Unrestricted State Grants-in-Aid	15,620,391	16,128,493	19,257,224	11.3%	19,422,904	15,734,650	15,481,310	15,228,206	14,975,341	
1.040	Restricted State Grants-in-Aid	999,854	1,089,628	1,598,176	27.8%	1,562,353	1,361,353	1,361,353	1,361,353	1,361,353	
1.050	State Share of Local Property Taxes	2,614,208	2,693,814	3,140,814	9.8%	3,575,438	3,679,041	3,986,608	4,281,819	4,404,909	
1.060	All Other Revenues	1,426,906	2,400,852	3,229,909	51.4%	3,405,009	3,223,519	3,061,180	2,916,092	2,786,546	
1.070	Total Revenues	49,307,583	53,439,236	63,558,078	13.7%	67,425,710	66,009,115	69,607,684	73,034,984	75,180,520	
	Other Financing Sources										
2.040	Operating Transfers-In	553,386	642,429	737,956	15.5%	660,000	679,800	700,194	714,198	728,482	
2.050	Advances-In	61,604	599	13,461	1024.1%	17,182	-	-	-	-	
2.060	All Other Financing Sources	197,028	483,137	180,386	41.3%	161,896	160,277	158,674	157,088	155,517	
2.070	Total Other Financing Sources	812,018	1,126,165	931,803	10.7%	839,078	840,077	858,868	871,286	883,999	
2.080	Total Revenues and Other Financing Sources	50,119,601	54,565,401	64,489,881	13.5%	68,264,788	66,849,192	70,466,552	73,906,270	76,064,519	
	Expenditures										
3.010	Personal Services	27,451,985	28,571,595	30,697,213	5.8%	35,948,749	39,511,252	42,464,228	45,201,776	48,079,060	
3.020	Employees' Retirement/Insurance Benefits	10,763,973	11,639,642	13,195,503	10.8%	15,159,220	16,953,605	18,338,401	19,793,603	21,391,765	
3.030	Purchased Services	3,927,000	6,272,065	6,517,871	31.8%	11,784,581	5,959,981	6,257,980	6,570,879	6,899,423	
3.040	Supplies and Materials	1,360,478	1,523,845	1,503,640	5.3%	2,700,464	2,086,305	2,144,395	2,204,227	2,265,854	
3.050	Capital Outlay	22,201	2,968	683,939	11428.6%	24,150	24,150	24,150	24,150	24,150	
4.300	Other Objects	603,292	753,641	834,006	17.8%	946,161	974,546	1,003,782	1,033,895	1,064,912	
4.500	Total Expenditures	44,128,929	48,763,756	53,432,172	10.0%	66,563,325	65,509,840	70,232,936	74,828,529	79,725,165	
	Other Financing Uses										
5.010	Operating Transfers-Out	553,667	642,429	737,675	15.4%	32,184,505	834,300	859,329	876,516	894,046	
5.020	Advances-Out	599	13,461	21,504	1103.5%	19,400	-	-	_	-	
5.030	All Other Financing Uses	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	
5.040	Total Other Financing Uses	554,266	655,890	759,179	17.0%	32,203,905	834,300	859,329	876,516	894,046	
5.050	Total Expenditures and Other Financing Uses	44,683,195	49,419,646	54,191,351	10.1%	98,767,230	66,344,140	71,092,265	75,705,045	80,619,211	
6.010	Excess of Revenues and Other Financing Sources over										
	(under) Expenditures and Other Financing Uses	5,436,406	5,145,755	10,298,530	47.4%	(30,502,442)	505,052	(625,713)	(1,798,776)	(4,554,692)	
	_	3,730,700	3,173,733	10,270,330	7/.7/0	(30,302,742)	303,032	(023,713)	(1,770,770)	(4,554,092)	
7.010	Cash Balance July 1 - Excluding Proposed										
7.010	Renewal/Replacement and New Levies	27,548,311	32,984,718	38,130,471	17.7%	48,429,001	17,926,559	18,431,611	17,805,898	16,007,122	
	Tone was replacement and frew Levies	27,570,511	32,704,710	30,130,4/1	17.770	70,727,001	11,720,339	10,731,011	17,000,090	10,007,122	
7.020	Cash Balance June 30	32,984,717	38,130,473	48,429,001	21.3%	17,926,559	18,431,611	17,805,898	16,007,122	11,452,430	
7.020	Cush Butunce June 30	32,704,717	30,130,473	40,423,001	21.370	17,920,339	10,431,011	17,005,090	10,007,122	11,732,730	

Five-Year forecast with the substitute levy included in the 20 mills floor calculation

Southwest Licking Local School District Licking County

Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2022, 2023 and 2024 Actual; Forecasted Fiscal Years Ending June 30, 2025 Through 2029

		Actual				Forecasted				
		Fiscal Year	Fiscal Year	Fiscal Year	Average	Fiscal Year	Fiscal Year	Fiscal Year	Fiscal Year	Fiscal Year
		2022	2023	2024	Change	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
	Revenues									
1.010	General Property Tax (Real Estate)	19,182,757	20,165,974	25,518,342	15.8%	27,908,334	29,556,560	30,545,854	31,582,458	32,658,979
1.020	Public Utility Personal Property Tax	1,715,382	1,953,418	2,002,941	8.2%	2,064,437	2,192,235	2,263,123	2,335,671	2,422,915
1.030	Income Tax	7,748,085	9,007,057	8,810,672	7.0%	9,487,235	10,175,074	10,935,924	11,783,003	12,746,127
1.035	Unrestricted State Grants-in-Aid	15,620,391	16,128,493	19,257,224	11.3%	19,422,904	15,734,650	15,481,310	15,228,206	14,975,341
1.040	Restricted State Grants-in-Aid	999,854	1,089,628	1,598,176	27.8%	1,562,353	1,361,353	1,361,353	1,361,353	1,361,353
1.050	State Share of Local Property Taxes	2,614,208	2,693,814	3,140,814	9.8%	3,575,438	3,667,127	3,755,874	3,841,727	3,935,954
1.060	All Other Revenues	1,426,906	2,400,852	3,229,909	51.4%	3,405,009	3,223,519	3,061,180	2,916,092	2,786,546
1.070	Total Revenues	49,307,583	53,439,236	63,558,078	13.7%	67,425,710	65,910,518	67,404,618	69,048,510	70,887,215
	Other Financing Sources									
2.040	Operating Transfers-In	553,386	642,429	737,956	15.5%	660,000	679,800	700,194	714,198	728,482
2.050	Advances-In	61,604	599	13,461	1024.1%	17,182	-	-	-	-
2.060	All Other Financing Sources	197,028	483,137	180,386	41.3%	161,896	160,277	158,674	157,088	155,517
2.070	Total Other Financing Sources	812,018	1,126,165	931,803	10.7%	839,078	840,077	858,868	871,286	883,999
2.080	Total Revenues and Other Financing Sources	50,119,601	54,565,401	64,489,881	13.5%	68,264,788	66,750,595	68,263,486	69,919,796	71,771,214
	Expenditures									
3.010	Personal Services	27,451,985	28,571,595	30,697,213	5.8%	35,948,749	39,511,252	42,464,228	45,201,776	48,079,060
3.020	Employees' Retirement/Insurance Benefits	10,763,973	11,639,642	13,195,503	10.8%	15,159,220	16,953,605	18,338,401	19,793,603	21,391,765
3.030	Purchased Services	3,927,000	6,272,065	6,517,871	31.8%	11,784,581	5,959,981	6,257,980	6,570,879	6,899,423
3.040	Supplies and Materials	1,360,478	1,523,845	1,503,640	5.3%	2,700,464	2,086,305	2,144,395	2,204,227	2,265,854
3.050	Capital Outlay	22,201	2,968	683,939	11428.6%	24,150	24,150	24,150	24,150	24,150
4.300	Other Objects	603,292	753,641	834,006	17.8%	946,161	974,546	1,003,782	1,033,895	1,064,912
4.500	Total Expenditures	44,128,929	48,763,756	53,432,172	10.0%	66,563,325	65,509,840	70,232,936	74,828,529	79,725,165
	Other Financing Uses									
5.010	Operating Transfers-Out	553,667	642,429	737,675	15.4%	32,184,505	834,300	859,329	876,516	894,046
5.020	Advances-Out	599	13,461	21,504	1103.5%	19,400	-	-	-	-
5.030	All Other Financing Uses	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-
5.040	Total Other Financing Uses	554,266	655,890	759,179	17.0%	32,203,905	834,300	859,329	876,516	894,046
5.050	Total Expenditures and Other Financing Uses	44,683,195	49,419,646	54,191,351	10.1%	98,767,230	66,344,140	71,092,265	75,705,045	80,619,211
6.010	Excess of Revenues and Other Financing Sources over									
	(under) Expenditures and Other Financing Uses	5,436,406	5,145,755	10,298,530	47.4%	(30,502,442)	406,455	(2,828,779)	(5,785,250)	(8,847,997)
		3,430,400	3,143,733	10,270,330	77.770	(30,302,442)	400,433	(2,020,777)	(3,763,230)	(0,047,777)
7.010	Cash Balance July 1 - Excluding Proposed									
7.010	Renewal/Replacement and New Levies	27,548,311	32,984,718	38,130,471	17.7%	48,429,001	17,926,559	18,333,014	15,504,235	9,718,985
	renewas replacement and new revies	21,340,311	32,704,710	30,130,471	17.770	40,422,001	17,720,339	10,333,014	13,304,233	2,/10,203
7.020	Cash Balance June 30	32,984,717	38,130,473	48,429,001	21.3%	17,926,559	18,333,014	15,504,235	9,718,985	870,988
7.020	Cash Dalance June 30	32,984,717	38,130,4/3	48,429,001	21.5%	17,920,339	18,333,014	13,304,233	9,/10,985	870,988

I urge the Senate to:

- Not include emergency or substitute levies in the 20 mills floor calculation, or
- Grandfather existing emergency and substitute levies, and all new emergency and substitute levies would be included in the 20 mills floor calculation.
- I personally believe a cap on the increase in property valuation would be a better long-term solution than including the emergency and substitute levies in the 20 mills floor calculation.

Thank you for your consideration of these crucial next steps in supporting Ohio's educational excellence.

Chair Brenner and members of the committee, thank you for your time and attention.