

## Comments on H.B. 96

This bill is something that will affect future investment opportunities within the state of Ohio. The addition of “sex markers” and punishment for not matching to an arbitrary standard puts those traveling to Ohio for work or vacation activities at risk for punishment. It will drive away future business, and encourage students from Outside the state to avoid it, and those currently students to leave. It will also overnight make an entire class of people criminals and have them avoiding contributions to the economy. This is a budget bill. Why is this in here? I want to remain in the home state of my family for over 100 years and this puts this on shaky for my family members. My father was a Cleveland Police captain and this law makes no one safer, and only forces people into hiding.

In the case of a budget bill the sex definition has nothing to do with funding within the state and just puts many people who have invested their time, energy and finances into the state and local businesses and communities at risk.

Removing eleven elected positions on the state board of education and reducing appointed members to 5 also removes local say in our villages towns and cities on what education policy should be regionally throughout the state, centralizing something that should be more flexible for the many diverging needs of state school districts.

Removing the collective bargaining right of works will make state of Ohio families even more susceptible to hardship.

Reducing essential public services also puts at risk Ohio families and will end up killing many potential future small businesses that could have started out of those assisted by those programs.

Concerned citizen,

Theodora Tube