

KYLE L. STONE

STARK COUNTY PROSECUTING ATTORNEY

ADMINISTRATION

Krissa L. Olson Operations Director Kathryn A. Taylor Personnel Manager Curtis Floyd Investigator Karen R. Stover **Executive Assistant**

COMMUNITY INITIATIVE

Carlin R. Isles, Director James N. Knight Jayla C. Lee

VICTIM-WITNESS DIVISION

Kimberly D. Stanley, Director Carla F. D'Antonio Rebecca A. McGuire Shawn A. Ferrell Samantha M. Jenkins Miles A. Ford Michelle L. Bruns Rachel E. Irwin Susan M. Rayner Jordan R. Ladrach Alyson A. Candea **Brittany Paliswat**

ASSISTANT PROSECUTORS

CIVIL DIVISION Deborah A. Dawson, Chief Gerard T. Yost John Lysenko Aaron J. Violand Jessica L. Logothetides

Bennet M. Stuffel

CRIMINAL DIVISION

Kristen I Mlinar Daniel J. Petricini Megan E. Starrett Richard V. Nicodemo Mark T. Ostrowski Angel K. Ruhl Jennifer L. Dave Kelsev R. DiFrancesco Kassim J. Ahmed Chelsea R. Small Rebecca L. Mutigli Olivia M. Cope Eunice S. Asamoah John A. Scavelli

APPELLATE DIVISION

Vicki L. DeSantis Christopher A. Piekarski

JUVENILE DIVISION

ill A. Morgan Dylan A. Kolbe Mary R. Slanczka Morgan G. Massengale Ashley G. Kimmel

Dear Senate General Government Committee,

June 16, 2025

I am writing to express my strong opposition to SB4 in its current form, which proposes the creation of an Election Integrity Unit in the Secretary of States office.

While I fully support efforts to ensure the integrity and security of our elections, I have serious concerns about the structure and implications of this bill. Specifically, SB4 limits local prosecutors' discretion and authority to decide how election-related cases are handled. This erosion of prosecutorial independence is both unnecessary and counterproductive. The bill does not impose similar limitations on the Attorney General, creating a lopsided framework that undermines local control and prosecutorial judgment.

Furthermore, the proposed Election Integrity Unit is not a law enforcement agency and lacks the investigative authority and infrastructure that local sheriffs and police departments possess. In every other context—whether dealing with property crimes, fraud, or other offenses—our cities rely on trained, local law enforcement to investigate crimes before prosecutors become involved. There is no compelling reason to treat election-related offenses differently.

Any legislation that affects the ability of local prosecutors to perform their constitutional duties should be crafted in consultation with our offices. Prosecutors are uniquely positioned to understand the needs and circumstances of their communities. To enact such a fundamental change without our input sets a troubling precedent.

I respectfully request that SB4 be reconsidered or amended to preserve the discretion of local prosecutors and ensure that any election-related investigative authority remains within properly equipped and accountable law enforcement agencies. I also urge that future changes affecting prosecutorial authority be developed with full engagement and consultation from our offices.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Regards.

Stark County Prosecuting Attorney