As Introduced

136th General Assembly

Regular Session 2025-2026

H. B. No. 628

Representative Mathews, T.

Cosponsors: Representatives Ritter, Hall, T., Deeter, Fischer

To enact sections 3755.01, 3755.02, 3755.03,	1
3755.04, 3755.041, 3755.05, 3755.06, 3755.07,	2
3755.08, 3755.09, 3755.091, 3755.10, 3755.11,	3
and 3755.12 of the Revised Code to create an	4
independent verification organization license	5
for verifying artificial intelligence risk	6
mitigation.	7

BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF OHIO:

Section 1. That sections 3755.01, 3755.02, 3755.03,	8
3755.04, 3755.041, 3755.05, 3755.06, 3755.07, 3755.08, 3755.09,	9
3755.091, 3755.10, 3755.11, and 3755.12 of the Revised Code be	10
enacted to read as follows:	11
Sec. 3755.01. As used in this chapter:	12
(A) "Artificial intelligence application" means a software	13
program or system that uses artificial intelligence models to	14
perform tasks that typically require human intelligence.	15
(B) "Artificial intelligence model" means an engineered or	16
machine-based system that can, for explicit or implicit	17
objectives, infer from the input it receives how to generate	18
outputs that can influence physical or virtual environments.	19

(C)(1) "Deployer" means a person or entity that	20
implements, integrates, or makes operational an artificial	21
intelligence model or artificial intelligence application within	22
this state.	23
(2) "Deployer" includes a person or entity that makes an	24
artificial intelligence model or artificial intelligence	25
application available for use by others within this state,	26
whether directly or as part of a product or service.	27
(D) "Developer" means a person or entity who develops an	28
artificial intelligence model or artificial intelligence	29
application that is deployed in this state.	30
(E) "Independent verification organization" means an	31
entity licensed by the attorney general pursuant to section	32
3755.03 of the Revised Code to assess artificial intelligence	33
models' or applications' adherence to standards reflecting best	34
practices for the prevention of personal injury and property	35
damage.	36
(F) "Security vendor" means a third-party entity engaged	37
by an independent verification organization or developer to	38
evaluate the safety or security of an artificial intelligence	39
model or artificial intelligence application, using processes	4 C
such as red teaming, risk detection, and risk mitigation.	41
Sec. 3755.02. An application for an independent	42
verification organization license shall be made by filing with	43
the attorney general the information, materials, and forms	44
specified in rules adopted by the attorney general, along with a	45
plan detailing all of the following information:	46
(A)(1) The risk or risks with respect to which the	47
applicant intends to verify that artificial intelligence models	4.8

or artificial intelligence applications achieve acceptable	49
levels of mitigation;	50
(2) For each risk detailed in division (A)(1) of this	51
section, a proposed definition of acceptable levels of	52
	53
mitigation, along with all of the following:	33
(a) Measurable outcome metrics that are reasonable proxies	54
for the attainment of acceptable levels of risk mitigation;	55
(b) Baselines and targets for outcome metrics described in	56
division (A)(1)(a) of this section, identified data sources, and	57
specific measurement methods;	58
(c) A description of the evaluation and reporting protocol	59
the independent verification organization will use to determine	60
whether verified artificial intelligence models or artificial	61
intelligence applications meet the outcome metrics described in	62
division (A)(1)(a) of this section on an ongoing basis.	63
(B) Proposed technical and operational requirements for	64
developers or deployers, including procedures for both pre-	65
development and post-development, to ensure that an artificial	66
intelligence model or artificial intelligence application	67
achieves acceptable levels of risk mitigation, including	68
requirements for both of the following:	69
(1) Ongoing monitoring of risks;	70
(2) Ongoing assessment of the efficacy of mitigation	71
measures.	72
(C) The methodologies and sources the applicant proposes	73
using to evaluate its technical and operational requirements'	74
efficacy for ensuring acceptable risk mitigation and for	75
updating those requirements to address identified gaps or	76

<pre>deficiencies;</pre>	77
(D) The benchmarks, technologies, and audit methodologies	78
the applicant proposes using to verify developers' and	79
deployers' adherence to its technical and operational	80
requirements;	81
(E) The applicant's approach to ensuring continual good	82
standing of a verified entity, including by reviewing and	83
assessing the developer's or deployer's maintenance of	84
artificial intelligence governance plans and policies, processes	85
for risk monitoring and mitigation, whistleblower protections,	86
and training for employees and third parties;	87
(F) The applicant's proposed requirements for developers	88
or deployers to disclose to the applicant detected risks,	89
incident reports, or material changes to the risk profile of the	90
model or application, including risks detected prior to	91
verification and risks resulting from fine-tuning or modifying	92
an artificial intelligence model or artificial intelligence	93
application after verification;	94
(G) The applicant's proposed procedure for prescribing and	95
verifying implementation of corrective action to remedy an	96
identified failure by a developer or deployer to do any of the	97
following:	98
(1) Achieve acceptable risk mitigation with respect to an	99
artificial intelligence application or artificial intelligence	100
<pre>model;</pre>	101
(2) Comply with any other requirements promulgated by the	102
applicant;	103
(3) Comply with the developer's or deployer's artificial	104
intelligence governance plans and policy.	105

(H) The applicant's proposed standards and procedures for	106
revoking the verification of artificial intelligence models or	107
artificial intelligence applications for noncompliance with the	108
applicant's requirements, failure to achieve acceptable levels	109
of risk mitigation, or noncompliance with the developer's or	110
deployer's artificial intelligence governance plans and	111
<pre>policies;</pre>	112
(I) Whether the applicant proposes providing verification	113
for one or more particular actual or potential artificial	114
intelligence industry market segments and, if so, how the	115
elements of the applicant's plans are tailored to any unique	116
attributes of that market segment;	117
(J) The applicant's plan for interfacing and coordinating	118
effectively with federal and state authorities;	119
	1.00
(K) The applicant's personnel and the qualifications of	120
those personnel;	121
(L) Whether the applicant's proposed risk mitigation	122
procedures described in division (B) of this section will	123
involve the use of security vendors.	124
(M) The applicant's governance policies, sources of	125
funding, and policies to ensure its independence in carrying out	126
its responsibilities under this chapter.	127
(N) Any other information the attorney general requires.	128
Sec. 3755.03. (A) The attorney general may license an	129
applicant as an independent verification organization if the	130
attorney general determines both of the following:	131
(1) That the applicant has demonstrated its independence	132
from the artificial intelligence industry;	133

(2) That every element of the applicant's plan required	134
under section 3755.02 of the Revised Code is adequate to ensure	135
that artificial intelligence models or artificial intelligence	
applications verified pursuant to the plan will mitigate to an	
acceptable level one or more risks for which the applicant	
proposes conducting verification.	139
(B) If an applicant proposes conducting verification for a	140
particular artificial intelligence market segment, the attorney	141
general shall, in determining the plan's adequacy, account for	142
the characteristics of the relevant market segment.	143
(C) If the attorney general finds that an applicant's plan	144
under section 3755.02 of the Revised Code adequately mitigates	145
some, but not all, of the proposed risks, the applicant shall be	146
licensed to verify only those risks for which the plan is deemed	147
adequate.	148
(D)(1) In licensing an independent verification	149
organization, the attorney general shall expressly and	150
specifically identify the risks for which the independent	
verification organization is licensed to conduct verification.	152
(2) If the independent verification organization proposes	153
conducting verification for one or more specific market	154
segments, the attorney general shall expressly and specifically	
identify the market segments for which the independent	
verification organization is licensed to conduct verification.	157
Sec. 3755.04. The attorney general shall revoke an	158
independent verification organization's license if the attorney	159
general determines any of the following:	160
(A) The independent verification organization's plan is	161
materially misleading or inaccurate.	162

(B) The independent verification organization fails to	163	
adhere to its plan in a manner that materially impairs its	164	
ability to fulfill its responsibilities, including failure to		
adhere to the plan's procedures for ongoing monitoring of		
verified artificial intelligence models or applications and		
<pre>implementation of corrective action.</pre>	168	
(C) A material change compromises the independent	169	
verification organization's independence from the artificial	170	
<pre>intelligence industry.</pre>	171	
(D) Evolution of technology renders the independent	172	
verification organization's methods obsolete for ensuring	173	
acceptable levels of the risk the attorney general has	174	
designated the independent verification organization to verify.	175	
(E) An artificial intelligence model or artificial	176	
intelligence application verified by the independent	177	
verification organization causes a material harm of the type the	178	
independent verification organization seeks to prevent by	179	
establishing acceptable risk levels.	180	
Sec. 3755.041. Notwithstanding section 3755.04 of the	181	
Revised Code, if the attorney general determines that the public	182	
interest so requires, the attorney general may provide an	183	
independent verification organization with an opportunity to	184	
cure the basis for revocation before revoking the independent	185	
verification organization's license.	186	
Sec. 3755.05. (A) The attorney general shall establish	187	
reasonable application fees and annual renewal fees for	188	
independent verification organizations licensed under this	189	
chapter sufficient to offset the costs incurred by the attorney	190	
general in administering this chapter.	191	

(B) All fees assessed pursuant to this section shall be	192
made payable to the attorney general.	193
(C) All fees collected pursuant to this section shall be	194
used only to pay for the following:	195
(1) Processing of applications for independent	196
verification organization licensure;	197
(2) Auditing of licensed independent verification	198
organizations;	199
(3) Payment of members of the artificial intelligence	200
safety advisory council;	201
(4) Other costs arising from the administration of this	202
chapter.	202
	204
Sec. 3755.06. (A) The artificial intelligence safety	
advisory council is established in the office of the attorney	205
general, in conjunction with the auditor of state. The attorney	206
general shall, after consulting with the auditor of state,	207
determine the appropriate size of the council and appoint all	208
members.	209
(B) The attorney general may convey powers and duties	210
provided to the attorney general under this chapter to the	211
advisory council, including licensing independent verification	212
organizations.	213
(C) The advisory council shall include at least one member	214
representing the interests of civil society, including non-	215
governmental organizations, educational and research	216
institutions, public policy institutes, or consumer and business	217
advocacy organizations.	217
auvocacy organizations.	210
(D) All members of the advisory council shall do all of	219

the following:	220
(1) Remain free from undue influence and from taking any	221
action that could compromise their ability to carry out their	222
responsibilities under this chapter or otherwise cast doubt on	223
their ability to independently assess artificial intelligence	224
models or artificial intelligence applications;	225
(2) Refrain from any action or occupation, whether gainful	226
or not, incompatible with their duties, including employment by	227
a developer or deployer of an artificial intelligence model or	228
<pre>artificial intelligence application;</pre>	229
(3)(a) Refrain from owning or acquiring any equity or	230
other interest, directly or indirectly, in any company whose	231
business consists in significant part of developing or deploying	232
artificial intelligence models or artificial intelligence	233
applications.	234
(b) Division (D)(3)(a) of this section does not apply to	235
equity acquired via a mutual fund or an exchange traded fund.	236
(4) Be precluded from accepting employment from an entity	237
licensed or seeking licensure as an independent verification	238
organization, or from an artificial intelligence model or	239
artificial intelligence application developer or deployer, for a	240
<pre>period of one year after leaving the advisory council;</pre>	241
(5) Have the required qualifications, experience, and	242
skills to perform their duties, including evaluating whether the	243
plan provided by an applicant for an independent verification	244
organization license ensures acceptable risk mitigation, and	245
determining standards for evaluating the plans of applicants.	246
(E) No member of the advisory council may serve for more	247
than two consecutive terms.	248

(F) Each member of the advisory council shall receive the	249	
member's actual and necessary expenses incurred in the discharge		
of the member's duties. Each member may also receive a salary		
for carrying out their duties under this chapter.	252	
(G) Members of the advisory council may be removed by the	253	
attorney general for inefficiency, neglect of duty, or	254	
<pre>malfeasance of office.</pre>	255	
(H) A majority of the members of the advisory council	256	
constitute a quorum, and a concurrence of a majority of a quorum	257	
is sufficient for its determination.	258	
(I) The advisory council shall keep a record of its	259	
proceedings, including all considerations relating to the	260	
issuance, refusal, renewal, and revocation of independent	261	
verification organization licensure.	262	
(J) The advisory council shall publish redacted versions	263	
of reports issued by independent verification organizations to	264	
the attorney general's web site.	265	
Sec. 3755.07. (A) An independent verification organization	266	
licensed pursuant to this chapter shall implement the plan	267	
submitted to the attorney general to verify artificial	268	
intelligence models' or artificial intelligence applications'	269	
ongoing mitigation of risks for which the independent		
verification organization is licensed to verify.	271	
(B) Nothing in this chapter requires an artificial	272	
intelligence model or artificial intelligence application to	273	
seek verification from an independent verification organization.	274	
Sec. 3755.08. An independent verification organization	275	
licensed pursuant to this chapter shall revoke the verification		
of any artificial intelligence model or artificial intelligence	277	

H. B. No. 628	Page 11
As Introduced	_

application whose developer or deployer fails to do any of the	278
<pre>following:</pre>	279
(A) Meet the requirements prescribed by the independent	280
verification organization;	281
(B) Cooperate with the independent verification	282
organization's ongoing monitoring;	283
(C) Adhere to its artificial intelligence governing	284
policies or plans;	285
(D) Implement corrective actions prescribed by the	286
independent verification organization.	287
Sec. 3755.09. (A) An independent verification organization	288
licensed pursuant to this chapter may, at any time, do any of	289
the following:	290
(1) Update or modify:	291
(a) Technical and operational requirements;	292
(b) Evaluation benchmarks;	293
(c) Audit methodologies;	294
(d) Governance plans;	295
(e) Any other element of its plan in order to take	296
advantage of improved technology.	297
(2) Address issues previously discovered;	298
(3) Otherwise enhance the efficacy of its verification	299
activities.	300
(B) An independent verification organization shall provide	301
written notice to the attorney general of any material changes	302
under division (A) of this section. The independent verification	303

organization shall describe the proposed changes, the rationale	304
for the proposed changes, and an explanation of how the proposed	305
changes will better enable the independent verification	306
organization to ensure acceptable mitigation of the relevant	307
risks.	308
(C) An independent verification organization may implement	309
changes proposed under division (A) of this section upon	310
delivery of the written notice required by division (B) of this	311
<pre>section.</pre>	312
Sec. 3755.091. (A) The attorney general may, within six	313
months after receiving notice of proposed changes under division	314
(B) of section 3755.09 of the Revised Code, request additional	315
information from the independent verification organization	316
regarding the proposed changes or may issue a written notice	317
denying the changes in whole or in part, if the attorney general	318
determines that the proposed changes are inadequate to ensure	319
acceptable mitigation of the relevant risks or are otherwise	320
inconsistent with the goals of this chapter.	321
(B) If the attorney general rejects the changes under	322
division (A) of this section, the independent verification	323
organization has 30 days to modify its plan to comply with the	324
attorney general's determination and to assess whether	325
artificial intelligence models or artificial intelligence	326
applications assessed under the previous plan must be	327
reassessed.	328
Sec. 3755.10. (A) An independent verification organization	329
shall submit an annual report to the general assembly, the	330
attorney general, and the auditor of state. The report shall be	331
filed at the time and in the form prescribed by the attorney	332
general, shall be duly verified, and shall cover the yearly	333

period fixed by the attorney general. The report shall include	334
all of the following:	335
(1) Aggregated information on the capabilities of the	336
artificial intelligence models and artificial intelligence	337
applications evaluated by the independent verification	338
organization, the observed societal risks and benefits	339
associated with those capabilities, and the potential societal	340
risks and benefits associated with those capabilities;	341
(2) The adequacy of existing evaluation resources and	342
<pre>mitigation measures to address observed and potential risks;</pre>	343
(3) Aggregated results of verification assessments;	344
(4) Remedial measures prescribed by the independent	345
verification organization and whether the developer or deployer	346
<pre>complied with those measures;</pre>	347
(5) Anonymized descriptions of additional risks beyond	348
those the independent verification organization is licensed to	349
verify and the adequacy of existing mitigation measures to	350
address those risks;	351
(6) A list of all artificial intelligence models or	352
artificial intelligence applications verified by the independent	353
<pre>verification organization;</pre>	354
(7) A description of the independent verification	355
organization's methods, technologies, and administrative	356
procedures for evaluating risk mitigation by artificial	357
<pre>intelligence models and artificial intelligence applications;</pre>	358
(8) A description of any changes to the independent	359
verification organization's governance policies, sources of	360
funding, or any other changes that would call into question its	361

independence in carrying out its responsibilities under this	362
<pre>chapter.</pre>	363
(B) Nothing in this section shall be construed to prohibit	364
an independent verification organization from redacting any	365
material that the independent verification organization	366
determines, in good faith, would risk revealing any of the	367
<pre>following:</pre>	368
(1) Trade secrets;	369
(2) Competitively sensitive information;	370
(3) Personal identifying information;	371
(4) Information that otherwise presents a risk to the	372
security of an artificial intelligence model or artificial	373
intelligence application if publicly disclosed.	374
(C) An independent verification organization shall retain	375
all documentation used for the preparation of the report	376
required under division (A) of this section for ten years	377
following the submission of the report.	378
Sec. 3755.11. (A) In a civil action asserting claims for	379
personal injury or property damage caused by an artificial	380
intelligence model or artificial intelligence application, there	381
is a rebuttable presumption against liability if all of the	382
<pre>following apply:</pre>	383
(1) The artificial intelligence model or artificial	384
intelligence application in question was verified by a licensed	385
independent verification organization at the time of the	386
<pre>plaintiff's alleged injuries.</pre>	387
(2) The plaintiff's alleged injury arose from a risk that	388
the independent verification organization was licensed to verify	389

and for which the independent verification organization did	390
verify the artificial intelligence model or artificial	391
<pre>intelligence application.</pre>	392
(3) The artificial intelligence model or artificial	393
intelligence application fell within the specified market	394
segment, if any, for which the independent verification	395
organization was licensed to conduct verification.	396
(B) The presumption against liability described in	397
division (A) of this section may be rebutted upon showing, by	398
clear and convincing evidence, both of the following:	399
(1) The defendant did any of the following:	400
(a) Engaged in intentional, willful, or reckless	401
<pre>misconduct;</pre>	402
(b) Induced the independent verification organization to	403
verify based on material misrepresentations or omissions about	404
the artificial intelligence model or artificial intelligence	405
application or the risks such artificial intelligence model or	406
artificial intelligence application poses;	407
(c) Failed to adhere to representations made to the	408
independent verification organization;	409
(d) Failed to satisfy a condition upon which the	410
verification was expressly based;	411
(e) Failed to disclose to the independent verification	412
organization newly identified risks, known shortcomings of	413
existing mitigation measures, material changes to the risk	414
profile of the verified artificial intelligence model or	415
artificial intelligence application, or any other information	416
required to be disclosed to the independent verification	417

organization under the independent verification organization's	418
verification requirements;	419
(f) Failed to implement corrective action prescribed by	420
the independent verification organization to address identified	421
risks.	422
(2) The conduct described in division (B)(1) of this	423
section was a proximate cause of the plaintiff's alleged	424
<u>injuries.</u>	425
Sec. 3755.12. (A) The attorney general shall adopt rules	426
pursuant to Chapter 119. of the Revised Code to implement and	427
administer this section.	428
(B) Rules adopted under division (A) of this section shall	429
do at least all of the following:	430
(1) Establish conflict of interest rules for independent	431
verification organizations, including reporting requirements	432
regarding the independent verification organization's funding	433
sources, revenue generation and self-audit requirements	434
regarding the independent verification organization's board	435
composition, to ensure adequate independence from the artificial	436
<pre>intelligence industry;</pre>	437
(2) Identify any additional elements required for an	438
applicant's independent verification organization's plan to	439
ensure acceptable mitigation of risk from any independent	440
verification organization-verified artificial intelligence	441
models or artificial intelligence applications;	442
(3) Specify the circumstances in which corrective action,	443
including loss of licensure, is mandated, such as the failure to	444
adhere to representations made to the independent verification	445
organization to obtain licensure:	446

H. B. No. 628	Page 17
As Introduced	_

(4) Subject to section 3755.06 of the Revised Code,	447
establish the composition of the artificial intelligence safety	448
advisory council, including the procedure for appointing	449
additional members, and the term length of members;	450
(5) Establish the per diem salary of members of the	451
artificial intelligence safety advisory council;	452
(6) Provide the information, materials, and forms required	453
to apply for an independent verification organization license	454
under section 3755.02 of the Revised Code.	455
(C) The attorney general shall consider input received	456
from stakeholders in adopting rules pursuant to this section.	457