As Introduced

136th General Assembly Regular Session 2025-2026

H. C. R. No. 26

Representatives Mathews, A., Swearingen

A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

Summoning the Honorable Ted N. Berry, Judge of the	1
Hamilton County Municipal Court, to appear before the	2
General Assembly and show cause why he should not be	3
removed from office under Article IV, Section 17 of	4
the Ohio Constitution.	5

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF OHIO (THE SENATE CONCURRING):

WHEREAS, Article IV, Section 17 of the Ohio Constitution	6
provides that the General Assembly, upon the concurrence of two-	7
thirds of the members elected to each house, may adopt a	8
concurrent resolution removing a judge from office; and	9
WHEREAS, Article IV, Section 17 of the Ohio Constitution	10
also provides that such a concurrent resolution may be adopted	11
only upon complaint, the substance of which having been entered	12
upon the journals of the two houses, and only after the judge	13
has had notice of the complaint and been provided with an	14
opportunity to be heard; and	15
WHEREAS, The House of Representatives and the Senate of the	16
136th General Assembly complain as follows under Article IV,	17
Section 17 of the Ohio Constitution against the Honorable Ted N.	18
Berry, Judge of the Hamilton County Municipal Court:	19
1. In response to the assassination of Charlie Kirk on	20

H. C. R. No. 26 As Introduced	Page 2
September 10, 2025, Judge Berry posted a series of public comments on social media, including:	21
"So, a white guy killed him! Color it KARMA!"	23
"Rest in Hatred & Division!"	24
"How's he feel about gun violence & gun control in Hell, now?"	25 26
2. Canon 1 of the Ohio Code of Judicial Conduct states that, "A judge shall uphold and promote the <i>independence</i> , integrity, and impartiality of the judiciary, and shall avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety."	27 28 29 30
3. Rule 1.2 of the Code provides that, "A judge shall act at all times in a manner that promotes public confidence in the independence, integrity, and impartiality of the judiciary, and shall avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety."	31 32 33 34
4. Judge Berry's statements contravene Rule 1.2 and actively erode the very public confidence he is sworn to uphold.	35 36
5. Canon 2 of the Code states that, "A judge shall perform the duties of judicial office <i>impartially</i> , competently, and diligently."	37 38 39
6. Rule 2.3 of the Code prohibits a judge from "manifest[ing] bias or prejudice based upon race."	4 C 4 1
7. Judge Berry's comments, particularly celebrating the killer's race by saying "Color it KARMA!," are a flagrant violation of Rule 2.3.	42 43 44
8. Rule 2.4 of the Code prohibits a judge from allowing personal or political interests to "influence the judge's judicial conduct or judgment."	45 46 47

9. Judge Berry's public display of racial bias, combined

with his politically charged statements on gun control, call

48

49

H. C. R. No. 26
As Introduced

into question his adherence to Rule 2.4. He has demonstrated a	50
clear inability to remain impartial. It is unclear how any	51
person could be confident in fairness before his court.	52
10. Rule 2.11 of the Code requires a judge to "disqualify	53
himself or herself in any proceeding in which the judge's	54
impartiality might reasonably be questioned, including	55
[that] [t]he judge, while a judge or a judicial candidate, has	56
made a public statement, other than in a court proceeding,	57
judicial decision, or opinion, that commits or appears to commit	58
the judge to reach a particular result or rule in a particular	59
way in the proceeding or controversy."	60
11. Judge Berry's comments display a clear "personal bias	61
or prejudice" that would require his disqualification under Rule	62
2.11. His conduct casts a dark shadow of doubt over his	63
impartiality in all future cases that will come before his	64
court.	65
From these facts, the House of Representatives and the	66
Senate find that Judge Berry has violated the Ohio Code of	67
Judicial Conduct, which warrants Judge Berry's removal from	68
office under Article IV, Section 17 of the Ohio Constitution;	69
now therefore be it	70
RESOLVED, That the House of Representatives and the Senate	71
therefore summon Judge Berry to appear before the General	72
Assembly and show cause why he should not be removed from office	73
under Article IV, Section 17 of the Ohio Constitution. Within	74
ten days after being served with this resolution, Judge Berry	75
shall contact the Speaker of the House of Representatives and	76
the President of the Senate to fix the time and place at which	77
he is so to appear; and be it further	78
RESOLVED, That in all proceedings under this resolution,	79
Judge Berry may have the assistance of counsel; and be it	80

H. C. R. No. 26	Page 4
As Introduced	

further	81
RESOLVED, That the Clerk of the House of Representatives	82
and the Clerk of the Senate shall spread this resolution in full	83
upon the pages of the House and Senate Journals; and be it	84
further	85
RESOLVED, That the Sergeant-at-Arms of the House of	86
Representatives shall serve a copy of this resolution personally	87
upon Judge Berry, wherever he may be found. The Sergeant-at-Arms	88
shall certify in writing the fact of this service to the Clerk	89
of the House of Representatives and to the Clerk of the Senate.	90
The Clerk of the House of Representatives and the Clerk of the	91
Senate shall spread the certificate in full upon the pages of	92
the House and Senate Journals; and be it further	93
RESOLVED, That the Clerk of the House of Representatives	94
shall transmit certified copies of this resolution to the	95
Governor, to the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Ohio, and	96
to the Presiding Judge of the Hamilton County Municipal Court.	97