



## **Coalition for Fiscal Fairness in Ohio**

The TPP group that wants to be part of the solution

Tim Pickana ■ 33800 Inwood Road ■ Solon, Ohio 44139 ■ 440-349-6207

---

### **Testimony before the House Finance & Appropriations Committee**

**Tim Pickana, President, Coalition for Fiscal Fairness in Ohio (CFFO)**

**April 16th, 2015**

### **Presentation and discussion related to possible unintended consequences associated with the permanent elimination of TPP reimbursements to schools**

Chairman Smith, Ranking Minority Member Driehaus, and the members of the House Finance & Appropriations Committee, thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony today. My name is Tim Pickana, President of the Coalition for Fiscal Fairness in Ohio (CFFO). I am here to brief the committee on the importance of the Tangible Personal Property (TPP) tax and those Ohio school districts who are heavily reliant and who would be negatively impacted if those funds were permanently eliminated. It is because of this long-standing reliance that we remain highly involved with any tax policy or funding change as there are definite unintended consequences which a certain percentage of Ohio public school districts will be exposed to.

The CFFO is an organization which was created to help address one issue, the Tangible Personal Property phase-out issue facing school districts across the state of Ohio who will be devastated by a permanent and complete phase-out. Our organization prides itself on believing that while we all must be a part of the solution to help address any state financial deficit or funding formula fix; we must not be a part of the problem. This was evident during a past Biennium Budget (HB 153) when our organization worked with members of the Legislature, especially the House in retaining TPP reductions in both fiscal years 2012 and 2013.



## Coalition for Fiscal Fairness in Ohio

The TPP group that wants to be part of the solution

Tim Pickana ■ 33800 Inwood Road ■ Solon, Ohio 44139 ■ 440-349-6207

---

Collectively we recognized that we, along with many entities, must face reduced amounts to help with the state's financial deficit. The members within our CFFO organization realized a 2% operating revenue reduction beginning in fiscal year 2012, and then an additional 2% operating revenue reduction in fiscal year 2013. The previous 2.0% annual reductions made to the statewide 2012 and 2013 TPP reimbursement resulted in some districts losing nearly all of their reimbursement, and on average districts lost 58% of their TPP reimbursement in these cuts. Our organization believes we must do our best to educate and inform our communities, taxpayers (both residential and commercial), and the Legislature of our situation surrounding the issue of making certain we retain our remaining TPP reimbursement revenues. It is our obligation to inform all parties involved that should a permanent and complete TPP phase-out ever be realized by high percentage TPP loss districts, there would be a significant, negative impact on those school districts, the residents, the business, and ultimately the children. It is our goal to make certain all parties involved are aware of the possible unintended consequences surrounding high percentage TPP loss districts should a permanent and complete phase-out plan be implemented. Please know many of the highly reliant TPP districts across Ohio applaud your efforts taken in the Biennium Budget, especially honoring past statutory protection related to TPP reimbursements.

Unintended consequences related to a permanent phase-out are our biggest concern. Mr. Chairman, attached to my testimony for the committee review is an overview of TPP and CAT history, data representing mills needed to replace TPP reimbursement, and TPP reimbursement revenue as it relates to operating revenue prepared by Ernie Strawser and Mike Sobul with Public Finance Resources, Inc., who can speak more to the numbers on a statewide basis. It is important to note that prior to HB 153 over 50% of school districts had less than a 5% reliance on



## Coalition for Fiscal Fairness in Ohio

The TPP group that wants to be part of the solution

Tim Pickana ■ 33800 Inwood Road ■ Solon, Ohio 44139 ■ 440-349-6207

---

TPP as a percentage of their operating revenue. It can definitely be argued that all school districts across the state could make budget adjustments necessary offset a 5% operating revenue loss. However, what about those that would receive greater than a 5% operating revenue reduction through the loss of TPP? What about those that stand to lose over more than one-fourth (25.46%) of their total operating revenue, in addition to the 4% already lost from the enactment of HB 153.

We respectfully ask you to please not make the assumption that all of the high TPP loss districts are rich and will simply have to absorb the loss. As you are aware there is a significant cross-section of districts that will be devastated.

- Many are low per pupil expense districts located in a lower cost of living quadrant of the state. Others may be slightly higher per pupil expense districts located in a significantly higher cost of living quadrant of the state.
- Some receiving TPP reimbursement receive only 5% on the State Share Index (SSI) of per pupil funding and others area as high as 90% of per pupil funding.
- Some school districts are among Ohio's highest performing districts without providing education at the highest cost.
- Some may be low taxing districts, while others are already taxing their districts in a significant manner.
- Some may be realizing a loss of \$0 per pupil with the permanent elimination of TPP, while others will be losing as much as \$3,986 per pupil in their general operating fund.
- If districts attempted to pass an additional levy to replace the lost TPP revenues, some districts would only have to pass a fraction of a mill, while others will have to pass over 26 operating mills.



## Coalition for Fiscal Fairness in Ohio

The TPP group that wants to be part of the solution

Tim Pickana ■ 33800 Inwood Road ■ Solon, Ohio 44139 ■ 440-349-6207

---

When taking into consideration the impact of TPP reductions, many districts will not be able to make enough reductions and/or pass additional millage great enough to maintain the integrity and quality of the education services they are providing to students. There will be “double-edged swords” facing many districts should a permanent and complete phase-out take place. Do they drastically reduce the budget and forever lower the quality of education and services provided to their children? Or, do they pass an additional levy to tax their way out of the TPP loss and place additional tax burdens on their residential and commercial taxpayers? If they do, many businesses will simply relocate or collapse to being “double-taxed” by a higher Commercial Activities Tax (compared to what they were paying in TPP) plus an additional millage tax to replace the local district TPP revenues forever taken away.

In summary, Mr. Chairman and members of the Committee the CFFO firmly believes we must be forthright in crafting a workable solution in order to ensure that the great state of Ohio grows stronger over the years and not weaker. However, the most important suggestion we can offer is we must not assume this is a “one size fits all” problem or solution. As mentioned above, there are many different circumstances and criteria facing many different schools. We must not make permanent decisions now that will result in devastating some school districts, communities, and our taxpayers for decades to come.

Thank you Mr. Chairman and members of the Committee, at this time I would be happy to answer any questions, or if it pleases the committee I would like to bring up Mr. Ernie Strawser to specifically discuss the numbers and we can then jointly address any questions that you or the committee may have.