

December 8, 2020  
Ohio Senate Local Government, Public Safety and Veterans Affairs Committee  
PROPONENT TESTIMONY – SB 315  
David W, Clark Jr.- United Towing Service Inc,  
Cleveland, OH

Good afternoon Chairman Manning, Vice Chair Brenner, Ranking Member Maharath and members of the Senate Local Government, Public Safety and Veterans Affairs Committee. Thank you for the opportunity to address the committee as a proponent of SB 315.

My name is David Clark of United Towing Service in Cleveland, OH. United Towing Service is a small to medium - 24 hour towing service located in downtown Cleveland. United tows for 10 police departments and is committed to providing a timely response and fair treatment to owners of vehicles that have been towed. I have over 40 years of experience in and around the towing industry in the Cleveland area.

My testimony today will illustrate the type of vehicle processed for disposal by law enforcement agencies that are unclaimed at United Towing. Over the last several years, United has been able to get all of the police departments we work for to use the current statute, however, this process can be slow due to all the other demands these police departments have. The data I will present shows the result of the vehicles that have been disposed of properly.

Attached to the testimony is a spreadsheet of actual abandoned vehicles from United Towing for a 3.5 month period beginning in late August of 2019 and ending in early December of 2019. In 2019, United towed 2,129 vehicles through the order of law enforcement. 1,495 or 70% of those vehicles were claimed. 284 or 13% of those vehicles were disposed of by law enforcement and 350 or 17% remain unclaimed in storage. These percentages remain consistent throughout my 40+ years in the towing business. Another fact that remains consistent for the industry is that 85% of vehicles that remain unclaimed after 5 days are not retrieved by the owner or lienholder. Further, we know that only 1% of vehicles are retrieved after 30 days in storage, another fact that has remained consistent throughout my career in the industry.

I would like to draw your attention to the spreadsheet. In the 3.5 month period I mentioned above, 98 vehicles were processed for disposal. The data about these vehicles for your review is as follows:

- Police Department, Year, Make, Model, Reason For Tow, Tow Date, Junked Date, Days in Storage, Tow Fee per Vehicle, Labor Due, Storage Due, OH Sales Tax Due, Total Due Day Junked, Junk Price (recovered), Amount Due Less Junked Price (loss), and number of owners and/or lienholders.

As an example, please draw your attention to vehicle #53, highlighted in light blue. A picture of the vehicle is included as well. A financial decision was made by the owner not to retrieve this vehicle by paying for towing and storage due. As you heard in prior testimony, there is no law, rule or regulation that requires either the owner or lienholder to pay the tower what is due – or to

facilitate its disposal even when they do not want to retrieve the vehicle. There is no consequence to either the owner or the lienholder of the vehicle.

The final line of the spreadsheet (highlighted) illustrates some facts and the financial result to my company when the vehicles are actually processed by law enforcement.

- United did **not** collect \$17, 448 in tow fees, \$650 in additional labor costs, or the \$224,064 in storage due.
- The State of Ohio did **not** receive \$19,316.08 in sales tax due for these vehicles.
- The total lost revenue was \$228,142.00.
- In total, for the services we provided to the police departments, we recovered \$14,020 – an average of \$143 dollars per vehicle when scrapped.
- The average stay of a disposed vehicle in storage was 134 days.
- The average age of the vehicle is 16+ years old.
- I have attached photos of the vehicles, where it is indicated, as well for your review.

Also, please keep in mind that United has to perform an additional tow to the scrap facility, not accounted for in these numbers. Abandoned vehicles that result from police towing are always a major loss and a significant financial burden for towing companies with a direct impact to the bottom line.

The point I want to stress regarding this data is that it only represents vehicles that are actually processed by law enforcement. Unless law enforcement processes a vehicle, towing companies have no recourse for proper disposal and must store the vehicle indefinitely, as is the case for thousands of vehicles across the state. The towers must continue to pay all of the costs associated with indefinite storage and will recover nothing for their services.

SB 315 will benefit both law enforcement and towing companies with the creation of an alternative method for the disposal of unclaimed police order vehicles into storage. Towing companies will remain directly accountable to the law enforcement entities that employ their services to dispose of the vehicles properly with a more efficient disposal process.

Thank you for your attention to this matter and I would be happy to answer any questions.