

Chairman Lipps, Vice Chair Holmes, Ranking Member Russo, and Members of the House Health Committee, thank you for the opportunity to provide proponent testimony on House Bill 248.

My name is Kimberly Martin. I am a Registered Nurse of 36 years. The reason I support House Bill 248 is because the choice as to whether one should inject or consume a pharmaceutical product is up to the individual. Pharmaceutical products provide massive income to pharmaceutical companies who are not liable for the negative effects of vaccines due to the 1986 National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act. **If vaccines are effective, why should those who are unvaccinated pose any threat to the vaccinated? Please pass this legislation to allow people to choose whether they will be vaccinated and prevent unvaccinated people from being treated as 2nd class citizens.**

Re: COVID, there are effective treatments for this condition and more than 99% of those who contract COVID recover. I know several people who have fully recovered after being diagnosed with COVID. However, I have numerous concerns about the safety of the COVID Vaccines. Fear has been used to manipulate people regarding COVID. The COVID Vaccines were rushed to market, animal trials were skipped, and hence humans are the guinea pigs in this case. These vaccines are experimental. There have been many deaths and serious side effects of the COVID vaccines reported on the VAERS system (voluntary adverse effects reporting system). This system is estimated to only reflect a small number of the actual adverse effects since underreporting is one of the main limitations of passive surveillance systems, including VAERS. Furthermore, I personally know 2 people who have immediate family members who suffered devastating disability soon after receiving the COVID injections. One is bedridden with severe back pain (without other viable explanations) that commenced the day following the COVID vaccine. The other incurred a stroke within 2 days of receiving a COVID shot. I have read many reports of people dying or being significantly negatively impacted after getting COVID vaccines. I have listened to multiple health care professionals and reputable professionals warn of potential harm from the COVID shots. Many of these voices have been censored from mainstream media and social media. Meanwhile our Ohio Governor is using taxpayer funds in the millions to entice Ohioans to get the **experimental COVID Vaccine. If it is so good, why is enticing anyone needed and why at taxpayer's expense to boot? Furthermore how is the governor accessing private health information re: vaccine status to enter Ohioans in these drawings? Finally, why are vaccines being encouraged in those who have already had COVID when natural immunity is always better than acquired immunity obtained through vaccines?**

Freedom of choice is a founding principle in our Republic, and there can be no more fundamental and utterly critical freedom of choice than that which directly affects one's very own body. As legislators, I hope the 4th Amendment to the Constitution comes to mind in this instance which states in part "The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be

violated....." I strongly request that you remember your oath to uphold the constitution and to protect its citizens from enemies both foreign and domestic regarding this matter.

*Moreover, following the Nuremberg trials in Germany after World War II, the fundamental human right referenced above was resoundingly underscored in what later became the legally adopted Nuremberg Code (by Allied countries, including the US). The informed consent principle was defined as a human right at the Doctors Trial at Nuremberg in 1947. [The Nuremberg Code was, in fact, written by two Americans in 1947. It was designed to totally prevent and forever avoid forced medicine in any form, including vaccines in response to horrific experiments and forced vaccination performed on unwilling subjects during World War II in concentration camps]. **The first principle in the Nuremberg Code is as follows: "The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential. This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, over-reaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved, as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision."** ["Trials of War Criminals before the Nuremberg Military Tribunals under Control Council Law No. 10", Vol. 2, pp. 181-182. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1949.] The following well referenced, highly eloquent and relevant section was written by Barbara Loe Fisher of the National Vaccine Information Center: **Informed Consent means you have the right to be fully informed about the benefits and risks of a medical intervention and the freedom to make a voluntary decision about whether or not to accept those risks without being coerced or punished for the decision you make. Informed consent applies not just to risks taken by participants in scientific experiments, but also to risks taken by patients under the care of physicians.***

The Public Sector, (Government), The Private Sector (Corporations/Businesses), Big Tech, and Big Pharma should not be dictating or coercing people to get vaccinated. This choice belongs to the individual. HB 248 ensures that vaccine choice will be protected in Ohio and that individuals will not be punished should they refuse vaccines. Private health information will also remain private and protected under this legislation.

Thank you once again for this opportunity to provide testimony on the need for and urgency of passing House Bill 248.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Martin RN