

**Subject** hb 248  
**From** Clay Wolph  
<cwwolph@yahoo.com>  
**To:** Ohioamf Info  
<info@ohioamf.org>  
**Date** May 19 at 8:18 PM

my testimony sent:

I think both sides can agree that if you or a loved one becomes ill and visits a doctor, they may be given a treatment recommendation that may seem overly aggressive and leads to a second opinion. The first opinion doesn't always correlate with risk/benefits for that particular patient. Not all doctors agree. For example, if someone is diagnosed with stage zero breast cancer, one person may choose radical mastectomy while another chooses radiation, chemotherapy, lumpectomy or they may take a hands-off, "wait and watch" approach or choose alternative remedies.

We all have bodily autonomy to choose the treatment we are comfortable with, knowing there are risks and benefits for all treatments. Mandates do not belong in medicine. Each person deserves full informed consent for themselves and their children. We may not agree with someone else's decision, but we live in a free country. Our decisions always affect those around us, and we must accept that as a part of life.

If many people are fearful of other's decisions; then that is a sign that the CDC

has failed in providing guidance on standard of care, prevention, therapeutic medications, and home therapies that

could reduce risks by 85%. We need more front line Doctors and Naturopathic Doctors involved with the CDC. We need more input on how therapeutic medications should have been utilized instead of prohibited at the risk of losing their license.

We hear politicians claim that there is "overwhelming evidence" that the vaccines work, yet that proof cannot exist this early. All viruses follow a bell shaped curve (Farr's Law) and since the majority of people have been exposed after 18 months, we now likely have natural herd immunity. We are below 30/100,000 confirmed cases in Ohio for 7 days. Many people are still getting Covid after the shot and many have died from adverse reactions. Those are facts according to VAERS on the CDC website, not speculation. The Governor and others have no right to make claims about the shots being safe and effective if there are known risks involved. The absolute risk reduction (ARR) is only around 1-2%. Why are we pushing this on children and low-risk people (who may already also have natural immunity), if we know it has this low efficacy rate outside of the elderly population?

HB 248 is the tipping point for many people. They have sat back quietly for over a year, but will refuse to comply with medical mandates. We do not need any more division in this country. We must preserve our freedom to choose medical procedures and weigh our individual risks/benefits with full informed consent.

We cannot allow politicians to give medical advice, especially when it is unsubstantiated during this experimental period. Businesses do not want to police personal medical choices, nor should they have to be put in that position. It is time to

stop the division and move toward prevention and treatments that are proven to help decrease deaths. We must invest more time in antibody and t-cell testing instead of inaccurate PCR tests. Without this bill, people are terrified of the discrimination, limitations and tracking that will result at places of business, workplace settings and schools/universities. This will cause further political divisions within our communities. We must protect our freedom of bodily autonomy in order to remain a free country.

Lora Wolph, DC

Lora Wolph, DC

NOTICE OF CONFIDENTIALITY: This e-mail is intended only for the person(s) to which it is addressed, and contains confidential and/or privileged material. If the reader of this e-mail is not an addressee, or the person responsible for delivering this e-mail to an addressee, then use, copying, or disclosure of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you receive this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete this e-mail from your system.