

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.


Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Richard Bernier



6606 Rd 10, Leipsic, OH 45856

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Ken Kuchman
201 W main St
Miller City OH, 45864

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,



14142 Rd D
Rt 2 Leipsic OH

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

LeRoy N. Brown
Box 44
Miller City, Ohio 45864

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

William S. Quigley
3196 ST RT 198
Leipsic, OH 45856

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:


I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

 Van Buren Trustee
3/18/21

6727
RD D
Leipsic, Ohio
45856

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,



2374 Rd 6
Leipsic, Ohio
45856

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Deinise Kuhlman
6360 Road 10
Leipsic, Oh 45856

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:


I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,


108 W. Main Cross PO Box 96
Miller City, OH 45864

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,



Jaymie, OH

6444 RI-6

45856

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:


I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,



17352 SR15

CONTINENTAL, OH. 45831

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Peggy E. Kuhleman
6360 Rd 10
Lepine, Ohio 45856

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,



7793 Rd 10

OTTAWA Ohio

43875

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Harold A. Lammers

7364 Rd H Leipsville, Ohio 45856

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,



5084 Rd 15-c
Continental, Ohio
45831

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Amey Sealts
340 Mahawk Dr.
Ottawa, OH 45875

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Ronald G Miller

113 Sunset Dr.
Ottawa, OH. 45876

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,


PRINCIPAL
200 N. MAIN ST.
MILLER CITY - NEW CLEVELAND LSD

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,



Kerry D. Johnson

Superintendent

Miller City-New Cleveland Local School

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,



9433 Rd. F6
Leipsic, Ohio 45856

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Dustin Reut
Elementary Principal
Miller City-New Cleveland Local School District

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Joe Nise
1766 Rd 11-A
Leipsic Ohio 45856

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Ben Hoops

1642 Rd 14-A

Leipsic, OH 45856

Ben

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:


I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,


Michelle Kruse
7872 St Rt 109
Ottawa, Oh 45875

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:


I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,


Gina M. Schnipke
14329 Rd. D
Leipsic, OH
45836

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

 Stanley D. Owen

1267 Rd. I

Heipsic, OH 45856

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Rich Morrison
Box 193
Randora, OH. 45877

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Marlene Lahey
135 Pleasant Dr.
Ottawa OH 45875

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Keri Scott
340 Mohawk Rd
Ottawa, ON K1S 8S5
416-890-9086

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Sealts
340 Mohawk Dr.
Ottawa OH. 45875

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Sonya Bener

6606 Rd 10

Leipsiz, OH 45856

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Garry Kleiman
11156 Rd. E
Leipsic, Ohio 45856

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Mark Kuhlman

9500 Rd F-9 Leipsie Ohio 43856

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,



9500 Rd F-9

Leipsic, Ohio

45856

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,



9500 Rd F-9

Leipsic, Ohio

45856

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,



9500 Rd E-9

Leipsic, Ohio

45856

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,



11548 St Rt 613

Ottawa, Ohio

45875

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,



11503 RdE11

Ottawa, Ohio

45875

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,



11503 Rd E 11

Ottawa, Ohio

45875

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,



11548 St R + 613

Ottawa, Ohio

45875

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:


I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,



11503 Rd E11

Ottawa Ohio

45875

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:


I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,



9681 Twp Rd C

Ottawa, Ohio

45875

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,



4903 Rd. 11
Loipsic OH 45856

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

William S. Tuttle

108 1st St Miller CAV, OH, 45869

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Ken Bunn
111 Hickory St
Miller City OH 45864

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Michael Chase

4903 Rd. 11

Copps 0400 45856

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:


I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,


Ed Rb 16c
CONTINENTAL, OH 45834

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,



4648 Rd 12

Leipsiz OL 45856

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Scott Ellsworth
12629 Rd. C
Leipsic, OH 44020
419856

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:


I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,


17049 Rd 6
Gont, netal, 0445831

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:


I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,


Brandon Barlage

11773 Road E
Leipsic, OH 45856

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:


I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,


355d Rd 17
Continental, Ohio
45831

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,



Box 97

Miller City Ohio
45864

Larry Hermiller

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Jacob Kuhlman

9500 Rd. F-9

Leipsic, OH 45856

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Roger H. Muse
15476 Rd B
Leipsic, Ohio 45856

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Joseph A Schmoeder
Liberty Twp Trustee
7101 Rd F-6
Leipsic OH
45856

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Darryl E. Kahlman
Liberty Township Trustee
6360 Road Leipsic, Ohio
45836

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:


I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,



4633 Rd 13C

Leipsic, OH 45856

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Dennis Kerkhof

3850 Rd 18

Continental, OH 45831

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Kent D Miller
Palmer Township Trustee

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:


I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,


395 W. North St.
McClure, OH 43534

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,



203 N Miller St
Miller City, OH 45864

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Pat Veedoff

202 N. Miller St.

Box 32

Miller City OH 45864

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,



535 N. PRATT ST

OTTAWA

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Row Brown
Box 1
Miller City, Oh
45864

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Ann E. Luebke

16106 SR 15

Continental, Ohio 45831

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Norman J. Liebert
14106 St. Rt. 15
Continental, Ohio 45831

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Steven M Liebrecht

Stan M Liebrecht

7804 Rd 16-C

Continental, Ohio 45831

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Delois Palte
13256 Rd G

Ottawa, Ohio 45875

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Richard A. Palmer

2249 RD. 16

Conitwital, Ohio 45991

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Brenda Nease
535 N PRATT ST
Ottawa, Ohio 45875

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:


I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,



6391 St. Rt 109

Leipsic, Ohio

45856

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Diana Kuhlman

11649 Rd E-11

Ottawa, Ohio

45875

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

McKenzie Kuhlman

11649 Rd E-11

Ottawa, Ohio

45875

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:


I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,



11649 Rd E-11

Ottawa, Ohio

45875

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,



16544 RH-13

Continental, Ohio

45831

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,



15735 Rd E
Continental, Ohio
45831

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

KENNETH W. NIESE
Kenneth W Niese
5824 SR 108
OTTAWA, O. 45875

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Maney J. Dabmeyer

2249 Rd. 16

Contiested, Oh

45831

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

William R. Inkratt
4494 Rd 9-D
Leprie, Ohio, 45856

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Linda Melia

6795 Rd 13

Ottawa, Oh 45875

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Donald F. Falter
13256 Rd G
Ottawa, Ohio

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:


I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,


15479 Road E
Continental, OH 45821

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Kathleen M. Maening

14427 Rd M

Ottawa, Ohio 45875

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Gay Moering

14427 Rd m

OTTAWA Ohio 45875

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Lee F. Petersen

11687 Rd 13

Offenburg, OH 45875

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Randy L. Lewis", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Lee Ellerbrock
5313 Road 13
Ottawa OH 45875

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Dianna Feeken
11587 QCB
Ottawa, ON 422 75

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,



8810 RD 8H

Ottawa, OH 45875

March 15, 2021

Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee Members
House Public Utilities Committee Members

Dear Chair Peterson, Chair Hoops and committee members:

I am writing to share with you my support for the Powell Creek solar farm in Putnam County and also that I strongly oppose SB 52/ HB 118 as it threatens the Powell Creek project and other projects like it that might be built in the future. This is very concerning to me because the project is going to generate \$1.2 million in local government revenue, which will support the county, two school districts and a number of other local services.

Perhaps even more important, the project is helping to fund a new sanitary sewer for Miller City. The Village has had limits on new home construction within city limits for more than two decades because it lacked this critical infrastructure upgrade. This limit on growth has not only impacted families' ability to move to Miller City, but it also limits business and economic growth. The sanitary sewer project is so important to our community, that everyone is pitching in to make it happen—Village of Miller City, Liberty and Palmer Townships, project land owners, two school districts, Avangrid Renewables and the County are all sharing a portion of their Powell Creek solar project revenue to help fund the sewer upgrade.

During the debate over SB 52 /HB 118, we have heard all sorts of accusations that large solar projects like Powell Creek don't benefit local communities and that the current regulatory framework doesn't allow for local input. This has not been our experience. We are thrilled with the local benefits we have negotiated with Avangrid Renewables. Also, we are pleased with how Avangrid has implemented local feedback into the project. After the OPSB held the public meeting for the project this summer, Avangrid integrated community feedback regarding a portion of land referred to as "block 33." A handful of homeowners were concerned about the impact of having solar panels on block 33. Avangrid listened to these concerns and decided not to put solar panels on block 33. They also increased the setback in another area to address other local concerns. We are grateful they have incorporated our feedback and feel this demonstrates that the current process works. We don't need a new, complicated process that diverts local problem solving to the ballot box like the process proposed in SB 52/HB 118.

Thank you very much for your time and attention. Please let us know if you have questions or would like more information.

Sincerely,

Marge Reese
5824 St. Rt 108
Hawa, Oh. 45875