

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Andy Warner

7366 County Road 247

Clyde 43410

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. What I choose to do with my property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

April Bickel

Republic

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Arnold Depinet

2640 N Township Road 165

Tiffin 44883

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. What I choose to do with my property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Barb Gowitkza

2620 Touby Road

Mansfield 44903

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Becky Wihelm

15410 E County Road 16

Tiffin 44883

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Ben Stechschulte

1873 Lowell Avenue

Lima 45805

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Bev Brause

5940 State Route 100

Bucyrus 44820

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Bill Chatlain

975 State Route 295

Bucyrus 44820

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Bill Stephenson

Galion

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

I have had the privilege to farm beside my son and now grandson's, we have always done what we wanted with the land in regards to planting crops and building necessary structures. It would be a shame if we could not continue to farm as we have for so many years. Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Bob Reidenbach

2308 State Route 60

Loudonville 44842

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Brad Alexander

331 N Wiley Street

Crestline 44827

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I'm proud of our area's heritage. But, as you know, it's a tough time for agriculture- although I am not a farmer, I have ties to the farming community. Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118 take away landowners' right to do what they want with their land such as losing their ability to farm the wind.

Some legislators have said this is about local control. It isn't. It's about letting the mob tell farmers what they can and cannot do on their own land. Farming families work hard and pay much more than I, as a city resident, do in taxes. There is not a proposed wind farm close to where I reside, but I DO support wind energy and would welcome a project to our area. This could only potentially be made possible though if this bill is NOT passed.

I know you have a tough and thankless job and I thank you for your service. I know you have the best interest of Ohio at heart. I'm here to tell you that allowing farmers to harvest the wind is good for farmers, farm communities, and our entire state.

Respectfully,

Brad Williams

Loveland

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. I see several ways the State of Ohio and the towns within a proposed wind/solar farm will benefit from this project moving forward.

Using a proposed wind farm within Ohio here are some rough numbers, from this proposed project alone, the local town will see an influx of \$36 million to their local schools. This money can be used to offer new programs, improve facilities, and allow additional opportunities for students within that school district to learn. What are wind opponents offering to these students if the permit for this wind project is withheld? Why should the education of our children suffer because of the political anti-wind beliefs of a threatening minority of residents?

Aside from the direct benefit our schools will receive, an additional \$18 million will be provided to the county and township to improve other local facilities.

It would be a shame for this great state of ours to miss out on this wonderful opportunity for our county, schools, students, workers, and emergency responders who risk their life our residents.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Brennan McKean

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. I see several ways the State of Ohio and the towns within a proposed wind/solar farm will benefit from this project moving forward.

Using a proposed wind farm within Ohio here are some rough numbers, from this proposed project alone, the local town will see an influx of \$36 million to their local schools. This money can be used to offer new programs, improve facilities, and allow additional opportunities for students within that school district to learn. What are wind opponents offering to these students if the permit for this wind project is withheld? Why should the education of our children suffer because of the political anti-wind beliefs of a threatening minority of residents?

Aside from the direct benefit our schools will receive, an additional \$18 million will be provided to the county and township to improve other local facilities.

It would be a shame for this great state of ours to miss out on this wonderful opportunity for our county, schools, students, workers, and emergency responders who risk their life our residents.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Bret Cleveland

5061 County Road 44

Green Springs 44836

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Bruce Taylor

207 S Columbus Street

Galion 44833

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. I'm proud of our area's heritage. But, as you know, it's a tough time for agriculture- although I am not a farmer, I have ties to the farming community. Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118 take away landowners' right to do what they want with their land such as losing their ability to farm the wind.

Some legislators have said this is about local control. It isn't. It's about letting the mob tell farmers what they can and cannot do on their own land. Farming families work hard and pay much more than I, as a city resident, do in taxes. There is not a proposed wind farm close to where I reside, but I DO support wind energy and would welcome a project to our area. This could only potentially be made possible though if this bill is NOT passed.

I know you have a tough and thankless job and I thank you for your service. I know you have the best interest of Ohio at heart. I'm here to tell you that allowing farmers to harvest the wind is good for farmers, farm communities, and our entire state.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Caleb Snyder

28 Zent Avenue

Fredricktown 43019

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. I'm proud of our area's heritage. But, as you know, it's a tough time for agriculture- although I am not a farmer, I have ties to the farming community. Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118 take away landowners' right to do what they want with their land such as losing their ability to farm the wind.

Some legislators have said this is about local control. It isn't. It's about letting the mob tell farmers what they can and cannot do on their own land. Farming families work hard and pay much more than I, as a city resident, do in taxes. There is not a proposed wind farm close to where I reside, but I DO support wind energy and would welcome a project to our area. This could only potentially be made possible though if this bill is NOT passed.

I know you have a tough and thankless job and I thank you for your service. I know you have the best interest of Ohio at heart. I'm here to tell you that allowing farmers to harvest the wind is good for farmers, farm communities, and our entire state.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Chad Myers

412 Buckeye Street

Ashland 44805

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Charlie Heyob

3860 Cain Run Road

Batavia 45103

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

CJ Havens

6931 Goldengate Drive Apt. 205

Cincinnati 45208

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. What I choose to do with my property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Clint Carmony

4285 Heyl Road

Wooster 44691

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. What I choose to do with my property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Collin Dille

18260 Ledgeside Drive

Strongsville 44136

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. I'm proud of our area's heritage. But, as you know, it's a tough time for agriculture- although I am not a farmer, I have ties to the farming community. Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118 take away landowners' right to do what they want with their land such as losing their ability to farm the wind.

Some legislators have said this is about local control. It isn't. It's about letting the mob tell farmers what they can and cannot do on their own land. Farming families work hard and pay much more than I, as a city resident, do in taxes. There is not a proposed wind farm close to where I reside, but I DO support wind energy and would welcome a project to our area. This could only potentially be made possible though if this bill is NOT passed.

I know you have a tough and thankless job and I thank you for your service. I know you have the best interest of Ohio at heart. I'm here to tell you that allowing farmers to harvest the wind is good for farmers, farm communities, and our entire state.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Constance Sporing

560 Courtland Lane

Pickerington 43147

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Cullen O'Toole

3178 Madison Road Apt 5

Cincinnati 45209

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Curtis Green

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. I'm proud of our area's heritage. But, as you know, it's a tough time for agriculture- although I am not a farmer, I have ties to the farming community. Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118 take away landowners' right to do what they want with their land such as losing their ability to farm the wind.

Some legislators have said this is about local control. It isn't. It's about letting the mob tell farmers what they can and cannot do on their own land. Farming families work hard and pay much more than I, as a city resident, do in taxes. There is not a proposed wind farm close to where I reside, but I DO support wind energy and would welcome a project to our area. This could only potentially be made possible though if this bill is NOT passed.

I know you have a tough and thankless job and I thank you for your service. I know you have the best interest of Ohio at heart. I'm here to tell you that allowing farmers to harvest the wind is good for farmers, farm communities, and our entire state.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Dale Lanagan

522 Fishlock Avenue

Findlay 45840

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Some legislators have said this is about local control. It isn't. It's about letting the mob tell farmers what they can and cannot do on their own land. My family and I work hard. All we're asking is for the government to get out of the way and allow us to be productive on our land.

I know you have a tough and thankless job and I thank you for your service. I know you have the best interest of Ohio at heart. I'm here to tell you that allowing farmers to harvest the wind is good for farmers, farm communities, and our entire state.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Dan Frobose

6100 Kemner Road

Pemberville 43450

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Daniel Spurgeon

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. With steady falling grain prices, I need to find ways to diversify my income. This is especially pressing this year with the rain making planting season a disaster and threatening the income of all the farmers in the area. Having a wind turbine on my property would be an excellent opportunity to diversify our income. It would be such a relief to know that my children and grandchildren will be able to maintain this land with the money that would result from the turbines over the next 30 years.

Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118 would completely limit my rights to my land as a property owner. This would put complete control of MY farmland in the hands of my township and other locals and that is absolutely infuriating. If this bill passes, at what point will all of the decisions on how to use my land be made my township? Will a hog barn have to go up to a township referendum? Will a grain bin? I should have control over how my land is best used, not my township.

Other residents would say that I am only in support of this project, as well as wind energy as a whole, because of the money; however, that is not the case at all. The most attractive aspect of this project is that it will ensure the sustainability of my farmland. My land is a valuable resource and I will do everything in my power to make sure it stays in my family's name.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Daniel Swartzmiller

5996 US Hwy 224 W

Willard 44890

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. I'm proud of our area's heritage. But, as you know, it's a tough time for agriculture- although I am not a farmer, I have ties to the farming community. Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118 take away landowners' right to do what they want with their land such as losing their ability to farm the wind.

Some legislators have said this is about local control. It isn't. It's about letting the mob tell farmers what they can and cannot do on their own land. Farming families work hard and pay much more than I, as a city resident, do in taxes. There is not a proposed wind farm close to where I reside, but I DO support wind energy and would welcome a project to our area. This could only potentially be made possible though if this bill is NOT passed.

I know you have a tough and thankless job and I thank you for your service. I know you have the best interest of Ohio at heart. I'm here to tell you that allowing farmers to harvest the wind is good for farmers, farm communities, and our entire state.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Daniel Williams

Westerville

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Davey Hipp

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills.. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. What I choose to do with my property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

David Banks

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. What I choose to do with my property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

David Estep

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

My name is Brooke Stockmeister and I live in rural Crawford County. I'm proud of our area's heritage. But, as you know, it's a tough time for agriculture and Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, by potentially taking away our ability to farm the wind, would make it all that much tougher.

Some legislators have said this is about local control. It isn't. It's about letting the mob tell farmers what they can and cannot do on their own land. My family and I work hard. All we're asking is for the government to get out of the way and allow us to be productive on our land.

I know you have a tough and thankless job and I thank you for your service. I know you have the best interest of Ohio at heart. I'm here to tell you that allowing farmers to harvest the wind is good for farmers, farm communities, and our entire state.

Please stand with us and vote no on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Dean Schmipf

Chatfield

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

Hi, I live within the Emerson Creek Wind Project and I am a strong supporter of the project. My family has lived within the project area for generations and we have worked hard to keep the land within our family.

We have always been able to find ways to bring in money to our farm. A few years ago, we were approached about signing our land into a contract with Apex Clean Energy; we will potentially have a turbine on our property. This is a decision we as a family have made about how we want to use our land.

Last year's planting season was a disaster locally and farmers are struggling to get by, don't kick the farming community while we're down by removing an opportunity for new revenue. Please consider those that you will be financially devastating before deciding on this wind project.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Deb Swartzmiller

8217 S County Road 35

Attica 44807

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Dee Moore

8358 Gallop Drive

Powell 43065

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Dennis Barth

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

Hi, I live within the Honey Creek Wind Project and I am a strong supporter of the project. My family has lived within the project area for generations and we have worked hard to keep the land within our family.

We have always been able to find ways to bring in money to our farm. A few years ago, we were approached about signing our land into a contract with Apex Clean Energy; we will potentially have a turbine on our property. This is a decision we as a family have made about how we want to use our land.

Last year's planting season was a disaster locally and farmers are struggling to get by, don't kick the farming community while we're down by removing an opportunity for new revenue. Please consider those that you will be financially devastating before deciding on this wind project.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Dian Zucker

4270 Orr Rd

New Washington 44854

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Diane Swartzmiller

7542 S Township Road 191

Attica 44807

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. When my family bought property in Seneca County many generations ago I never thought that others would be so concerned with what I do with it. When the opportunity of building wind turbines was brought to my attention, it sparked an interest; I was excited that I could have a guaranteed income every year on the parcels of land that I signed up with Apex Clean Energy. If the state would allow others, that do not own my land, to persuade them that the wind turbines are not necessary, it would completely take that right away from me; I don't feel that those who do not own my land, do not pay my land tax, etc. should be entitled to tell me what I can or cannot do on the property in my name.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Don Miller

359 N State Route 4

Attica 44807

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. I live within the Republic Wind Farm in Seneca County. My property has been in my family for generations and denial of this project would be detrimental to my livelihood due to limiting my ability of a diversified income. By not approving the project it will completely limit my rights as a property owner and would not allow me to have the final say in what happens on the grounds of my great-grandparents land and that is absolutely devastating.

There are many reasons why my family and I support the wind turbines, but the main reason for my letter is to express my absolute detest for how opposition has dismantled Apex Clean Energy's, and my family's, mission to provide additional income to my family farm. I feel this project is a very positive change for our county; not only will the turbines bring in money to our suffering economy, but it will provide jobs to residents, and the list goes on.

I hope you seriously consider my view, from a local resident that will be directly affected by the passing of these bills.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Don Zieber

8327 Township Road 82

Bellevue 44811

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Donna Depinet

2640 N Township Road 165

Tiffin 44883

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. What I choose to do with my property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Donna Mahl

Attica

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I'm proud of our area's heritage. But, as you know, it's a tough time for agriculture- although I am not a farmer, I have ties to the farming community. Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118 take away landowners' right to do what they want with their land such as losing their ability to farm the wind.

Some legislators have said this is about local control. It isn't. It's about letting the mob tell farmers what they can and cannot do on their own land. Farming families work hard and pay much more than I, as a city resident, do in taxes. There is not a proposed wind farm close to where I reside, but I DO support wind energy and would welcome a project to our area. This could only potentially be made possible though if this bill is NOT passed.

I know you have a tough and thankless job and I thank you for your service. I know you have the best interest of Ohio at heart. I'm here to tell you that allowing farmers to harvest the wind is good for farmers, farm communities, and our entire state.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Dustin Hans

886 County Road 2920

Perrysville 44864

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I'm proud of our area's heritage. But, as you know, it's a tough time for agriculture- although I am not a farmer, I have ties to the farming community. Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118 take away landowners' right to do what they want with their land such as losing their ability to farm the wind.

Some legislators have said this is about local control. It isn't. It's about letting the mob tell farmers what they can and cannot do on their own land. Farming families work hard and pay much more than I, as a city resident, do in taxes. There is not a proposed wind farm close to where I reside, but I DO support wind energy and would welcome a project to our area. This could only potentially be made possible though if this bill is NOT passed.

I know you have a tough and thankless job and I thank you for your service. I know you have the best interest of Ohio at heart. I'm here to tell you that allowing farmers to harvest the wind is good for farmers, farm communities, and our entire state.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Dylan Beckman

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Elaine Craven

3586 Mary Lou Lane N

Mansfield 44906

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Elizabeth Light

6931 Goldengate Drive Apt. 205

Cincinnati 45208

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I'm proud of our area's heritage. But, as you know, it's a tough time for agriculture- although I am not a farmer, I have ties to the farming community. Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118 take away landowners' right to do what they want with their land such as losing their ability to farm the wind.

Some legislators have said this is about local control. It isn't. It's about letting the mob tell farmers what they can and cannot do on their own land. Farming families work hard and pay much more than I, as a city resident, do in taxes. There is not a proposed wind farm close to where I reside, but I DO support wind energy and would welcome a project to our area. This could only potentially be made possible though if this bill is NOT passed.

I know you have a tough and thankless job and I thank you for your service. I know you have the best interest of Ohio at heart. I'm here to tell you that allowing farmers to harvest the wind is good for farmers, farm communities, and our entire state.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Elizabeth Morris

2527 Township Road 687

Loudonville 44842

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Elizabeth Seuberling

5076 Jessica Suzanne Drive

Morrow 45152

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Erin Gatto

Akron

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Erin Williams

Loveland

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Some legislators have said this is about local control. It isn't. It's about letting the mob tell farmers what they can and cannot do on their own land. My family and I work hard. All we're asking is for the government to get out of the way and allow us to be productive on our land.

I know you have a tough and thankless job and I thank you for your service. I know you have the best interest of Ohio at heart. I'm here to tell you that allowing farmers to harvest the wind is good for farmers, farm communities, and our entire state.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Gary Black

918 N Henry Street

Crestline 44827

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Some legislators have said this is about local control. It isn't. It's about letting the mob tell farmers what they can and cannot do on their own land. My family and I work hard. All we're asking is for the government to get out of the way and allow us to be productive on our land.

I know you have a tough and thankless job and I thank you for your service. I know you have the best interest of Ohio at heart. I'm here to tell you that allowing farmers to harvest the wind is good for farmers, farm communities, and our entire state.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Gary Paylor

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. What I choose to do with my property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Gary Shirk

Shiloh

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. My family has lived within the Honey Creek project area for generations and we have worked hard to keep the land within our family.

We have always been able to find ways to bring in money to our farm. A few years ago, we were approached about signing our land into a contract with Apex Clean Energy; we will potentially have a turbine on our property. This is a decision we as a family have made about how we want to use our land.

Last year's planting season was a disaster locally and farmers are struggling to get by, don't kick the farming community while we're down by removing an opportunity for new revenue. Please consider those that you will be financially devastating before deciding on this wind project.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Gene Brause

5940 State Route 100

Bucyrus 44820

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Hi, I live within the Emerson Creek Wind Project and I am a strong supporter of the project. My family has lived within the project area for generations and we have worked hard to keep the land within our family.

We have always been able to find ways to bring in money to our farm. A few years ago, we were approached about signing our land into a contract with Apex Clean Energy; we will potentially have a turbine on our property. This is a decision we as a family have made about how we want to use our land.

Last year's planting season was a disaster locally and farmers are struggling to get by, don't kick the farming community while we're down by removing an opportunity for new revenue. Please consider those that you will be financially devastating before deciding on this wind project.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Gerald Mahl

Attica

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. I live within the Emerson Creek Wind Farm in Huron County. My property has been in my family for generations and denial of this project would be detrimental to my livelihood due to limiting my ability of a diversified income. By not approving the project it will completely limit my rights as a property owner and would not allow me to have the final say in what happens on the grounds of my great-grandparents land and that is absolutely devastating.

There are many reasons why my family and I support the wind turbines, but the main reason for my letter is to express my absolute detest for how opposition has dismantled Apex Clean Energy's, and my family's, mission to provide additional income to my family farm. I feel this project is a very positive change for our county; not only will the turbines bring in money to our suffering economy, but it will provide jobs to residents, and the list goes on.

I hope you seriously consider my view, from a local resident that will be directly affected by the passing of this project.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Gertrude Bumb

Willard

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Grant Wattrick

Loveland

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Greg Clark

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. When my family bought property in Crawford County many generations ago I never thought that others would be so concerned with what I do with it. When the opportunity of building wind turbines was brought to my attention, it sparked an interest; I was excited that I could have a guaranteed income every year on the parcels of land that I signed up with Apex Clean Energy. If the state would allow others, that do not own my land, to persuade them that the wind turbines are not necessary, it would completely take that right away from me; I don't feel that those who do not own my land, do not pay my land tax, etc. should be entitled to tell me what I can or cannot do on the property in my name. I hope the people voting on this project consider the opinions of the long-time property owners.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Greg Hartschuh

6348 Parks Road

Sycamore 44882

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The purpose of this letter is to explain my strong support of the proposed wind projects in Seneca County. I own property in Seneca County that would be affected by Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118. This property has been in family for generations and this referendum would be detrimental to my livelihood due to my diversified income. This will completely limit my rights as a property owner and would not allow me to have the final say in what happens on the grounds of my great-grandparents and that is absolutely devastating.

I feel this is a very positive change for our county. Not only will the turbines bring in money to our suffering economy, but it will provide jobs to residents. I hope you take my viewpoint seriously as I m a resident that will be directly affected by the passing of this bill.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Greg Swartzmiller

7542 S Township Road 191

Attica 44807

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Harold Bumb

Willard

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Haylee Black

918 N Henry Street

Crestline 44827

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Ian Richie

1425 Blanchard Avenue

Findlay 45840

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. I'm proud of our area's heritage. But, as you know, it's a tough time for agriculture- although I am not a farmer, I have ties to the farming community. Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118 take away landowners' right to do what they want with their land such as losing their ability to farm the wind.

Some legislators have said this is about local control. It isn't. It's about letting the mob tell farmers what they can and cannot do on their own land. Farming families work hard and pay much more than I, as a city resident, do in taxes. There is not a proposed wind farm close to where I reside, but I DO support wind energy and would welcome a project to our area. This could only potentially be made possible though if this bill is NOT passed.

I know you have a tough and thankless job and I thank you for your service. I know you have the best interest of Ohio at heart. I'm here to tell you that allowing farmers to harvest the wind is good for farmers, farm communities, and our entire state.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Jackie Wasilewski

40470 Stein Road

Shelby 44875

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Jake Alten

3612 Vineyard Haven Drive

Loveland 45140

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Jake Chatlain

975 State Route 297

Bucyrus 44820

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Jake Simonson

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. What I choose to do with my property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Jeff Pell

Upper Sandusky

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Jeremy Bour

9204 W County Road 10

New Riegel

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. What I choose to do with my property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Jesse Chatfield

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. When my family bought property here in Huron County many generations ago I never thought that others would be so concerned with what I do with it. When the opportunity of building wind turbines was brought to my attention, it sparked an interest; I was excited that I could have a guaranteed income every year on the parcels of land that I signed up with Apex Clean Energy. If the state would allow others, that do not own my land, to persuade them that the wind turbines are not necessary, it would completely take that right away from me; I don't feel that those who do not own my land, do not pay my land tax, etc. should be entitled to tell me what I can or cannot do on the property in my name.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Jim Hahler

Willard

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. My family has lived within the Honey Creek project area for generations and we have worked hard to keep the land within our family.

We have always been able to find ways to bring in money to our farm. A few years ago, we were approached about signing our land into a contract with Apex Clean Energy; we will potentially have a turbine on our property. This is a decision we as a family have made about how we want to use our land.

If the Emerson Creek Wind Project is not approved, it would take away another source of income for my family. Last year's planting season was a disaster locally and farmers are struggling to get by, don't kick the farming community while we're down by removing an opportunity for new revenue. Please consider those that you will be financially devastating before deciding on these bills.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Jim Scott

3300 Beck Road

Crestline 44827

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Jim Wattrick

Loveland

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Jocelyn Foote

2847 S Topsail Way

Vermillion 44089

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. My family has lived within the Honey Creek project area for generations and we have worked hard to keep the land within our family.

We have always been able to find ways to bring in money to our farm. A few years ago, we were approached about signing our land into a contract with Apex Clean Energy; we will potentially have a turbine on our property. This is a decision we as a family have made about how we want to use our land.

If the Emerson Creek Wind Project is not approved, it would take away another source of income for my family. Last year's planting season was a disaster locally and farmers are struggling to get by, don't kick the farming community while we're down by removing an opportunity for new revenue. Please consider those that you will be financially devastating before deciding on these bills.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Joe Kingle

New Washington 44854

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. My family has lived within the Honey Creek project area for generations and we have worked hard to keep the land within our family.

We have always been able to find ways to bring in money to our farm. A few years ago, we were approached about signing our land into a contract with Apex Clean Energy; we will potentially have a turbine on our property. This is a decision we as a family have made about how we want to use our land.

If the Emerson Creek Wind Project is not approved, it would take away another source of income for my family. Last year's planting season was a disaster locally and farmers are struggling to get by, don't kick the farming community while we're down by removing an opportunity for new revenue. Please consider those that you will be financially devastating before deciding on these bills.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Joe Schmipf

Chatfield

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. When my family bought property in Seneca County many generations ago I never thought that others would be so concerned with what I do with it. When the opportunity of building wind turbines was brought to my attention, it sparked an interest; I was excited that I could have a guaranteed income every year on the parcels of land that I signed up with Apex Clean Energy. If the state would allow others, that do not own my land, to persuade them that the wind turbines are not necessary, it would completely take that right away from me; I don't feel that those who do not own my land, do not pay my land tax, etc. should be entitled to tell me what I can or cannot do on the property in my name.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

John Holmer

Republic

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

John Williams

Loveland

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Josh Williams

9541 Creekside Drive

Loveland 45140

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. What I choose to do with my property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Joyce Schmipf

Chatfield

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. What I choose to do with my property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Judy Chatlain

975 State Route 296

Bucyrus 44820

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. When my family bought property in Crawford County many generations ago I never thought that others would be so concerned with what I do with it. When the opportunity of building wind turbines was brought to my attention, it sparked an interest; I was excited that I could have a guaranteed income every year on the parcels of land that I signed up with Apex Clean Energy. If the state would allow others, that do not own my land, to persuade them that the wind turbines are not necessary, it would completely take that right away from me; I don't feel that those who do not own my land, do not pay my land tax, etc. should be entitled to tell me what I can or cannot do on the property in my name.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Judy Lust

4181 Beechgrove Road

Bucyrus 44820

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Justin Robinson

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Kade Gowitkza

2620 Touby Road

Mansfield 44903

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Kaitlin Osborn

1405 Prospect Street

Sandusky 44870

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Kassie Mason

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. When my family bought property in Crawford County many generations ago I never thought that others would be so concerned with what I do with it. When the opportunity of building wind turbines was brought to my attention, it sparked an interest; I was excited that I could have a guaranteed income every year on the parcels of land that I signed up with Apex Clean Energy. If the state would allow others, that do not own my land, to persuade them that the wind turbines are not necessary, it would completely take that right away from me; I don't feel that those who do not own my land, do not pay my land tax, etc. should be entitled to tell me what I can or cannot do on the property in my name.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Kathryn Schmipf

Chatfield

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Keith Chapin

2256 US Hwy 250 S

Norwalk 44857

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. My family has lived within the Honey Creek project area for generations and we have worked hard to keep the land within our family.

We have always been able to find ways to bring in money to our farm. A few years ago, we were approached about signing our land into a contract with Apex Clean Energy; we will potentially have a turbine on our property. This is a decision we as a family have made about how we want to use our land.

Last year's planting season was a disaster locally and farmers are struggling to get by, don't kick the farming community while we're down by removing an opportunity for new revenue. Please consider those that you will be financially devastating before deciding on these proposed bills.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Keith Loy

4729 Chatfield Center Road

New Washington 44854

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. I'm proud of our area's heritage. But, as you know, it's a tough time for agriculture- although I am not a farmer, I have ties to the farming community. Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118 take away landowners' right to do what they want with their land such as losing their ability to farm the wind.

Some legislators have said this is about local control. It isn't. It's about letting the mob tell farmers what they can and cannot do on their own land. Farming families work hard and pay much more than I, as a city resident, do in taxes. There is not a proposed wind farm close to where I reside, but I DO support wind energy and would welcome a project to our area. This could only potentially be made possible though if this bill is NOT passed.

I know you have a tough and thankless job and I thank you for your service. I know you have the best interest of Ohio at heart. I'm here to tell you that allowing farmers to harvest the wind is good for farmers, farm communities, and our entire state.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Kelsie Luthy

Westerville

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Kevin Craighead

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Kim Reidenbach

437 Township Road 2402

Loudonville 44842

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. I'm proud of our area's heritage. But, as you know, it's a tough time for agriculture- although I am not a farmer, I have ties to the farming community. Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118 take away landowners' right to do what they want with their land such as losing their ability to farm the wind.

Some legislators have said this is about local control. It isn't. It's about letting the mob tell farmers what they can and cannot do on their own land. Farming families work hard and pay much more than I, as a city resident, do in taxes. There is not a proposed wind farm close to where I reside, but I DO support wind energy and would welcome a project to our area. This could only potentially be made possible though if this bill is NOT passed.

I know you have a tough and thankless job and I thank you for your service. I know you have the best interest of Ohio at heart. I'm here to tell you that allowing farmers to harvest the wind is good for farmers, farm communities, and our entire state.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Kyla Plaughter

822 Park Drive

Wapakoneta 45895

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. My family has lived within the Honey Creek project area for generations and we have worked hard to keep the land within our family.

We have always been able to find ways to bring in money to our farm. A few years ago, we were approached about signing our land into a contract with Apex Clean Energy; we will potentially have a turbine on our property. This is a decision we as a family have made about how we want to use our land.

If the Emerson Creek Wind Project is not approved, it would take away another source of income for my family. Last year's planting season was a disaster locally and farmers are struggling to get by, don't kick the farming community while we're down by removing an opportunity for new revenue.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Kyle Loy

4729 Chatfield Center Road

New Washington 44854

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Kyndall Hellyer

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. What I choose to do with my property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Laura Moore

710 Heltman Avenue

Ashland 44805

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. What I choose to do with my property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Leesa Taylor

207 S Columbus Street

Galion 44833

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. I'm proud of our area's heritage. But, as you know, it's a tough time for agriculture- although I am not a farmer, I have ties to the farming community. Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118 take away landowners' right to do what they want with their land such as losing their ability to farm the wind.

Some legislators have said this is about local control. It isn't. It's about letting the mob tell farmers what they can and cannot do on their own land. Farming families work hard and pay much more than I, as a city resident, do in taxes. There is not a proposed wind farm close to where I reside, but I DO support wind energy and would welcome a project to our area. This could only potentially be made possible though if this bill is NOT passed.

I know you have a tough and thankless job and I thank you for your service. I know you have the best interest of Ohio at heart. I'm here to tell you that allowing farmers to harvest the wind is good for farmers, farm communities, and our entire state.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Lewis Craven

3586 Mary Lou Lane N

Mansfield 44906

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Hi, I live within the Emerson Creek Wind Project and I am a strong supporter of the project. My family has lived within the project area for generations and we have worked hard to keep the land within our family.

We have always been able to find ways to bring in money to our farm. A few years ago, we were approached about signing our land into a contract with Apex Clean Energy; we will potentially have a turbine on our property. This is a decision we as a family have made about how we want to use our land.

If the Emerson Creek Wind Project is not approved, it would take away another source of income for my family. Last year's planting season was a disaster locally and farmers are struggling to get by, don't kick the farming community while we're down by removing an opportunity for new revenue.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Linda Bischoff

Willard

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. I live within the Honey Creek Wind Farm in Seneca County. My property has been in my husband's family for generations and denial of this project would be detrimental to my livelihood due to limiting my family's ability of a diversified income.

There are many reasons why my family and I support the wind turbines, but the main reason for my letter is to express my absolute detest for how opposition has dismantled Apex Clean Energy's, and my family's, mission to provide additional income to my family farm. I feel this project is a very positive change for our county; not only will the turbines bring in money to our suffering economy, but it will provide jobs to residents, and the list goes on.

I hope you seriously consider my view, from a local resident that will be directly affected by the passing of these propose bills.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Linda Kagy

9428 E Township Road 58

Bloomville 44818

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Lindsey Carr

3 Hilltop Drive

Perrysville 44864

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. I'm proud of our area's heritage. But, as you know, it's a tough time for agriculture- although I am not a farmer, I have ties to the farming community. Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118 take away landowners' right to do what they want with their land such as losing their ability to farm the wind.

Some legislators have said this is about local control. It isn't. It's about letting the mob tell farmers what they can and cannot do on their own land. Farming families work hard and pay much more than I, as a city resident, do in taxes. There is not a proposed wind farm close to where I reside, but I DO support wind energy and would welcome a project to our area. This could only potentially be made possible though if this bill is NOT passed.

I know you have a tough and thankless job and I thank you for your service. I know you have the best interest of Ohio at heart. I'm here to tell you that allowing farmers to harvest the wind is good for farmers, farm communities, and our entire state.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Logan Smith

920 Township Road 1754

Ashland 44805

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Lucinda Black

918 N Henry Street

Crestline 44827

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. What I choose to do with my property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Mallory Carpenter

14 Rebecca Street

Tiffin 44883

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Mallory Kahrs

5299 Royal Arch Cascade Drive

Dublin 43016

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Mark Bodey

6113 Deyo Road

Castalia 44824

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Mark Gowitkza

2620 Touby Road

Mansfield 44903

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. I live within the Honey Creek Wind Farm in Seneca County. My property has been in my family for generations and denial of this project would be detrimental to my livelihood due to limiting my ability of a diversified income. By not approving the project it will completely limit my rights as a property owner and would not allow me to have the final say in what happens on the grounds of my great-grandparents land and that is absolutely devastating.

There are many reasons why my family and I support the wind turbines, but the main reason for my letter is to express my absolute detest for how opposition has dismantled Apex Clean Energy's, and my family's, mission to provide additional income to my family farm. I feel this project is a very positive change for our county; not only will the turbines bring in money to our suffering economy, but it will provide jobs to residents, and the list goes on.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Mark Weisenauer

11297 E County Road 58

Bloomville 44818

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. When my family bought property in Seneca County many generations ago I never thought that others would be so concerned with what I do with it. When the opportunity of building wind turbines was brought to my attention, it sparked an interest; I was excited that I could have a guaranteed income every year on the parcels of land that I signed up with Apex Clean Energy. If the state would allow others, that do not own my land, to persuade them that the wind turbines are not necessary, it would completely take that right away from me; I don't feel that those who do not own my land, do not pay my land tax, etc. should be entitled to tell me what I can or cannot do on the property in my name.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Marvey Holmer

Republic

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I'm proud of our area's heritage. But, as you know, it's a tough time for agriculture- although I am not a farmer, I have ties to the farming community. Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118 take away landowners' right to do what they want with their land such as losing their ability to farm the wind.

Some legislators have said this is about local control. It isn't. It's about letting the mob tell farmers what they can and cannot do on their own land. Farming families work hard and pay much more than I, as a city resident, do in taxes. There is not a proposed wind farm close to where I reside, but I DO support wind energy and would welcome a project to our area. This could only potentially be made possible though if this bill is NOT passed.

I know you have a tough and thankless job and I thank you for your service. I know you have the best interest of Ohio at heart. I'm here to tell you that allowing farmers to harvest the wind is good for farmers, farm communities, and our entire state.

Respectfully,

Mary Ludwick

2773 Stiving Road

Mansfield 44903

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Hi, I live within the Emerson Creek Wind Project and I am a strong supporter of the project. My family has lived within the project area for generations and we have worked hard to keep the land within our family.

We have always been able to find ways to bring in money to our farm. A few years ago, we were approached about signing our land into a contract with Apex Clean Energy; we will potentially have a turbine on our property. This is a decision we as a family have made about how we want to use our land. Last year's planting season was a disaster locally and farmers are struggling to get by, don't kick the farming community while we're down by removing an opportunity for new revenue.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Mary Musko

327 Cedar Point Road

Sandusky 44870

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Matt Carr

3 Hilltop Drive

Perrysville 44864

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. I live within the Honey Creek Wind Farm in Crawford County. My property has been in my family for generations and denial of this project would be detrimental to my livelihood due to limiting my ability of a diversified income. By not approving the project it will completely limit my rights as a property owner and would not allow me to have the final say in what happens on the grounds of my great-grandparents land and that is absolutely devastating.

There are many reasons why my family and I support the wind turbines, but the main reason for my letter is to express my absolute detest for how opposition has dismantled Apex Clean Energy's, and my family's, mission to provide additional income to my family farm. I feel this project is a very positive change for our county; not only will the turbines bring in money to our suffering economy, but it will provide jobs to residents, and the list goes on.

I hope you seriously consider my view, from a local resident that will be directly affected by the passing of these bills.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Matthew Lust

4181 Beechgrove Road

Bucyrus 44820

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Max Galster

11821 Glanfalls Ct.

Cincinnati 45246

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Meg Riesenburg

12016 Crossings Dr.

Cincinnati 45246

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. What I choose to do with my property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Merv Wihelm

15410 E County Road 16

Tiffin 44883

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Michael Reidenbach

437 Township Road 2402

Loudonville 44842

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Michaela Dial

3211 Glengyle Ave.

Cincinnati 45208

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. What I choose to do with my property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Mindy Hans

886 County Road 2920

Perrysville 44864

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Morgan Anderson

8206 State Route 28

Frankfort 45628

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Morgan Gnepsi

4100 Westbrook Drive Apt. 607

Cleveland 44144

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Myron Prewitt

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Nan Schumacher

16094 E Township Road 104

Attica 44807

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Nathan Morris

2527 Township Road 687

Loudonville 44842

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Nathan Schaeper

11821 Glanfalls Ct.

Cincinnati 45246

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Nic Gowitkza

2620 Touby Road

Mansfield 44903

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Nick Vuchovich

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Nicole Light

2847 S Topsail Way

Vermillion 44089

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. What I choose to do with my property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion. Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Paul Lust

4181 Beechgrove Road

Bucyrus 44820

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Phillip Bischoff

Willard

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Phillip Tesso Jr.

327 Bauer Avenue

Crestline 44827

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Price Benson

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. When my family bought property in Huron County many generations ago I never thought that others would be so concerned with what I do with it. When the opportunity of building wind turbines was brought to my attention, it sparked an interest; I was excited that I could have a guaranteed income every year on the parcels of land that I signed up with Apex Clean Energy. If the state would allow others, that do not own my land, to persuade them that the wind turbines are not necessary, it would completely take that right away from me; I don't feel that those who do not own my land, do not pay my land tax, etc. should be entitled to tell me what I can or cannot do on the property in my name.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Ralph Hahler

Willard

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Ray Ludwick

2773 Stiving Road

Mansfield 44903

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Richard Williams

Loveland

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. I'm proud of our area's heritage. But, as you know, it's a tough time for agriculture- although I am not a farmer, I have ties to the farming community. Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118 take away landowners' right to do what they want with their land such as losing their ability to farm the wind.

Some legislators have said this is about local control. It isn't. It's about letting the mob tell farmers what they can and cannot do on their own land. Farming families work hard and pay much more than I, as a city resident, do in taxes. There is not a proposed wind farm close to where I reside, but I DO support wind energy and would welcome a project to our area. This could only potentially be made possible though if this bill is NOT passed.

I know you have a tough and thankless job and I thank you for your service. I know you have the best interest of Ohio at heart. I'm here to tell you that allowing farmers to harvest the wind is good for farmers, farm communities, and our entire state.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Robert Gatto

Akron

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. When my family bought property in Huron County many generations ago, I never thought that others would be so concerned with what I do with it. When the opportunity of building wind turbines was brought to my attention, it sparked an interest; I was excited that I could have a guaranteed income every year on the parcels of land that I signed up with Apex Clean Energy. If the state would allow others, that do not own my land, to persuade them that the wind turbines are not necessary, it would completely take that right away from me; I don't feel that those who do not own my land, do not pay my land tax, etc. should be entitled to tell me what I can or cannot do on the property in my name.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Robert Hahler

Willard

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Roger Davis

Cleveland

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. I live within the Republic Wind Farm in Seneca County. My property has been in my family for generations and denial of this project would be detrimental to my livelihood due to limiting my ability of a diversified income. By not approving the project it will completely limit my rights as a property owner and would not allow me to have the final say in what happens on the grounds of my great-grandparents land and that is absolutely devastating.

There are many reasons why my family and I support the wind turbines, but the main reason for my letter is to express my absolute detest for how opposition has dismantled Apex Clean Energy's, and my family's, mission to provide additional income to my family farm. I feel this project is a very positive change for our county; not only will the turbines bring in money to our suffering economy, but it will provide jobs to residents, and the list goes on.

I hope you seriously consider my view, from a local resident that will be directly affected by the passing of these proposed bills.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Roger Walters

6556 N State Route 18

Clyde 43410

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. When my family bought property in Seneca County many generations ago, I never thought that others would be so concerned with what I do with it. When the opportunity of building wind turbines was brought to my attention, it sparked an interest; I was excited that I could have a guaranteed income every year on the parcels of land that I signed up with Apex Clean Energy. If the state would allow others, that do not own my land, to persuade them that the wind turbines are not necessary, it would completely take that right away from me; I don't feel that those who do not own my land, do not pay my land tax, etc. should be entitled to tell me what I can or cannot do on the property in my name.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Ron Kagy

9428 E Township Road 58

Bloomville 44818

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. When my family bought property in Seneca County many generations ago, I never thought that others would be so concerned with what I do with it. When the opportunity of building wind turbines was brought to my attention, it sparked an interest; I was excited that I could have a guaranteed income every year on the parcels of land that I signed up with Apex Clean Energy. If the state would allow others, that do not own my land, to persuade them that the wind turbines are not necessary, it would completely take that right away from me; I don't feel that those who do not own my land, do not pay my land tax, etc. should be entitled to tell me what I can or cannot do on the property in my name.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Ron McGinnis

Bloomville

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. When my family bought property in Seneca County many generations ago, I never thought that others would be so concerned with what I do with it. When the opportunity of building wind turbines was brought to my attention, it sparked an interest; I was excited that I could have a guaranteed income every year on the parcels of land that I signed up with Apex Clean Energy. If the state would allow others, that do not own my land, to persuade them that the wind turbines are not necessary, it would completely take that right away from me; I don't feel that those who do not own my land, do not pay my land tax, etc. should be entitled to tell me what I can or cannot do on the property in my name.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Ron Zucker

4270 Orr Rd

New Washington 44854

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Hi, I live within the Emerson Creek Wind Project and I am a strong supporter of the project. My family has lived within the project area for generations and we have worked hard to keep the land within our family.

We have always been able to find ways to bring in money to our farm. A few years ago, we were approached about signing our land into a contract with Apex Clean Energy; we will potentially have a turbine on our property. This is a decision we as a family have made about how we want to use our land.

If the Emerson Creek Wind Project is not approved, it would take away another source of income for my family. Last year's planting season was a disaster locally and farmers are struggling to get by, don't kick the farming community while we're down by removing an opportunity for new revenue.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Ronald Bischoff

Willard

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Rose Hartschuh

6348 Parks Road

Sycamore 44882

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Ryan Miracle

2847 S Topsail Way

Vermillion 44089

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. I'm proud of our area's heritage. But, as you know, it's a tough time for agriculture- although I am not a farmer, I have ties to the farming community. Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118 take away landowners' right to do what they want with their land such as losing their ability to farm the wind.

Some legislators have said this is about local control. It isn't. It's about letting the mob tell farmers what they can and cannot do on their own land. Farming families work hard and pay much more than I, as a city resident, do in taxes. There is not a proposed wind farm close to where I reside, but I DO support wind energy and would welcome a project to our area. This could only potentially be made possible though if this bill is NOT passed.

I know you have a tough and thankless job and I thank you for your service. I know you have the best interest of Ohio at heart. I'm here to tell you that allowing farmers to harvest the wind is good for farmers, farm communities, and our entire state.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Sage Rozzel

4100 Westbrook Drive Apt. 607

Cleveland 44144

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Scott Beckley

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Scott Chalfin

2301 County Road 22

Rising Sun 43457

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Scott Gilbert

1872 Rock Road

Mansfield 44903

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. I am retired from the Ohio Department of Transportation and own a farm with my family. We live within the Honey Creek Wind Project. Due to my past career, I take the quality of our roads quite seriously. I also know that the state, the county, and the local townships do not have extra funding to improve the roads.

Upon approval, the turbines will allow for our roads to not only be improved but some will be entirely replaced, and all will be left better than they are currently. Funding for roads and other road improvements are something necessary for our community. Apex is guaranteeing us that our roads will be repaired, and improvements will be made.

These improvements would not be possible if the project is derailed from the passing of Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118. This bill would make all efforts and aspects of the wind turbine project come to an absolute halt due to the referendum placing complete control of the wind turbines in the hands of the township. This control should be in the hands of the landowners choosing how to use their land, not the township stripping farmers of our property rights.

I am also concerned that the passing of this bill would take away my family's right to a diversified income. My father is aging, and all his farmland will be passed down to my brother and me. We need to figure out new, innovative ways to bring money in. Overall, the Honey Creek Wind Project is a win for not only my family, but for the residents within the project areas.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Scott Kagy

10332 E Township Road 58

Bloomville 44818

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Seth Gowitkza

2620 Touby Road

Mansfield 44903

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Shelby Hancock

86 Clay Street

Tiffin 44883

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. I'm proud of our area's heritage. But, as you know, it's a tough time for agriculture- although I am not a farmer, I have ties to the farming community. Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118 take away landowners' right to do what they want with their land such as losing their ability to farm the wind.

Some legislators have said this is about local control. It isn't. It's about letting the mob tell farmers what they can and cannot do on their own land. Farming families work hard and pay much more than I, as a city resident, do in taxes. There is not a proposed wind farm close to where I reside, but I DO support wind energy and would welcome a project to our area. This could only potentially be made possible though if this bill is NOT passed.

I know you have a tough and thankless job and I thank you for your service. I know you have the best interest of Ohio at heart. I'm here to tell you that allowing farmers to harvest the wind is good for farmers, farm communities, and our entire state.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Shelby Myers

412 Buckeye Street

Ashland 44805

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills.

Hi, I live within the Emerson Creek Wind Project and I am a strong supporter of the project. My family has lived within the project area for generations and we have worked hard to keep the land within our family.

We have always been able to find ways to bring in money to our farm. A few years ago, we were approached about signing our land into a contract with Apex Clean Energy; we will potentially have a turbine on our property. This is a decision we as a family have made about how we want to use our land.

If the Emerson Creek Wind Project is not approved, it would take away another source of income for my family. Last year's planting season was a disaster locally and farmers are struggling to get by, don't kick the farming community while we're down by removing an opportunity for new revenue. Please consider those that you will be financially devastating before deciding on these proposed bills.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Steve Bischoff

Willard

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Steve Blust

Mansfield

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. My family has lived within the Emerson Creek project area for generations and we have worked hard to keep the land within our family.

We have always been able to find ways to bring in money to our farm. A few years ago, we were approached about signing our land into a contract with Apex Clean Energy; we will potentially have a turbine on our property. This is a decision we as a family have made about how we want to use our land.

If the Emerson Creek Wind Project is not approved, it would take away another source of income for my family. Last year's planting season was a disaster locally and farmers are struggling to get by, don't kick the farming community while we're down by removing an opportunity for new revenue. Please consider those that you will be financially devastating before deciding on these proposed bills.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Sue Hahler

Willard

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Sydney Moore

28 Zent Avenue

Fredricktown 43019

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. I'm proud of our area's heritage. But, as you know, it's a tough time for agriculture- although I am not a farmer, I have ties to the farming community. Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118 take away landowners' right to do what they want with their land such as losing their ability to farm the wind.

Some legislators have said this is about local control. It isn't. It's about letting the mob tell farmers what they can and cannot do on their own land. Farming families work hard and pay much more than I, as a city resident, do in taxes. There is not a proposed wind farm close to where I reside, but I DO support wind energy and would welcome a project to our area. This could only potentially be made possible though if this bill is NOT passed.

I know you have a tough and thankless job and I thank you for your service. I know you have the best interest of Ohio at heart. I'm here to tell you that allowing farmers to harvest the wind is good for farmers, farm communities, and our entire state.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Tailor Nye

300 Hedges Street

Tiffin 44883

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Taylor Young

7135 Oakpost Lane Apt. 87

Cincinnati 45241

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Tayte Lents

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. What I choose to do with my property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Todd Black

918 N Henry Street

Crestline 44827

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Tom Blust

2620 Touby Road

Mansfield 44903

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. What I choose to do with my property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Tom Thompson

1916 Beal Drive

Mansfield 44903

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. What I choose to do with my property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Tracey Carmony

4285 Heyl Road

Wooster 44691

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Trevor Coopersmith

132 Coe Street

Tiffin 44883

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Trevor Nye

300 Hedges Street

Tiffin 44883

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. As a Bloom Township Trustee, I am in full opposition of the proposed bills. In addition to my position, I am also a landowner within the Honey Creek Project Area and I strongly believe that the landowners within my township should have rights over the property they own.

Despite hearing multiple positions opposing the wind turbine initiative, I remain in strong support of the Honey Creek Wind Project. Not only would this project be beneficial to the residents, but it would provide a sustainable improvement to our township.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Troy Kagy

8350 E Township Road 58

Bloomville 44818

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Tyler Bryson

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. What I choose to do with my property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Tyler Hammond

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Tyler Hill

2860 W Elkton Road

Hamilton 45011

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Tyler Worstell

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Victoria Bowman

555 Circular Street

Tiffin 44883

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Zach Chatlain

975 State Route 298

Bucyrus 44820

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Zach Cotsonas

18260 Ledgeside Drive

Strongsville 44136

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both of these bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Adam Chatlain

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

I have had the privilege to farm beside my grandfather and father my whole life, we have always done what we wanted with the land. It would be a shame if we could not continue to farm as we have for so many years.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Adam Reidenbach

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Aiden Carpenter

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Ailea Lee-Wilson

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Albert Behr

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. What I choose to do with my property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Alex Mazur

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. What I choose to do with my property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Alex Taylor

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. What I choose to do with my property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Ali Gilbert

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. What I choose to do with my property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Allen Johnson

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

My husband has had the privilege to farm beside his grandfather and father his whole life, they have always done what they wanted with the land. It would be a shame if they could not continue to farm as have have for so many years.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Allison Reidenbach

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Alyssa Palmer

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Amy Kirby

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Andrew English

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

I have had the privilege to farm beside my grandfather and father my whole life, they have always done what they wanted with the land. It would be a shame if we could not continue to farm as we have for so many years.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Andy Reidenbach

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee

TO: Senator Robert Peterson

March 21, 2021

Dear Senator,

I am writing this letter to express my disappointment and concern with the language of SB 52 and HB 118.

I am a fourth generation farmer whose family has made a living and raised many generations in rural Seneca County. Our family has always supported our community in any way that would make it stronger. As a young boy, I remember my father helping township trustees keep roads open during winter storms with his own farm equipment-never asking for, or accepting compensation. He served on the zoning appeals board for many years and my son continues that tradition. My mother has worked polling booths for many election cycles over the years and also spent eight years as the township clerk helping keep our local government fiscally responsible. As for myself, I learned from the example my parents have set. I spent more than 27 years as a volunteer fireman and first responder, serving as chief and assistant chief. I have helped shape the youth of our community through coaching little league baseball and volunteering as a 4H advisor for over 10 years. These are a few examples of some of the things we do locally, this does not include the State and National boards, committees, and leadership roles we have accepted over the years. We are truly community minded and committed to the sustainability of our neighborhood.

I am extremely concerned with the language of SB 52 and House Bill 118. As it is written today, it will limit growth of renewable energy in Ohio and imposes a restriction on government and keep it from doing the exact thing our legislature was established for -- to provide a GREATER level of management and oversight for projects that meet the GREATER good of society. I would have to ask those who support SB 52/HB 118 if they believe our country would have the GREATEST infrastructure of any country in the world if we had left the placement and funding of a National Transportation System (Federal Highways) up to a local referendum vote? Would we have the GREATEST electric grid in the world if Transmission Line placement were left up to a local referendum vote? Would we have the most accessible cellular communication system without some level of government oversight in design and placement of cell towers? Not if it were left up to a referendum vote. My point is, that if every service of public need were to be left up to a referendum vote, we would have none of these things and we would be an under developed country. Our government has the role of providing structure and processes to manage the development of those things that meet the public good. One of the PUCO's commitments to their mission is stated as "Promoting utility infrastructure investment through appropriate regulatory policies and structures." This statement is exactly what SB 52 and HB 118 looks to

circumvent. SB 52 and HB 118 bypasses the "appropriate regulatory policies and structures" to allow a public referendum vote and stop the resources designed to help solve problems in our rural communities. The same is true for the Ohio Power Sitting Board. Their mission is " to support sound energy policies that provide for the installation of energy capacity and transmission infrastructure for the benefit of the Ohio citizens, promoting the state's economic interests, and protecting the environment and land use." SB 52/HB 118 take all authority to act away from the OPSB's ability to provide for the needs of the residents of the State of Ohio, protect the environment, and allow for a landowner to use their resources as they deem necessary. It is my opinion that SB 52/HB 118 ignores the current process of the Sitting Board and literally goes against the mission of OPSB that has been in place since 1972. The Ohio Revised Code #4906 details the role of the OPSB and defines exactly what the process of governmental oversight, review, and enforcement is, to meet the needs of a community and support their mission.

I am also concerned for the precedent this type of legislation will set. SB 52/HB 118 could very well be the beginning of a community's mission creep to restrict private land use and small business development. This could be the avenue a special interest group would use to limit my families ability to expand or diversify our farm. I believe setting this precedent could obstruct a small business from expanding their operations by hindering the purchase of land or from changing a product line because a community may vote to not allow expanded manufacturing . Maybe they would vote to not allow a production facility to operate three shifts per day. Perhaps a vote to not allow a farmer to harvest his crops during certain hours or on certain days. Again, the point being, this type of legislation likely will lead to many unintended consequences. Precedent has a lot of political value and should be spent wisely.

Thank you for allowing me to share my opinions and concerns.

Please remove SB 52 and HB 118 from consideration. There is no value in creating legislation that addresses issues currently handled by the Ohio Revised Code and implemented by State Agencies that are working for the good of all of Ohio.

Gary Baldosser

Gary Baldosser

Baldosser Farms Inc

5393 N TWP RD 78

Green Springs Ohio 44836

March 21, 2021

Senator Bob Peterson, Chair

Energy and Public Utilities Committee

The Ohio Senate

1 Capitol Square

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Peterson,

I am writing to you today regarding Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118, I am in opposition of both bills. The proposed legislation is in direct violation of my property owner's rights in Ohio. Enactment of this proposal creates a slippery slope for landowner's in terms of future potentiation for unconstitutional rights encroachment. Precedent establishment in this type of legislative action can have far-reaching and long-lasting negative consequences. What people chose to do with their property is not fodder for my neighbor's opinion.

My husband has had the privilege to farm beside his grandfather and father his whole life, they have always done what they wanted with the land. It would be a shame if they could not continue to farm as have have for so many years.

Please stand with me and vote NO on Senate Bill 52 and House Bill 118.

Respectfully,

Kari Reidenbach

cc: Members of the Ohio Senate Energy and Public Utilities Committee