

## An Article V Convention Made Easy

Those pushing for an Article V Convention promise that nothing can come out of a Convention *except* proposed Amendments to our existing Constitution, & that the Amendments will rein in the fed gov't.

But Robert P. George, a member of Mark Meckler's "Convention of States" Legal Advisory Board, <sup>1</sup> has already co-authored a [NEW Constitution](#) which grants massive powers to a new fed gov't & imposes gun control with red flag confiscations!



"That's not a concern", you say, "because the phrase, 'a Convention for proposing Amendments', which appears within Article V, restricts Delegates to 'proposing Amendments', right"?

**Not so! Our Declaration of Independence recognizes that a People have the "self-evident Right" "to alter or to abolish" their gov't & set up a new one.** <sup>2</sup> And in *Federalist No. 40*, James Madison, a Delegate to the federal "amendments" Convention of 1787, invoked the Declaration of Independence as justification for the Delegates' ignoring their instructions to propose Amendments to the Articles of Confederation & writing a new Constitution which created a new Form of Government.<sup>3</sup>

And the new Constitution had an easier mode of ratification: it would be ratified when only 9 of the 13 States approved it; whereas amendments to the Articles of Confederation had to be approved by the Continental Congress & all of the 13 States. <sup>4</sup> Today, ratification of a new Constitution could be by popular vote, as in the proposed [Constitution for the Newstates of America](#) (Art. 12): those who *control the voting machines will determine the outcome*.

**Now you see the real agenda behind the push for an Article V convention:** It provides the opportunity (under [the pretext of seeking amendments](#)) to replace our existing Constitution with a new Constitution which moves us into a new system of gov't such as in the gun-grabbing Constitution co-authored by Robert P. George. And since it will have its own mode of ratification, it will be approved.

It's a hollow promise that  $\frac{3}{4}$  of the States have to ratify whatever comes out of a convention.

---

<sup>1</sup> Robert P. George is also [a Member of the Council on Foreign Relations](#). [What could possibly go wrong?](#)

<sup>2</sup> The Declaration of Independence is part of [the "Organic Law"](#) of our Land.

<sup>3</sup> In [Federalist No. 40](#) (15<sup>th</sup> para), Madison says the Delegates knew that reform such as was set forth in the new Constitution was necessary for our peace & prosperity. They knew that sometimes great & momentous changes in established gov'ts are necessary & a rigid adherence to the old gov't takes away the "transcendent and precious right" of a people to "abolish or alter their governments as to them shall seem most likely to effect their safety and happiness," ... **"and it is therefore essential that such changes be instituted by some INFORMAL AND UNAUTHORIZED PROPOSITIONS, made by some patriotic and respectable citizen or number of citizens..."** [caps are Madison's]

<sup>4</sup> ART. 13 of [the Articles of Confederation](#).