

Louis W. Blessing III

State Senator
8th Senate District
1 Capitol Square
Columbus, Ohio 43215
(614) 466-8068



Committees

Ways & Means, *Chairman*
Primary & Secondary Education,
Vice Chair
Finance
Transportation

Chairman Manning, Vice Chair McColley, Ranking Member Thomas, and Members of the Senate Judiciary Committee, thank you for the opportunity to present sponsor testimony on Senate Bill 56. This bill is intended to clarify the indemnity provisions in contracts entered into by professional consulting engineers.

Engineers and architects are licensed by the state of Ohio and the practice of these professions, like others, is regulated by the state. Because of the special status conferred on these professions, common law in Ohio and every other state makes those who practice this profession liable for any damages they cause to others if they practice negligently.

There is no ambiguity in this regard. If you, as a licensed professional, harm another by engaging in negligent practice, you are liable. This is why nearly all engineers and architects (referred to collectively in the ORC as “design professionals”) carry professional liability insurance. Ohio law also requires that engineers and architects who seek to design public works must carry professional liability insurance (ORC 153.70).

Despite the fact that public agencies in Ohio are insured against any damages caused by the malpractice of engineers or architects who design their public works projects, some state and local agencies force design professionals to sign contracts that saddle them with liability far in excess of what common law and fairness requires.

This unfair risk shifting generally manifests itself in public agency contract clauses relating to “indemnification.” The term indemnification essentially means to stand in the shoes of someone else, or to assume the risk that otherwise would rightfully belong to another.

Typically, these unfair indemnification clauses require the design professional to indemnify and defend the public agency, “against any and all claims connected with the performance of services under this agreement...”

The problem with such a “broad-form” indemnification requirement is that it effectively makes the engineer or architect liable for the cost of any claim related to a project he or she designed, regardless of whether he or she was to blame for the problem that led to the claim.

If the design professional has accepted such an indemnification requirement, he or she is faced with the bizarre scenario of having to retain an attorney to mount a defense on the agency’s behalf, even before it has been established that he or she has any legal liability for the claim.

To make matters worse, professional liability insurance only covers claims caused by the negligent conduct (malpractice) on the part of the engineer or architect. The unfortunate result of agreeing to such an indemnification clause is that the engineer or architect is financially exposed personally for the cost of claims that were not of his or her making.

The fundamental purpose of this bill is fairness. Right now, design professionals are being asked to defend public entities against third party claims before there is a determination that the design professional has committed error. The costs of such defense can be staggering and are beyond the control of the design professional. Just like the presumption of innocence, a design professional should not be presumed responsible for a cost without determination of wrongdoing.

Moreover, this bill is entirely necessary in order to prevent the use of overbroad indemnity clauses to end-run our hard won tort reform statutes that created a statute of repose. Under today’s law, an engineer or architect is not liable in tort for negligence for more than ten years after completion of the public improvement. We made this decision- and it has been upheld by the Ohio Supreme Court- to make clear that injuries occurring later than that are due to defective maintenance, not defective design.

However, when local governments use overbroad indemnity clauses, they resurrect the architect-engineer's liability beyond the ten year statute of repose as a matter of contract law, thus frustrating the public policy of our state.

To date, eleven states have enacted statutes that permit public agencies to include language in their contracts that requires design professionals to indemnify the agency for damages attributable to the negligence, reckless or intentional wrongful conduct by the design professional. These same states specifically prohibit agencies from requiring that design professionals indemnify public agencies for claims that are not attributable to negligent reckless or intentional wrongful conduct on the part of the design professional. This is what SB 56 is intended to do in the ORC.

I would like to note that the bill before you today is slightly different from HB 159 that I sponsored last year. HB 159, which was approved by the House last December by a vote of 80-3, contained several changes that the American Council of Engineering Companies Ohio (ACEC Ohio – the bill's primary proponent) negotiated with the Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT), the Ohio Facilities Construction Commission (OFCC), the Ohio Turnpike & Infrastructure Commission (OTIC), and the Ohio Municipal League (OML). The agreed to changes addressed four primary concerns of these public sector organizations: 1) language was added to clarify that the bill does not preclude a public authority from suing a designer for breach of contract; 2) language was added to include indemnity for damages or loss relating to infringement of intellectual property; 3) language was added to include reasonable attorney's fees, costs and expenses as part of any "liabilities" arising under an indemnity obligation to the public authority or indemnified party; and 4) other language changes designed to make the intent of the bill clearer. With these changes, ODOT, OFCC, OTIC, and the OML removed their concerns with this legislation.

In summary, design professionals are required by common law to bear responsibility for damages caused by their own professional negligence. They carry professional liability insurance that will pay injured parties for precisely such damages. Moreover, in Ohio,

public agencies have the authority to determine how much coverage must be carried by engineers and architects seeking to enter into agency contracts.

However, design professionals, as a matter of basic fairness, should not be asked to contractually indemnify and/or defend another party for losses that the designer did not cause, cannot insure against, and were caused by factors beyond the designer's control.

Thank you for your consideration of SB 56. I am happy to answer any questions from the committee.