



Zach Klein

CITY ATTORNEY

CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE ■ COLUMBUS OHIO

**Written testimony in Support of SB 171 before House Criminal Justice
Committee**

December 11, 2018

Chairman Manning, Vice Chairman Lanese, and fellow Committee Members:

My name is Anne Murray and I am an assistant city prosecutor with Columbus City Attorney's Office. I am currently the Director of the Domestic Violence & Stalking Unit and have been a specialized domestic violence prosecutor for over twenty years. My office prosecutes hundreds of violations of protection orders each year, wherein courts have found evidence of sufficient harm to order a defendant/respondent to stay away, but the harassment continues.

Violating Protection Orders is a Sign of Potential Lethality and Deserves Enhancing

- a. **Lethality Factor** We know through the work of Drs. Jacqueline Campbell and T.K. Logan and others that violating court orders such as protection orders is a sign of potential lethality. Individuals who violate court orders thwart not only the protected parties but erode the authority of the court and are emboldened by their defiance. This research shows that all violations of protection orders, even "technical" ones, if not addressed swiftly with sure consequences, can pose significant threat to victims and the community. Events in Kirkersville brought that into stark relief. This law would codify the fact that those who have already been convicted of violating court orders and other threatening behavior pose greater risk and should therefore be subject to higher penalties.

- b. **Expansion of Felony Violations Similar to Domestic Violence Charges**
The level of proposed increase in enhancement is reasonable, given potential lethality, and similar to enhancements in existing domestic violence law. A charge of domestic violence under ORC 2919.25, is generally a 1st degree misdemeanor but becomes a 4th degree felony where the defendant has a prior conviction, and a 3rd degree felony where the defendant has two or more. Senate Bill 171 would increase potential penalties for a defendant with prior convictions for violations of protection orders similarly.

Full Faith and Credit

In addition to protection orders from Ohio, SB171 would render valid protection orders of other states enforceable. This provision honors the Full Faith and Credit provisions of the Violence Against Women Act of 1994 (18 W.S.C. § 2265-66), which provides that valid orders of protection (both criminal and civil) must be enforced for the

Civil Division
77 N. Front Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
614-645-7385
Fax 614-645-6949

Claims Division
77 N. Front Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
614-645-7385
Fax 614-724-6503

Prosecutor Division
375 S. High Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
614-645-7483
Fax 614-645-8902

Real Estate Division
77 N. Front Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
614-645-7712
Fax 614-645-3913

Police Legal Advisor
120 Marconi Blvd.
Columbus, Ohio 43215
614-645-4530
Fax 614-645-4551

protected parties wherever a violation occurs nationwide, regardless of where the order was issued. It makes sense to enforce out of state protection orders at the same level as our Ohio protection orders.

Stalkers Who Violate Protection Orders are Lethal

Another proposed change SB 171 proposes is to allow enhancement of a violation of protection order if a defendant has been convicted of menacing by stalking, regardless of the target of that stalking. Enhancing Stalking convictions should not take more than one prior conviction and should not be limited to the same partner. In my experience, stalking convictions are rare and difficult to achieve due to the fact that the crime requires proof of a series of acts. In most cases, stalking behavior is charged piecemeal, perhaps as an assault, telecommunications harassment, or violation of protection order, instead of taken together as a stalking.

Current law requires two convictions with the same victim. Like those who violate court orders, stalking is a sign of potential lethality. Dr. Logan's work with protection orders shows that stalkers in particular are most likely to violate, as well as to harm their victims when violating. Just because a stalker has moved on to a new victim does not make them less dangerous. Rather, they may be honing their skills on a new target.

Senate Bill 171 does not address the number of protection orders granted or the procedure for how they are granted. Rather, the provisions in this bill are triggered only after a court has found a defendant has been previously convicted of violating a court order, or stalking, or threatening behavior that render the current violation of a court order that much more dangerous.

Under Senate Bill 171, the prosecution still must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the offender committed the violation the protection order as charged. This law would raise the level of subsequent violations in recognition of the danger such behavior poses to all of us.

In conclusion, I strongly urge this Committee to consider these matters when reviewing the proposed amendments proposed by SB 171.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,



Anne M. Murray

Director, Domestic Violence and Stalking Unit/

Assistant City Attorney

Columbus City Attorney Zach Klein's Office

375 S. High St., 17th Floor

Columbus, OH 43215

ph. (614)645-0314

ammurray@columbus.gov