Testimony of Anthony DiBiase In Opposition to House Bill 559 Submitted to Ohio House Health Committee May 23, 2018

Chairman Huffman, Vice Chair Gavarone, Ranking Member Antonio and members of the Health Committee. I thank you for allowing me to provide opponent testimony on House Bill 559.

My name is Anthony DiBiase. I am a retired Professional Engineer. I have been studying vaccines and related information for over 18 years after having a grandson who was vaccine-injured. My studies included information from a variety of medical books and publications, including videos from medical conferences and government sources, like the FDA, CDC and the NIH.

I am opposed to this bill because:

- 1. It violates the fundamental civil and medical rights of individuals.
- 2. It is a further encroachment of big government on the people's lives.
- 3. It violates U.S. Constitution and the Ohio Constitution which all of you swore to uphold.

4. This legislative body does not heed the voices and experiences of many Ohio constituents but consistently listen to the voices of the embedded influential lobbyists.

This bill is the third attempt in the last two years by the Ohio legislative process to place another individual in the pathway and process for another person to exercise the rights guaranteed by the Constitutions. Ohio legislators have previously been provided notice of those violations in the two previous attempts. I am astonished as to how the Ohio Legislative Service Commission still continues to ignore that information and did not "red flag" the proposed HB559 legislation put forth in Ohio.

When it comes to vaccines, most in the medical profession simply regurgitate the sanitized version given to them during their medical careers which are heavily influenced from the embedded medical industrial complex. An example of the embedded medical industrial complex is the report of the ethics quandary at the Harvard Medical School (https://www.nytimes.com/2009/03/03/business/03medschool.html).

Based upon firsthand experience with my family members who are in the medical field, there are very few who fully understand the complex field of vaccination and immunization. In fact, many in the medical field use those words interchangeably. Keep in mind, just because a person has been vaccinated for a pathogen entering the body does not mean that person is immunized against that pathogen. Experience shows those who have been vaccinated still contract the illness. Many in the medical field have a very limited knowledge regarding vaccines, vaccine injuries and federal laws regarding them, as well as how interwoven the medical industry is with vaccine manufacturers and government agencies and working groups within the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

This legislation is also written with what I call "weasel words." It attempts to emphasize that a parent or guardian can still submit a statement regarding the declination of the immunization, even while the rights of the parent or guardian are being violated by the insertion of another

individual into the process of the parent or guardian exercising those rights. Then the words in the write-up by the Ohio Legislative Service Commission states "that the signature does not constitute a determination that a child is exempt from the immunization required for school entry or child care enrollment." This appears to be double-talk.

Passing HB559 reinforces the future use of agency's Ohio Administrative Codes to subvert the legislative process and silences the voices of Ohio constituents. There is no oversight or voice given to Ohio constituents of how, when, where and what other conditions which may be included in the proposed standard form. Future Ohio Administrative Codes could easily result in what California has experienced by the passage of California SB277, which held their children's public education hostage and completely stripped away philosophical and religious exemptions.

Based upon what I heard during proponent testimonies including questions and answers, you need to know the following:

Contrary to the mantra that "vaccines are safe," the U.S. Supreme Court ruled in 2011, *Bruesewitz v.Wyeth LLC*, 131 S. Ct. 1068, 179 L.Ed.2d 1 (2011), that vaccines are "unavoidably unsafe."

Also, the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program (NVICP) (*which shields vaccine manufacturers from product liability*) was created in the mid-1980s and has paid over \$3.8 billion due to vaccine injuries. The NVICP is funded by a 75-cents excise tax on each vaccine sold. The federal statute indemnifies the entire vaccine industry from civil liability and violates our Ohio Constitution by dismantling each Ohioan's guaranteed right to an open jury trial in the State of Ohio.

A Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) is a passive reporting system created and co-managed by the CDC and the FDA to gather information on vaccine safety issues. Many in the medical field are not well versed on vaccine issues, reactions and VAERS reporting and as a result severe vaccine reactions and injuries are not always reported to the system.

Attached is an abbreviated vaccine cell line list (including aborted fetal cell line products) for various vaccines which aligns with the vaccine excipient and media summary from the CDC. This information further supports the violation of conscience by those who choose not to vaccinate.

Vaccines are considered biologics and do not go through the rigorous long-term double blind inert-placebo-controlled trials as is done for pharmaceutical drugs.

On October 12, 2017 the Informed Consent Action Network (ICAN) sent a comprehensive and well documented letter to HHS. The letter was signed onto by over 55 organizations, representing over 5 million members, requesting confirmation of certain obligations regarding vaccine safety under the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986. An HHS response has not been received to date.

Thank you for allowing me to submit testimony. If you have questions on my testimony, I will answer them.