<u>Senate Bill 261</u> Remediating Ohio's Medical Marijuana Control Program

By James "Ted" Bibart

## **Introduction**

- James "Ted" Bibart Ohio Licensed Attorney with the law firm of Gordon Bibart, LLC
- Participated deeply with both Caucuses and both Chambers in the drafting of Ohio HB 523 in 2016
  - Was asked to testify before the Medical Marijuana Task Force, twice before the House, and once before the Senate in 2016
- Was appointed by the Ohio Speaker of the House as the original Patient Advocate to Ohio's Medical Marijuana Advisory Committee
- Have served as legal counsel for numerous Ohio Level I and Level II cultivators, processors, and dispensaries and consulted in commercial cannabis jurisdictions across the country
- My wife is a stand-alone medical cannabis processor in Maryland

### House Bill 523

- A brave step forward for sensible cannabis policy in Ohio
- Expedited legislative and policy construction
  - First Medical Marijuana Task Force meeting held on January 28, 2016
  - ▶ HB 523 passed on May 25, 2016
- Much of the policy punted to the regulations in the Administrative Code

- House Bill 523 Shortcomings
  - Three Separate Regulators
  - Restrictive Qualifying Condition list failed to fully respect the doctor-patient relationship
  - Lack of access to biomass for Stand-alone processors
  - ► Too few dispensaries
  - No mandate for a merit-based licensure process
  - Over-bearing limitation on commercial speech
  - Unconstitutional minority inclusion provision

### House Bill 523 - Shortcomings

Failed attempt at medical marijuana patient reciprocity

- Limited access for employment by those with experience in the traditional commercial cannabis industry
- Lacked statutory authority for cultivators to source genetics
- Set too low a limit on cannabinoid levels for medical marijuana extract products

#### Senate Bill 261 - Remediations

- SB 261 simply fixes what is broken with HB 523
- Centralizes regulation of the MMCP under the Department of Commerce
  - Creates the Division of Marijuana Control
  - Physician participation still regulated by the Ohio State Medical Board
- Respects the doctor-patient relationship
- Adds more patient access by authorizing more dispensaries
- Mandates an impartial merit-based licensure process
- Sets cultivation area allotments by statute rather than rule

- Senate Bill 261 Remediations
  - Ensures access to quality biomass for stand-alone processors
  - Ensures viability of Level II cultivators by increasing their economy of scale
  - Protects Level I market investment by allowing for greater future expansion
  - Increases patient education by eliminating unnecessary restrictions on commercial speech
  - Provides for the proper constitutional mechanisms for Ohio to fulfill its promise of minority inclusion in licensure

### Senate Bill 261 - Remediations

- Rectifies the unsuccessful attempt to provide medical marijuana patients access to medicine when traveling to Ohio
- Officially approves cultivators access to genetics
- Provides pathways to employment and licensure for those who have served their sentence for past crimes
- Increases cannabinoid limit for extracted products to ensuring undisturbed cannabinoid profiles and higher quality medicine

# **Closing**

- SB 261 is not about expanding the MMCP but rather remediating it
- We cannot continue to institute medical cannabis policy that results in higher quality and less expensive product on the illicit market
- We need to ensure proper regulation and the health of the program through common sense free market principles
- Cannabis policy is best instituted by legislative process which is more reflexive - SB 261 is the embodiment of that truth
- Ohio medical marijuana patients deserve a highly functioning program that respects their relationship with their physician and provides access to the highest quality, tested and tracked medicine at a fair price
- Thank you for your time and I am happy to answer any questions.