Chairman Lipps, Vice Chair Holmes, Ranking Member Russo, and Members of the House Health Committee, thank you for the opportunity to provide proponent testimony on House Bill 248.

My name is Ashley Supe. I am a wife, and mother of 2 beautiful, healthy daughters. I support House Bill 248 (HB 248). I support this bill because I support freedom. I support a person's right to choose their medical treatments, and the medical treatments for their children. If vaccines are effective, why should those who are unvaccinated pose any threat to the vaccinated? Please pass this legislation to allow people to choose whether they will be vaccinated and prevent unvaccinated people from being medically segregated and discriminated against.

As it pertains to COVID, there are effective treatments for this condition and more than 99% of those who contract COVID recover. I know several people who have fully recovered after being diagnosed with COVID. However, I personally know of 7 people in my immediate circle of contacts that have had serious responses to the Covid vaccine, 2 of which, unfortunately, died of blood clots.

In my opinion, mainstream media has perpetuated fear and hysteria while completely disregarding the statistics. The average comorbidities of COVID deaths is FOUR. Instead of teaching the public the risk of obesity, diabetes and heart disease, to prevent the cytokine storm from happening, they are trying to push a vaccine that does not wholly protect the individual. Our bodies are designed with a state-of-the-art immune system, and when the body is treated correctly, it operates efficiently, and our immune system fights off disease more effectively than the COVID vaccine does.

I am of the opinion, that allowing employers, commerce, etc to mandate vaccinations and require proof of vaccination, will irreparably damage the state of Ohio and will drive employees to states that support freedom and our American rights. My entire family will seek refuge in states where our medical freedoms are protected should this bill not be successful.

I also want to emphasize that I am pro-vaccine. I have gone to great lengths to research vaccines, their ingredients and their side effects and have made informed decisions on which vaccines my daughters received. As a proponent of full vetted, peer reviewed science, I am vehemently against me and my family participating in a MANDATED vaccine trial. We are not a high risk population, and should we become infected we will certainly be responsible and isolate as recommended.

Furthermore, it is my educated opinion that if this pandemic was so bad (in the populations experience) leaders would not have to incentivize the vaccine as it has. Additionally, mainstream media would not feel inclined to 'fact-check' every COVID idea or theory that is being posted about on social media platforms. IF, the pandemic was so deadly and impacted so many people, people would be knocking down the doors to get vaccinated.

Per a friend's statement: "Moreover, following the Nuremberg trials in Germany after World War II, the fundamental human right referenced above was resoundingly underscored in what later became the legally adopted Nuremberg Code (by Allied countries, including the US). The informed consent principle was defined as a human right at the Doctors Trial at Nuremberg in 1947. [The Nuremberg Code was, in fact, written by two Americans in 1947. It was designed to totally prevent and forever avoid forced medicine in any form, including vaccines- in response to horrific experiments and forced vaccination performed on unwilling subjects during World War II in concentration camps]. The first principle in the Nuremberg Code is as follows: "The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential. This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, over-reaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved, as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision." ["Trials of War Criminals before the Nuremberg Military Tribunals under Control Council LawNo. 10", Vol. 2, pp. 181-182. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1949.] The following well referenced, highly eloquent and relevant section was written by Barbara Loe Fisher of the National Vaccine Information Center: Informed Consent means you have the right to be fully informed about the benefits and risks of a medical intervention and the freedom to make a voluntary decision about whether or not to accept those risks without being coerced or punished for the decision you make. Informed consent applies not just to risks taken by participants in scientific experiments, but also to risks taken by patients under the care of physicians."

Thank you for the opportunity to provide written testimony in support of passing House Bill 248 to secure Ohioans Medical Freedoms.

Sincerely,

Ashley Supe