

JENNIFER BINDUS

Licensed Ohio Educator

EMPLOYMENT

Aurora City School District 2000-present

> Ravenna School District 1996-2000

EDUCATION

Master of Education Educational Leadership University of Cincinnati

Master of Education Evaluation & Measurement Kent State University

Master of Education Curriculum & Instruction Ursuline College

> Master of Education Gifted Education Kent State University

Bachelor of Science Elementary Education University of Akron

LICENSURE & ENDORSEMENTS

Ohio 1-8 Teacher License PK-6 Professional Principal License

> Teacher Leader Endorsement K-12 Gifted Endorsement K-12 Reading Endorsement

Former Ohio Master Teacher & National Board Certified Teacher

Chair Manning, Vice Chair Bird, Ranking Member Robinson, and members of the House Primary and Secondary Education Committee, thank you for the opportunity to testify as a proponent of House Bill 497, the legislation to reform Ohio's Third Grade Reading Guarantee. My name is Jennifer Bindus and I am honored to stand before you in my greatest roles: wife, mom, classroom teacher, and a champion for all children.

I've spent most of my twenty-seven years in the classroom with third graders; twenty-four of those years to be exact. I've had the privilege of calling over 1,350 students, "my kids". During the months of August to May, there is no place I'd rather be than in Room 5 at Leighton Elementary School in Aurora, Ohio. You might say that it's my home away from home and those who know me well, know I'd not have it any other way.

In my school, teachers are content area specialists. We team up and share responsibilities for two classes of students. For my team, I teach reading and writing while my team teacher is the math, science and social studies expert. This allows me to focus solely on literacy instruction. We provide authentic, engaging experiences for our learners at Leighton Elementary and I truly get the opportunity to refine my craft all day; every day.

About ten years ago, my grade level team heard rumblings of a new piece of legislation centered around a reading guarantee. We couldn't move past one word: retention. From its inception, we knew this part of the legislation would never be good for kids. Especially kids who had never taken a high-stakes, state-level test.

Under the last ten years of the Guarantee, many positive outcomes have developed. Reading practices have tightened up in school districts. We now have early screenings for our youngest learners, multi-tiered and multi-layered interventions, extended support for students deemed not on track and substantial requirements for teachers of reading.

Under the last ten years of the Guarantee, I've also seen what are most likely unintended consequences that lawmakers did not see coming as they put this legislation in place.

Test prep. In the great push to get students to pass the test, an abundance of test prep occurred across school districts. This took away from authentic, literacy-rich instruction and created a disconnect from meaningful work such as having students read real books.

JENNIFER BINDUS Licensed Ohio Educator

The Great Scramble. This is what I've personally named that time when fall test results arrive, usually in late December. After reflecting on student scores, teachers start identifying students whose learning paths need to abruptly change. These are the students who, as of that moment, will not pass 3rd grade.

Test, retest and then test some more. When students don't meet the cut score, they have multiple attempts to pass the test. They can even take an alternate test as permitted by the Ohio Department of Education. This leads to lost instructional time while preparing for and taking these tests.

Exemptions. Students can be exempt from the retention clause of the Guarantee if they are formally identified with a reading disability. The problem here is the possible over-identification of reading disabilities to excuse struggling readers from retention. This is concerning for many reasons including the misinformation it communicates to students, families, teachers and schools as well as the burden it places on our already-taxed special education departments.

These seemingly unintended consequences were likely caused by the retention clause of the Third Grade Reading Guarantee. I thank the sponsors of House Bill 497 for recognizing that change is needed. I am also here to assure you that Ohio's teachers can provide differentiated reading instruction; deliver early, targeted and multi-layered interventions, and stay accountable to all stakeholders without the threat of student retention.

Let me speak briefly about student retention. Retention is stressful, traumatic, punitive, shameful and embarrassing. Retention, based on a single measure, is bad practice. Retention, without a team consensus, is bad practice. Retention gaps exist along racial and social lines. Retained students often receive more of the same instruction when really, they might just need something different. I have to ask, "Why are lawmakers imposing a practice that does demonstrable harm to Ohio's children?"

If the retention clause is removed from the Guarantee, lawmakers and educators can still "guarantee" that our third graders move to fourth grade as proficient readers.

Lawmakers can help school districts obtain resources. Time, teacher training, professional development, literacy coaching, early literacy development, intervention supports and extended school year opportunities are all examples of resources that would be welcomed by teachers such as myself. Lawmakers can trust teachers to regularly assess and progress monitor in house. This way, teachers receive immediate, timely results. This allows for responsive teaching and instant intervention. Lawmakers can encourage districts to use yearly standardized assessments as tools for improvement, not punishment for teachers or students.

Let me close by reaffirming that Ohio's teachers can provide differentiated reading instruction, deliver targeted interventions, and stay accountable to all stakeholders without the threat of student retention. Instead of making teachers do "The Great Scramble," let us focus on what matters: authentic literacy instruction and early, targeted and multi-layered interventions coupled with frequent in-house monitoring of student progress.

Thank you, Chair Manning, Vice Chair Bird, Ranking Member Robinson, and members of the House Primary and Secondary Education Committee, for allowing me to pour my "teacher heart" out to you today in support of House Bill 497. My door to Room 5 is always open if you'd like to join some of my favorite kids in doing your reading for the day. I'd be happy to answer any questions you may have.