HB62 SAPA is designed to protect lawful gun owners from Federal gun control laws that may pass during anti-gun administrations.

- Specifically, it simply says that the State of Ohio, nor any of its political subdivisions nor any of its officials, can use any of its resources to aid federal government in the enforcement of its gun control laws. o It further stipulates that the only gun control laws that will apply to Ohioans are ones that Ohioans codify in state statute.
- SAPA emboldens constitutional state office holders and officials to do their duty to protect the people's Right to Keep and Bear Arms.
- The Anti-Commandeering Doctrine has been upheld in the U.S. Supreme Court on many instances:

instances:

- 1842, Prigg v. Pennsylvania, Justice Joseph Story held that the federal government could not force states to implement or carry out the Fugitive Slave Act of 1793.
- o 1992, New York v. United States, Sandra Day O'Connor wrote that "Congress may not simply commandeer" the legislative processes of the States." Ohio Gun Owners A Gun Voter Mobilization Organization 3195 Dayton-Xenia Road, #900-306 Beavercreek, OH 45434
- o 1997, Printz v United States, Supreme Court agreed with Sheriffs Mack and Printz that, as state level officials, they could not be forced to participate in the Brady Gun Bill.
- o 2012, NFIB v. Sebelius, SCOTUS cited the anti-commandeering doctrine to not only affirm he states' right to refuse to expand Medicare, but also to prohibit federal retribution through withholding federal funds.
- o 2018, Murphy v. NCAA, SCOTUS held that Congress can not take any action that "dictates what a state legislature may and may not do," even when the state action conflicts with federal law. Justice Alito wrote, "a more direct affront to state sovereignty is not easy to imagine.
- SAPA has teeth that protect gun owners, unlike recent "sanctuary county resolutions," which do not rely on "government to protect you from government." It doesn't require a county prosecutor or attorney general to do their job before rights are protected.
- Is not anti-law enforcement. It protects law enforcement officials who want to uphold their oath of office and constitutional duty, and provides them legal cover to say "NO" should their superiors give them an order that violates the Constitution.
- Will not affect or empower people to buy machine guns or most firearms prohibited by federal law.
 - o Most firearms prohibited by federal law are already illegal under Ohio law.
- SAPA is massively supported by grassroots gun owners, especially considering impending gun-control from the Biden Regime's takeover of Washington DC.

Thank you for your time Respectfully Lawrence E Harding