

WORKFORCE AND HIGHER EDUCATION COMMITTEE

Witness Form

				Today's Date	05/15/2023
Name:	Chris W. Pos	t			
Address	: 4911 Lindfo	ord Ave NE Canton	OH 447	05	
Telepho	ne: 330.705.7	7259			
		nting:			
Testifyir	ng on Bill Numl	per: HB 83			
Тє	estimony:	Verbal	_X	_ Written	Both
Тє	estifying As:	Proponent	X	_ Opponent	Interested Party
Are you	a Registered I	_obbyist?	Yes	X No	
Special	Requests:				

Testimony of Chris W. Post, Ph.D. Before the House Higher Education Committee Representative Tom Young, Chair

May 15, 2023

Chair Young, Ranking Member Miller, and Members of the House Higher Education Committee: My name is Chris Post, and I am a professor of Geography at Kent State University at Stark, where I have taught for 15 years. I do not represent Kent State University, but rather am submitting testimony as a private citizen in opposition to House Bill 151.

While I am not sure what has precipitated this most recent attack on higher education in Ohio, I am certain it will negatively impact our ability to retain our state public universities' best faculty and high school students, leading to "brain drain" and a negative impact on our educated workforce. As faculty, we know our jobs best and have trained for these positions for many years, perhaps even beyond a decade. We know the material. We do not preach nor editorialize. We present facts and data and teach our students how to analyze that information. More specifically,

The revised bill is an existential threat to the collective bargaining rights of faculty:

- It would rob faculty unions of the right to strike.
- It would eliminate the right to collectively bargain important terms and conditions of faculty employment including: annual performance review of full-time faculty (which would now be mandatory for all full-time faculty), tenure, post tenure review (which would now be required in certain circumstances), and retrenchment.
- It would render completely moot the Retrenchment Article of the TT CBA.
- It would render completely moot much if not all of the language regarding performance reviews in Article X of the FTNTT CBA.
- The inclusion of the new Sec. 3345.455 (lines 1163-1173) into the ORC creates the framework for excluding more and more aspects of the terms of conditions of employment of faculty from collective bargaining over time.
- In these regards, it resembles 2011's infamous SB 5 that was ultimately repealed in a citizens veto referendum.

The Sub-section (D.1.b of Sec. 3345.45) on workload policies (lines 1016-1024) has been revised in such a way that it would increase the workload of Kent State's full-time tenured and tenure-track faculty on 9 month appointments by 25%!

 This mandate, unilaterally imposed by the State, would be the single most radical change in the terms and conditions of employment of Kent State's tenured and tenuretrack faculty in over fifty years.

Although the bill styles itself as a bill promoting free speech and intellectual diversity, it contains provisions (even in the substitute version) that would **explicitly censor the University's speech**. (lines 744-748, 752, 754, and 795-797).

- The bill would explicitly prohibit a university from opposing systematic racism, sexism, and/or discrimination based on LGBTQ+ status;
- It would explicitly prohibit a university from endorsing the notion of allyship, social justice, diversity, equity, or inclusion;

• It would explicitly prohibit a university from endorsing any climate policies, or even the idea of a sustainable future;

Thank you for reading this and for your rejection of this bill.

Dr. Chris W. Post