Opposition Testimony to SB58-Veterans and Public Safety Committee Submitted by Stephen P. Calardo March 15, 2023

I am a gun owner who possesses a hunting license.

Liability insurance coverage is available for firearms use, such as when permitting hunting on one's premises.

Liability insurance is designed to cover losses and damages from any **accidental** use of a firearm, including death, Injury, or property damage.

Requiring insurance on firearms, *just like Ohio* <u>requires</u> in order to cover the risk of operating a motor <u>vehicle</u>, would bring the insurance industry's risk mitigation efforts into play by encouraging:

- 1. secure storage (gun safes, trigger locks, etc.)
- 2. gun safety training, and
- 3. prompt reporting of lost or stolen firearms

SB58 would preempt any local ordinance, rule or regulation requiring firearms insurance or fees for guns. I would be fine with this if the General Assembly were to pass its own insurance requirements for gun owners. But to bar local political subdivisions from such a common sense and fiscally responsible measure and then leave a vacuum under Ohio law is preemption at its worst. SB58 is a solution in search of a problem which does not exist and creates problem for local governments which try to implement a partial solution to the void of gun safety regulation in Ohio.