As Reported by the House Criminal Justice Committee

132nd General Assembly

Regular Session

Sub. S. B. No. 7

2017-2018

Senators Bacon, Manning

Cosponsors: Senators Obhof, Beagle, Gardner, Uecker, Eklund, LaRose, Skindell, Huffman, Hite, Brown, Schiavoni, Hoagland, Wilson, Balderson, Burke, Coley, Dolan, Hackett, Hottinger, Jordan, Kunze, Lehner, Oelslager, Peterson, Sykes, Tavares, Terhar, Thomas, Williams, Yuko Representatives Manning, Rezabek, Celebrezze, Butler, Conditt, Cupp, Kent, Rogers

A BILL

То	amend section 2919.27 of the Revised Code to	1
	provide the circumstances when service of a	2
	protection order or consent agreement upon a	3
	person is not necessary for the person to be	4
	convicted of the offense of violating a	5
	protection order.	6

BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF OHIO:

Section 1. That section 2919.27 of the Revised Code be	7
amended to read as follows:	8
Sec. 2919.27. (A) No person shall recklessly violate the	9
terms of any of the following:	10
(1) A protection order issued or consent agreement	11
approved pursuant to section 2919.26 or 3113.31 of the Revised	12
Code;	13
(2) A protection order issued pursuant to section 2151.34,	14
2903.213, or 2903.214 of the Revised Code;	15

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

agreement while committing a felony offense, violating a

(5) If the protection order violated by the offender was

an order issued pursuant to section 2151.34 or 2903.214 of the

pursuant to that section, the court may require in addition to

any other sentence imposed upon the offender that the offender

be electronically monitored for a period not exceeding five

Revised Code that required electronic monitoring of the offender

protection order is a felony of the third degree.

69

70

71

72

73

74

years by a law enforcement agency designated by the court. If	44
the court requires under this division that the offender be	45
electronically monitored, unless the court determines that the	46
offender is indigent, the court shall order that the offender	47
pay the costs of the installation of the electronic monitoring	48
device and the cost of monitoring the electronic monitoring	49
device. If the court determines that the offender is indigent	50
and subject to the maximum amount allowable and the rules	51
promulgated by the attorney general under section 2903.214 of	52
the Revised Code, the costs of the installation of the	53
electronic monitoring device and the cost of monitoring the	54
electronic monitoring device may be paid out of funds from the	55
reparations fund created pursuant to section 2743.191 of the	56
Revised Code. The total amount paid from the reparations fund	57
created pursuant to section 2743.191 of the Revised Code for	58
electronic monitoring under this section and sections 2151.34	59
and 2903.214 of the Revised Code shall not exceed three hundred	60
thousand dollars per year.	61

- (C) It is an affirmative defense to a charge under

 division (A)(3) of this section that the protection order issued

 by a court of another state does not comply with the

 requirements specified in 18 U.S.C. 2265(b) for a protection

 order that must be accorded full faith and credit by a court of

 this state or that it is not entitled to full faith and credit

 under 18 U.S.C. 2265(c).

 68
- (D) In a prosecution for a violation of this section, it

 is not necessary for the prosecution to prove that the

 protection order or consent agreement was served on the

 defendant if the prosecution proves that the defendant was shown

 the protection order or consent agreement or a copy of either or

 a judge, magistrate, or law enforcement officer informed the

defendant that a protection order or consent agreement had been	75
issued, and proves that the defendant recklessly violated the	76
terms of the order or agreement.	77
(E) As used in this section, "protection order issued by a	78
court of another state" means an injunction or another order	79

court of another state" means an injunction or another order issued by a criminal court of another state for the purpose of preventing violent or threatening acts or harassment against, contact or communication with, or physical proximity to another person, including a temporary order, and means an injunction or order of that nature issued by a civil court of another state, including a temporary order and a final order issued in an independent action or as a pendente lite order in a proceeding for other relief, if the court issued it in response to a complaint, petition, or motion filed by or on behalf of a person seeking protection. "Protection order issued by a court of another state" does not include an order for support or for custody of a child issued pursuant to the divorce and child custody laws of another state, except to the extent that the order for support or for custody of a child is entitled to full faith and credit under the laws of the United States.

Section 2. That existing section 2919.27 of the Revised 95
Code is hereby repealed. 96

Section 3. The amendments made by this act to division (D) 97 of section 2919.27 of the Revised Code are intended to supersede 98 the holding of the Ohio Supreme Court in State v. Smith (2013), 99 136 Ohio St.3d 1, so that unperfected service of a protection 100 order or consent agreement does not preclude a prosecution for a 101 violation of division (A) of that section.